



# Rapid Review Update 1: What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in long-term care facilities and what strategies mitigate risk?

Prepared by: The National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

Date: December 11, 2020

#### Suggested Citation:

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. (2020, December 11). What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in long-term care facilities and what strategies mitigate risk? Update 1. <a href="https://www.nccmt.ca/knowledge-repositories/covid-19-rapid-evidence-service">https://www.nccmt.ca/knowledge-repositories/covid-19-rapid-evidence-service</a>

Please Note: An update of this review may be available. Access the most current version of this review by

visiting the National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools COVID-19 Rapid Evidence Service

at the above link.

© 2020. National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools, McMaster University. All rights reserved.

The National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools (NCCMT) is hosted by McMaster University and funded by the Public Health Agency of Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the Public Health Agency of Canada. This review was supported by funding from nib Health. The funder had no role in collection or interpretation of data.

This Rapid Review is for general information purposes only. The information provided in this Rapid Review is provided "as is" and McMaster University makes no warranties, promises and/or representations of any kind, expressed or implied, as to the nature, standard, accuracy, completeness, reliability or otherwise of the information provided in this Rapid Review, nor to the suitability or otherwise of the information to your particular circumstances. McMaster University does not accept any responsibility or liability for the accuracy, content, completeness, legality, reliability or use of the information contained in this Rapid Review.

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest to report.

#### **Executive Summary**

#### Background

Older adults have the highest rates of mortality due to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and long-term care (LTC) facilities were particularly affected by high rates of infection and mortality in the first wave of the pandemic. To date, strategies used by certain facilities and jurisdictions have shown preliminary evidence of efficacy at reducing risk of infections and outbreaks. Understanding risk factors for infections and outbreaks at the resident, facility and community level will facilitate the development of strategies to help mitigate this risk.

This rapid review was produced to support public health decision makers' response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This review seeks to identify, appraise, and summarize emerging research evidence to support evidence-informed decision making.

This rapid review is based on the most recent research evidence available at the time of release. A previous version was completed on October 16, 2020. This updated version includes evidence available up to November 30, 2020 to answer the question: What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in LTC facilities and what strategies mitigate risk?

#### What Has Changed in this Version?

- Two new syntheses on risk factors associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality were identified; findings are consistent with this rapid review
- One new cohort study explored the temporal relationship between communitytransmission and LTC outbreaks. With an increase of 2.3 cases per 100 000, there is a 75% probability of a LTC outbreak 5 days later.
- Four new cross-sectional studies and 6 new cohort studies explored relationships between various resident and organizational-level factors and risk of COVID-19 infection and mortality; findings are consistent with the previous update
- Three new syntheses describing the interventions to control spread are included. Findings are consistent with those from this rapid review.
- 5 new case reports describe comprehensive, multicomponent interventions to mitigate
  the spread of COVID-19 within a LTC facility following a confirmed case, or outbreak.
  Universal testing of staff and patients, frequent symptom screening, cohorting of
  patients, and universal masking were most often described along with enhanced
  hygiene and cleaning protocols, and PPE. No comparator groups were included to
  determine which measure may be most effective or whether a combination of measures
  is needed to reduce spread.
- One qualitative study with elder care physicians described the potential adverse effects of measures such as restricting visitors, on patients' well-being and quality of life.

#### **Key Points**

#### What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in LTC facilities?

- Across studies, incidence in the surrounding community was found to have the strongest association with COVID-19 infections and/or outbreaks in LTC settings. The certainty of the evidence is moderate (GRADE).
- Several resident-level factors including, racial/ethnic minority status, older age, male sex, receipt of Medicaid or Medicare were associated with risk of COVID-19 infections, outbreaks and mortality; severity of impairment was associated with infections and outbreaks, but not mortality. The certainty of the evidence is low (GRADE) and may change as more data become available.
- At the organizational level, increased staffing, particularly Registered Nurse (RN) staffing
  was consistently associated with reduced risk of COVID-19 infections, outbreaks and
  mortality while for-profit status, facility size/density and movement of staff between
  facilities was consistently associated with increased risk of COVID-19 infections,
  outbreaks and mortality. The certainty of the evidence is low (GRADE) and may change
  as more data become available.

