Evidence-informed public health policy: A model

A summary of

Brownson, R.C., Chirqui, J.F., & amp; Stamatakis, K.A. (2009). Understanding evidence-based public health policy. American Journal of Public Health, 99(9), 1576-1583. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2008.156224.

How to cite this NCCMT summary:

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools (2012). *Evidence-informed public health policy: A model*. Hamilton, ON: McMaster University. (Updated 12 September, 2017) Retrieved from <u>http://www.nccmt.ca/resources/search/145</u>.

Categories: Method, Synthesize, Policy development **Method**

Relevance For Public Health

This model of evidence-informed policy making can be applied across public health settings to improve a population's health and social outcomes.

Date posted:

September 12, 2012

Description

This <u>model</u> explores the use of different types of evidence in evidence-informed policy making. Evidence-informed policy development is characterized by three domains: process, content and outcome.

Healthy public policy helps to create supportive environments to enable people to lead healthy lives (<u>WHO</u>, <u>1988</u>; <u>Milio</u>, <u>2001</u>). Healthy public policy can be defined as "public policies, outside the formal health sector, that have an impact on health, such as education, transportation, and fiscal policies" (<u>Fafard</u>, 2008, p.4). To learn more about policy processes and the use of scientific evidence to influence healthy public policies, see resources from the <u>National Collaborating Centre for Healthy Public Policy</u>.

Evidence-informed policy making includes three domains:

- Process understanding how the problem, policy and politics interact to successfully change policy
- Content identifying effective evidence-based elements of policy to be adopted
- Outcome evaluating policy and its impact

Implementing the Tool

Who is Involved?

Public health researchers, decision-makers and policy-makers can use this model to better understand how different types of evidence can be used to inform healthy public policy.

Steps for Using Tool

The model for evidence-informed policy making outlines steps within three domains:

1. Policy process

- Prepare data for quick and proactive dissemination
- Find new ways of communicating data
- 2. Policy content
 - Identify the elements that lead to evidence-informed policy
 - Effectively use existing tools
- 3. Policy outcomes
 - Develop systems for policy surveillance
 - Use numerous forms of evidence for tracking outcomes



National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils

Date updated: September 12, 2017

These summaries are written by the <u>NCCMT</u> to condense and to provide an overview of the resources listed in the <u>Registry of Methods and Tools</u> and to give suggestions for their use in a public health context. For more information on individual methods and tools included in the review, please consult the authors/developers of the original resources.

There is also a table listing barriers to implementing evidence-informed policy making.

Evaluation and Measurement Characteristics

Evaluation

Has not been evaluated

Validity

Validity not tested

Reliability

Not applicable

Methodological Rating



Tool Development

Developers

R.C. Brownson J.F. Chriqui K.A. Stamatakis

Method of Development

Information not available

Release Date

2009

Contact Person

Ross C. Brownson Brown School and School of Medicine Washington University in St Louis 660 S Euclid Campus Box 8109 St Louis, USA 63110 Email: rbrownson@wustl.edu

Resources

Title of Primary Resource	Understanding evidence-based public health policy
File Attachment	None
Web-link	http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2724448/
Reference	Brownson, R.C., Chirqui, J.F., & Stamatakis, K.A. (2009). Understanding evidence- based public health policy. <i>American Journal of Public Health, 99</i> (9), 1576-1583. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2008.156224.
Type of Material	Journal article
Format	Periodical
Cost to Access	None.
Language	English
Conditions for Use	Not specified

These summaries are written by the <u>NCCMT</u> to condense and to provide an overview of the resources listed in the <u>Registry of Methods and Tools</u> and to give suggestions for their use in a public health context. For more information on individual methods and tools included in the review, please consult the authors/developers of the original resources.

Title of Supplementary Resource	Evidence and Healthy Public Policy: Insights from Health and Political Sciences
File Attachment	None
Web-link	http://www.ncchpp.ca/165/Publications.ccnpps
Reference	Fafard, P. (2008). Evidence and Healthy Public Policy: Insights from Health and Political Sciences. Montreal, QC: National Collaborating Centre for Healthy Public Policy.
Type of Material	Report
Format	On-line Access
Cost to Access	None.
Language	English, French
Conditions for Use	Not specified

Title of Supplementary Resource	Adelaide Recommendations on Healthy Public Policy
File Attachment	None
Web-link	http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/previous/adelaide/en/index1.html
Reference	World Health Organization (1988). Adelaide Recommendations on Healthy Public Policy. Second International Conference on Health Promotion, Adelaide, South Australia. Available from: http://www.who.int/healthpromotion/conferences/previous/adelaide/en/index1.html
Type of Material	Website
Format	On-line Access
Cost to Access	None.
Language	English
Conditions for Use	Copyright © 2012 WHO

Title of Supplementary Resource	Glossary: healthy public policy
File Attachment	None
Web-link	http://jech.highwire.org/content/55/9/622.extract
Reference	Milio, N. (2001). Glossary: healthy public policy. <i>Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health</i> , 55, 622-623. doi: 10.1136/jech.55.9.622
Type of Material	Journal article
Format	Periodical
Cost to Access	Journal article purchase
Language	English
Conditions for Use	Not specified

These summaries are written by the <u>NCCMT</u> to condense and to provide an overview of the resources listed in the <u>Registry of Methods and Tools</u> and to give suggestions for their use in a public health context. For more information on individual methods and tools included in the review, please consult the authors/developers of the original resources.