#### What strategies mitigate risk of outbreaks and mortality within LTC?

- Most guideline recommendations include surveillance, monitoring and evaluation of staff and resident symptoms, and use of personal protective equipment (PPE). The certainty of the evidence is low (GRADE) and may change as more data become available. Other interventions demonstrating some effect on decreased infection rates within syntheses and a small number of single studies include promotion of hand hygiene, enhanced cleaning measures, social distancing, and cohorting. The certainty of the evidence is low (GRADE) and may change as more data become available.
- Technological platforms and tools (e.g., digital contact tracing, apps, heat maps) are being developed and show potential for decreased transmission through efficient case and/or contact identification that further informs infection control planning strategies. The certainty of the evidence is very low (GRADE) and may change as more data become available.

#### Overview of Evidence and Knowledge Gaps

#### What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in LTC facilities?

- In several studies, adjusting for levels of community transmission in multivariate models reduced or eliminated the estimated associations between organization-level factors and risk of outbreaks or mortality. This is an important confounding factor that should be accounted for in future studies. Within studies that did not adjust for community transmission, large variations were observed between geographic regions which could be explained by variations in community transmission.
- Across studies, there was a large variation in the potential confounders controlled for in the analyses and the way various risk factors and confounding factors were measured, making it difficult to compare the strength of the relationship across studies.
- Resident-level risk factors for infection were often measured at the group level and may not correspond to individual-level risk of contracting or dying from COVID-19.

- Several studies from the US compared five-star facility ratings between sites with and
  without COVID-19 infections and outbreaks; several studies found that lower overall
  facility quality, history of fines/complaints, substandard cleaning practices, and having
  external staff brought in were associated with increased risk of COVID-19 cases,
  outbreaks and mortality within the facility.
- Facility size (reported as number of residents or beds) was consistently positively associated with increased risk of infections and mortality; however, several studies suggest that facility crowding, or the ratio of residents to staff may be the key drivers of transmission.

#### What strategies mitigate risk of outbreaks and mortality within LTC?

- Findings from low and high quality syntheses report a variety of interventions to
  decrease infection transmission in LTC. Common interventions across syntheses were
  promotion of hand hygiene and regular/enhanced environmental cleaning. Two
  syntheses included studies conducted in the context of COVID-19, as well as other
  respiratory infections. Notably, the quality of included evidence in syntheses was very
  low or not reported. Further evidence is needed on the effect of restricting staff
  movement between multiple long-term care facilities.
- Single studies consisted primarily of cohort or quasi-experimental designs. A number of interventions were described with the potential to decrease COVID-19 transmission:
  - o Proactive facility-wide active screening and testing of residents and staff
  - o Infection control audits
  - o Compliance with proper use of masks and other personal protective equipment
  - Cohorting
  - Technological tools (i.e., digital contact tracing, COVID-19 app tool)
  - Social distancing
  - Enforcement of maximum occupancy in small areas
  - Voluntary staff self-confinement in facilities (i.e., spending ≥ 7 days a week and 24 hours a day in the facility; sleeping in unused areas
- While several case reports describe implementing visitor restriction policies, no studies that include a comparator group were identified to explore the efficacy of this measure.
- Most studies did not address potential confounding factors at the resident, organizational, or community level that may influence measured outcomes of implemented infection control interventions.

#### **Methods**

#### Research Questions

- 1. What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in LTC facilities?
- 2. What strategies mitigate risk of outbreaks and mortality within LTC?

#### Search

On November 30, 2020, the following databases were searched:

- Pubmed's curated COVID-19 literature hub: <u>LitCovid</u>
- Trip Medical Database
- World Health Organization's Global literature on coronavirus disease
- COVID-19 Evidence Alerts from McMaster PLUS™
- COVID-19 Living Overview of the Evidence (L·OVE)
- McMaster Health Forum
- Prospero Registry of Systematic Reviews
- NCCMT COVID-19 Rapid Evidence Reviews
- MedRxiv preprint server
- NCCDH <u>Equity-informed Responses to COVID-19</u>
- NCCEH Environmental Health Resources for the COVID-19 Pandemic
- NCCHPP Public Health Ethics and COVID-19
- NCCID Public Health Quick Links
- NCCID Disease Debrief
- NCCIH Updates on COVID-19
- Uncover (USHER Network for COVID-19 Evidence Reviews)
- Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)
- Institute national d'excellence en santé et en services sociaux (INESSS)
- Institut national de santé publique du Québec (INSPQ)
- Guidelines International Network (GIN) Library
- BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC)
- Public Health England

A copy of the search strategy is available at this <u>link</u>.

#### Study Selection Criteria

The search results were first screened for recent guidelines and syntheses. Single studies were included if no syntheses were available, or if single studies were published after the search was conducted in the included syntheses. English-language, peer-reviewed sources and sources published ahead-of-print before peer review were included. Surveillance sources were excluded. When available, findings from syntheses and clinical practice guidelines are presented first, as these take into account the available body of evidence and, therefore, can be applied broadly to populations and settings.

	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Population	Residents and staff in LTC facilities (defined as	Hospital or rehabilitation
	a non-hospital setting where care is provided	wards
	to assist with activities of daily living)	
Intervention	Resident, organizational and community-level	Non-COVID-19 related
	risk factors (including modifiable and non-	
	modifiable)	
	Strategies to reduce introduction of infection,	
	transmission of infection, mortality	
Comparisons	-	
Outcomes	Outbreaks / cases	

#### Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data relevant to the research question, such as study design, setting, location, population characteristics, interventions or exposure and outcomes were extracted when reported. We synthesized the results narratively due to the variation in methodology and outcomes for the included studies.

#### Appraisal of Evidence Quality

We evaluated the quality of included evidence using critical appraisal tools as indicated by the study design below. Quality assessment was completed by one reviewer and verified by a second reviewer. Conflicts were resolved through discussion.

Study Design	Critical Appraisal Tool
Synthesis	Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR)
	AMSTAR 1 Tool
Cohort	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Cohort Studies
Cross sectional	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Cross Sectional Studies
Quasi-	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies
experimental	
Case Report	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Case Reports
Prevalence	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Prevalence Studies

Completed quality assessments for each included study are available on request.

The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations (<u>GRADE</u>) approach was used to assess the certainty in the findings based on eight key domains. In the GRADE approach to quality of evidence, **observational studies**, as included in this review, provide **low quality** evidence, and this assessment can be further reduced based on other domains:

- High risk of bias
- Inconsistency in effects
- Indirectness of interventions/outcomes
- Imprecision in effect estimate
- Publication bias

and can be upgraded based on:

- Large effect
- Dose-response relationship
- Accounting for confounding.

The overall certainty in the evidence for each outcome was determined taking into account the characteristics of the available evidence (observational studies, some not peer-reviewed, unaccounted-for potential confounding factors, different tests and testing protocols, lack of valid comparison groups). A judgement of 'overall certainty is very low' means that the findings are very likely to change as more evidence accumulates.

#### **Findings**

#### Summary of Evidence Quality

This update adds 3 new syntheses, 2 new in-progress syntheses, and 18 new single studies. In total, 60 publications are included in this review addressing two distinct questions. The quality of the evidence included in this review is as follows:

Question	Evidence included	Overall certainty in evidence	
What are risk factors that are	Completed syntheses	3	Low-moderate
associated with outbreaks	In progress syntheses	4	
and deaths in LTC?	Single studies	37	
What strategies can prevent	Completed syntheses	5	Very low-low
introduction of and	In progress syntheses	1	,
transmission within LTC?	Single studies	14	

#### Warning

Given the need to make emerging COVID-19 evidence quickly available, many emerging studies have not been peer reviewed. As such, we advise caution when using and interpreting the evidence included in this rapid review. We have provided a summary of overall certainty of the evidence to support the process of decision making. Where possible, make decisions using the highest quality evidence available.

A number of mathematical modelling studies are emerging related to COVID-19. While these studies may provide important estimates, their ultimate usefulness depends on the quality of the data that is entered into the model. Given the constantly evolving nature and changing understanding of COVID-19 around the world, a high degree of caution is warranted when interpreting these studies, and when presented, include the range of confidence intervals rather than single effect estimates.

Important to this question, we did not assess the methodological quality of the included modelling study. Due to the highly technical nature of these studies, we highly recommend consulting a content-area expert to inform decision making.

# Question 1: What risk factors are associated with COVID-19 outbreaks and mortality in LTC facilities?

**Table 1: Syntheses** 

Reference	Date Released	Description of Included Studies	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating: Synthesis	Quality Rating: Included Studies
New Evidence Reported	December 10, 202	20			
Frazer, K., Lachlan, M., Stokes, D., Crowley, E., & Kelleher, C.C. (2020). A rapid systematic review of measures to protect older people in long term care facilities from COVID-19. Preprint.	Nov 3, 2020 (Search completed Jul 27, 2020)	Of 38 studies, 20 included studies reported on risk factors for COVID-19 cases.	Numerous facility-specific characteristics were linked with risk of COVID-19 cases including facility size, staffing levels, use of agency staff, being part of a chain of organizations, overcrowding and lack of availability of single rooms.  The authors note the overall low quality of included studies.	High PREPRINT	Low
Public Health England (2020). Factors associated with COVID-19 in care homes and domiciliary care, and effectiveness of interventions: A rapid review.	Oct 28, 2020 (Search completed Aug 31, 2020)	This rapid review included 13 studies (4 preprints) examining factors associated with transmission in LTC:  • 3 cohort  • 9 cross-sectional  • 1 modelling 5 studies were from the UK and Ireland, 2 were from Canada and 6 were from the US.	There is consistent evidence across included countries that transmission of COVID-19 in LTC is associated with:  • Movement of staff between facilities  • Use of bank or agency staff  • Lower care home quality  • Higher occupancy rates  • For-profit ownership (US and Canada only; public ownership in Ireland)  • Lower nurse staffing levels  There is some evidence that there is a higher risk of COVID-19 in facilities with lower proportions of white residents.  Most studies used publicly available datasets with incomplete data, others relied on self-report which increases the risk of recall bias and underestimation of effects. The authors note a number of confounding factors were not controlled for in analyses.	Moderate	Not reported

Gmehlin, C., & Munoz-	Oct 26, 2020	This review included 12	Characteristics associated with risk of at least	Low	Not
Price, L.S. (2020).	(Search date	studies, set in USA and	one resident with COVID-19 were:		reported
COVID-19 in Long Term	not reported)	European facilities (study	Increased facility size		
Care Facilities: A		designs not reported)	Degree of occupancy		
Review of			County-level transmission rates		
Epidemiology, Clinical					
Presentations, and			Resident demographics associated with COVID-		
Containment			19 cases were:		
Interventions. Infection			Higher proportion of African American		
Control & Hospital			residents		
<i>Epidemiology.</i> Epub			Higher Medicaid share		
ahead of print.			Comorbidities (e.g. hypertension, cardiac		
			disease, diabetes, cognitive impairment,		
			renal disease, pulmonary disease, obesity)		
			Other characteristics associated with incidence		
			of COVID-19 were:		
			Decreased nursing hours		
			Lower Five-Star nursing score		
			Higher levels of resident independence		
			Higher number of Centers for Medicare and		
			Medicaid Services health deficiencies		
			For-profit status		
			,		
			Among facilities with at least one death		
			attributed to COVID-19, having more nursing		
			hours was protective.		

## **Table 2: In-progress Syntheses**

Title	Anticipated Release Date	Description of Document
New Evidence Reported December 10, 2020		
Durao, C., Rafael Henriques, H., Costa, A., Sousa, D., Pinto, J., Faria, J., & Henriques, A. (2020). Measures to minimize the risk of COVID-19 infection in nursing homes: a systematic review. PROSPERO, CRD42020214566.	Feb 26, 2021	This systematic review will examine the effect of the organizational, individual and environmental measures to prevent and manage the spread of COVID-19 in long-term care facilities/nursing homes/aged care facilities.
Previously Reported Evidence	4.0000	TI: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
Wu, J., Bourouiba, L., McCarthy, Z., Nah, K., Alavinejad, M., Tosato, M., & Bragazzi, N.L. (2020). <i>COVID-19 in long-term care facilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. PROSPERO, CRD42020192091.</i>	Aug 16, 2020	This review will explore characteristics of COVID-19 cases and outbreaks in LTC facilities, in terms of seroprevalence, symptoms, hospitalization rates and case fatality rates for residents, staff and visitors.
Gomes, Z., Aithal, S., Antonipillai, V., Kurmi, K., & Baumann, A. (2020). Prognostic factors associated with morbidity and mortality due to COVID-19 infection in adults using long-term care facilities: a systematic review. PROSPERO, CRD42020198170.	Oct 29, 2020	This review seeks to identify key prognostic factors associated with COVID-19 that result in higher morbidity and mortality among residents and staff and the strength of association of same. Potential factors to be examined include:  Lifestyle Environmental factors Sociodemographic factors Personal characteristics Comorbid health conditions Mental health Availability/use of personal protective equipment (PPE) Facility policies (testing, isolation, care ratio) Infection control practices Subgroup analysis will be conducted for gender, ethnicity, age group, geographical region, and facility type (public vs. private).
Rashidul Hashan, M., Smoll, N., King, C., Ockenden-Muldoon, H., Walker, J., Booy, R., & Khandaker, G. (2020). <i>Epidemiology and clinical features of COVID-19 outbreaks in aged care facilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO, CRD42020211424.</i>	Oct 30, 2020	This review will examine the global epidemiological burden of COVID-19 in LTC facilities, the clinical manifestations of outbreaks among residents and the risk factors associated with adverse outcomes for COVID-19 outbreaks in LTC (such as prevalence of co-morbidities).  Subgroup analysis will be conducted on any available data.

## **Table 3: Single Studies**

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Population and Setting	Summary of findings	Quality Rating:
New Evidence Reported	December	10, 2020			
Malikov, K., Huang, Q., Shi, S., Stall, N. M., Tuite, A. R., & Hillmer, M. P. (2020). Temporal Associations between Community Incidence of COVID-19 and Nursing Home Outbreaks in Ontario, Canada. Preprint.	Nov 19, 2020	Cohort	37,274 COVID cases in Ontario, Canada • 5545 were residents of LTC • 343 were LTC outbreaks	<ul> <li>This study reports on the temporal relationship between COVID-19 cases in geographic areas and the number of LTC outbreaks from Mar 1 to Jul 16, 2020.</li> <li>The risk of LTC outbreaks is strongly associated with rates in communities surrounding the facilities.</li> <li>The average lag time between community cases and LTC outbreaks was 23 days for Ontario overall, with substantial variability across geographic regions ranging from 11 to 43 days.</li> <li>For the province overall, when daily active COVID-19 community cases are 2.30 per 100,000 population, there is a 75% probability</li> </ul>	Moderate  PREPRINT
Ly, T. D. A., Zanini, D., Laforge, V., Arlotto, S., Gentile, S., Mendizabal, H., Gautret, P. (2020). Pattern of SARS-CoV-2 infection among dependant elderly residents living in long-term care facilities in Marseille, France, March-June 2020. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 56(6), 106219.	Nov 16, 2020	Cross sectional	n=1691 residents, 1000 staff in 24 facilities, France	of a LTC outbreak occurring five days later.  Between Mar 24 and Jun 2, 2020, mass screening identified 226 resident cases (13.4%) and 87 staff cases (8.7%).  After adjusting for known confounders, death due to COVID-19 (residents only) was associated with:  • Male gender, OR: 3.95, 95% CI: 1.65, 9.44  • Older age (> 85 vs. 50-85), OR: 2.43, 95% CI: 1.04, 5.69  Those diagnosed through mass screening (vs. case-by-case testing) had lower odds of death, OR: 0.20 (95% CI: 0.08, 0.53).	Moderate

Morciano, M., Stokes,	Nov 13,	Cohort	15 524	From Jan 1 to Aug 7, 2020, 27.4% of facilities reported a	High
J., Kontopantelis, E.,	2020		facilities,	confirmed/suspected COVID-19 death.	
Hall, I., & Turner, A. J.			England		PREPRINT
(2020). <u>Excess</u>				In multivariable analyses, odds of COVID-19 attributable deaths	
mortality for care				were higher in:	
home residents during				Facilities providing nursing services vs. residential services	
the first 23 weeks of				only (OR: 1.81, 95%Cl: 1.64 to 1.99)	
the COVID-19				Facilities providing services to older people and/or with	
pandemic in England:				dementia vs. children or adults only (OR: 5.45, 95%CI: 4.36 to	
a national cohort				6.81)	
study. Preprint.				• Larger facilities (41+ beds vs. 0-23 beds), OR: 13.28, 95%CI:	
				11.46 to 15.39 and medium facilities (24-40 beds vs. 0-23	
				beds), OR: 5.20, 95% CI: 4.52, 5.98	
				Chain facilities (OR: 1.21, 95%Cl: 1.1 to 1.34)	
Suñer, C., Ouchi, D.,	Nov 10,	Cohort	n=8716	From Mar 1 to Jun 1, 2020, median all-cause mortality was 14·3	Moderate
Àngel Mas, M., Lopez	2020		residents in 167	(interquartile range (IQR): 7·6, 26·1) deaths/100 residents, and	
Alarcon, R., Massot			facilities, Spain	median COVID-19 mortality was 3-9 (IQR: 0.0, 18-4) deaths/100	PREPRINT
Mesquida, M.,				residents.	
Negredo, E., Mitjà,					
O. (2020). <u>Risk factors</u>				COVID-19 mortality rates across facilities were associated with:	
for mortality of				% of complex patients (per 10% increase, HR: 1.09; 95%CI     1.05    1.10    1.00	
residents in nursing				1.05-1.12 per 10% increase)	
homes with Covid-19: a retrospective cohort				• % patients with advanced diseases (per 10% increase, HR: 1.13; 95% CI: 1.07-1.19)	
study. Preprint.				Lower capacity for implementing preventive measures (HR:	
				1·08; 95% CI: 1·05-1·10)	
				Community-level incidence of COVID-19, per 1000 cases/100	
				000, HR: 2·98; 95% CI: 2·53-3·50	
				Community population density, per 10 people/km² HR: 0.60,	
				95% CI: 0.50, 0.72	

Casalino, L. P., Myslinski, Z., Kuwonza, F. M., Jung, HY., & Unruh, M. A. (2020). Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Sectional Sectional Action of Private Equity-Owned Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Sectional Sectional Section of Private Equity-Owned Equity-O	Braun, R. T., Yun, H.,	Oct 28,	Cross	Private equity-	From May 17, 2020 to Jul 2, 2020, private equity owned facilities	Moderate
Myslinski, Z., Kuwonza, F. M., Jung, HY., & Unruh, M. A. (2020). Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Myslinski, Z., Kuwonza, F. M., Jung, HY., & Unruh, M. A. (2020). Comparative gender, activities of daily living score, race, % covered by Multivariate analyses adjusted for resident-level factors (age, gender, activities of daily living score, race, % covered by Medicaid and Medicare) and facility-level factors (occupancy rate, chain membership, location, number of beds). Compared to private equity owned facilities, only government-owned had lower cases (-35.5, 95% CI: -69.2, -1.8). There were no differences in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of M95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.001)  • Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 36%, CI: 62, 20.0, p. 001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p. 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p. 0.001)  • A shortage of numer-owned, 69.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 10.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						Wiodciate
F. M., Jung, HY., & Unruh, M. A. (2020). Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 310), e2026702.  We discuss the service of t		2020	Scotional			
Unruh, M. A. (2020). Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Inonprofit (2525, 22.0%), and government- owned (511, 5.3%) facilities, USA  Wiltivariate analyses adjusted for resident-level factors (age, gender, activities of daily living score, race, % covered by Medicaid and Medicare) and facility-level factors (occupancy national medicare) and facilities or deal, very medicare and facilities or deal, very medic	1 .			· ·		
Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Multivariate analyses adjusted for resident-level factors (age, gender, activities of daily living score, race, % covered by Medicaid and Medicare) and facility-level factors (occupancy rate, chain membership, location, number of beds). Compared to private equity owned facilities, only government-owned had lower cases (-35.5, 95% CI: -69.2, -1.8). There were no differences in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006) • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001) • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001) • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001) • As hortage of nurses • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					and government-owned (39.8±7.6).	
Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Base 1				•		
Government- Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Medicaid and Medicare) and facility-level factors (occupancy rate, chain membership, location, number of beds). Compared to the COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N85 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 11.	•					
Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Representation of the state of the sta				and		
the COVID-19 Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  5.3%) facilities, USA  USA  5.3%) facilities, private equity owned facilities, only government-owned had lower cases (-35., 95% CI: -69.2, -1.8). There were no differences in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
Pandemic. JAMA Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  Lower cases (-35.5, 95% CI: -69.2, -1.8). There were no differences in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 65. 23.0, p < .001)  At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to	Nursing Homes During			owned (511,	rate, chain membership, location, number of beds). Compared to	
Network Open, 3(10), e2026702.  in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.  Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to	the COVID-19			5.3%) facilities,	private equity owned facilities, only government-owned had	
compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to	Pandemic. JAMA			USA	lower cases (-35.5, 95% Cl: -69.2, -1.8). There were no differences	
compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to	Network Open, 3(10),				in COVID-19 deaths or all-cause mortality.	
Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types were more likely to have:  • At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  • For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  • Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				,	
were more likely to have:  At least 1-week supply of N95 masks  For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% CI: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)  Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					Compared with private equity-owned facilities, the other -types	
<ul> <li>At least 1-week supply of N95 masks</li> <li>For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p &lt; .001)</li> <li>At least 1-week supply of medical gowns</li> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>A shortage of nurses</li> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>					were more likely to have:	
<ul> <li>For-profit, 10.5% (9.1 percentage points, 95% Cl: 1.8, 16.3, p=0.006)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 5.5, 20.6, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p &lt; .001)</li> <li>At least 1-week supply of medical gowns <ul> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> </ul> </li> <li>A shortage of nurses <ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
16.3, p=0.006)  Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
<ul> <li>Nonprofit, 15.0% (13.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 5.5, 20.6, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% CI: 6.5, 23.0, p &lt; .001)</li> <li>At least 1-week supply of medical gowns</li> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>A shortage of nurses</li> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
20.6, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
<ul> <li>Government-owned, 17.0% (14.8 percentage points, 95% Cl: 6.5, 23.0, p &lt; .001)</li> <li>At least 1-week supply of medical gowns <ul> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% Cl: 11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% Cl: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> </ul> </li> <li>A shortage of nurses <ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
95% CI: 6.5, 23.0, p < .001)  • At least 1-week supply of medical gowns  • For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  • Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  • Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
<ul> <li>At least 1-week supply of medical gowns <ul> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI:</li> <li>11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI:</li> <li>17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> </ul> </li> <li>A shortage of nurses <ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>For-profit, 24.3% (21.3 percentage points, 95% CI: 11.8, 30.8, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>A shortage of nurses</li> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
11.8, 30.8, p < 0.001)  Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
<ul> <li>Nonprofit, 30.7% (27.0 percentage points, 95% CI: 17.7, 36.2, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>A shortage of nurses         <ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
17.7, 36.2, p < 0.001)  Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  A shortage of nurses  Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					· ·	
<ul> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points, 95% Cl: 16.1, 35.3, p &lt; 0.001)</li> <li>A shortage of nurses         <ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to</li> </ul>						
95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)  • A shortage of nurses  • Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
A shortage of nurses     Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					<ul> <li>Government-owned, 29.2% (25.7 percentage points,</li> </ul>	
Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95% CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					95% CI: 16.1, 35.3, p < 0.001)	
CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					A shortage of nurses	
CI: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)  The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					<ul> <li>Only government-owned (6.9 percentage points, 95%</li> </ul>	
The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19 testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					Cl: 0.0, 13.9, p=0.049)	
testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to						
testing across the facilities, reliance on public announcements to					The authors note inconsistent data reporting and COVID-19	
					The state of the s	
					determine private equity ownership status, and self-report data.	

Rutten, J.J.S., van	Oct 28,	Cohort	n=4007	From Mar 19 to May 12, 2020, 20% of regidents tested due to	Moderate
Loon, A.M., van	2020	Conort	residents with	From Mar 18 to May 13, 2020, 38% of residents tested due to	Moderate
	2020			clinical suspicion had confirmed COVID-19.	
Kooten, J., van Buul,			suspected	Desidents as siting for COVID 10 areas as a libely to	
L.W., Joling, K.J.,			COVID-19,	Residents positive for COVID-19 were more likely to:	
Smalbrugge, M., &			Netherlands	Reside on a psychogeriatric ward (47% vs 39%, p<0.001)	
Hertogh, C.M.P.M.				Have dementia (62% vs. 51%, p<0.001);	
(2020). <u>Clinical</u>				Have no chronic respiratory disease (18% vs. 21%, p=0.02).	
Suspicion of COVID-19					
in Nursing Home				Risk factors associated with COVID-19 mortality (after adjusting	
Residents: Symptoms				for age, gender and comorbidities) included:	
and Mortality Risk				Male gender (HR: 1.82, 95% Cl: 1.54, 2.15)	
Factors. Journal of the				• Age: 86 – 90 (HR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.19, 1.85), 90+ (HR: 1.38, 95%	
American Medical				CI: 1.09, 175) vs. <80	
Directors Association,				Dementia (HR: 1.26, 95% CI: 1.06, 1.50)	
<i>21</i> (12), 1791-1979.				Reduced kidney function (HR: 1.35, 95% CI: 1.11, 1.64)	
				Parkinson's disease (HR: 1.49, 95% CI: 1.11, 2.00)	
Mas Romero, M.,	Oct 27,	Cohort	n=198 residents	From Mar 6 and Jun 5, 2020 134 residents (67.7%) were	High
Avendaño Céspedes,	2020		and 147 staff,	presumed to have COVID-19 (symptomatic, but not tested).	
A., Tabernero			Spain		
Sahuquillo, M. T.,				Symptomatic residents (all p < 0.05):	
Cortés Zamora, E. B.,				Had a worse functional index (Functional Ambulation	
Gómez Ballesteros, C.,				Classification, Barthel index and frailty classification)	
Sánchez-Flor Alfaro,				Had higher prevalence of immobility, urinary incontinence,	
V., Abizanda, P.				and fecal incontinence	
(2020). <u>COVID-19</u>				una resar moontinense	
outbreak in long-term				Mortality was higher among residents who were:	
care facilities from				<ul> <li>Older (86.2 vs. 81.1, p &lt; 0.05)</li> </ul>	
Spain. Many lessons to				<ul> <li>Male (64.5 % vs. 36.2%, p &lt; 0.05)</li> </ul>	
learn. PLOS ONE,				· ·	
15(10), e0241030.				Had fecal incontinence, auditive impairment, higher overall	
13(10), 60241030.				number of chronic diseases	

Tramarin, A., Gennaro,	Oct 23,	Cohort	9 facilities in	From Dec 1 to Jun 15, 2020 53 residents (82.8%) tested positive	High
N., Dal Grande, G.,	2020		Vicenza	for COVID-19. Risk of infection was associated with:	
Bragagnolo, L., Carta,			Province, Italy	<ul> <li>Older age (90.9% in those &gt;85 vs. 64.3% in those &lt;74)</li> </ul>	PREPRINT
M.R., Giavarina, D.,				Barthel score (disability)	
Stopazzolo, G. (2020).				·	
The impact of COVID-				No differences by gender or presence of comorbidities were	
19 on Long Term Care				found.	
Facilities (LTCFs) of an					
Italian Province: a				Case fatality rate was 22%. Risk of death was associated with:	
cohort study and a				• Older age (30% in those >85 vs. 0% in those < 74)	
retrospective analysis					
of observed vs.				No differences by gender, disability score or comorbidities were	
expected mortality.				found.	
Preprint.					
Kirby, R.S., & Kirby,	Oct 20,	Cross	2 facilities in	From Mar 16 to Jul 13, 2020, the mortality rate was 14.3% (vs.	High
J.A. (2020). Correlation	2020	sectional	New Jersey,	community-level rate of 28.3% in LTC in all of New Jersey).	
of COVID-19 Mortality			USA		PREPRINT
with Clinical				No relationship was found between demographic or clinical	
Parameters in an				characteristics and mortality rate.	
<u>Urban and Suburban</u>					
Nursing Home				In subgroup analyses, only those over 80 in suburban vs. urban	
Population. Preprint.				facilities were at increased risk of death (43.3 vs. 36.4, p = 0.003).	

Bowblis, J., & Applebaum, R. (2020). Prevalence of COVID- 19 in Ohio Nursing Homes: What's Quality Got to Do with It? Journal of Aging & Social Policy. Epub ahead of print.	Oct 11, 2020	Prevalence	943 certified Medicare and/or Medicaid facilities in Ohio, USA	Having at least one resident with COVID-19 was associated with:  Government ownership (vs. for-profit)  Being a chain facility (vs. independent)  Having a dementia unit  of Black, Indigenous and residents of colour  Lower of residents with depression  Registered nurse and licensed nurse hours  No relationship was found between occupancy, payer-mix and most resident case-mix covariates.  Factors associated with higher incidence in facilities with a case include:  Fewer beds  There was no consistent association with having a COVID-19 positive resident and staffing level, category of staff or use of agency staff.  Indicators of quality (star systems) were not associated with	Moderate
Sun, C. L. F., Zuccarelli, E., Zerhouni, E. G. A., Lee, J., Muller, J., Scott, K. M., Levi, R. (2020). Predicting Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection Risk and Related Risk Drivers in Nursing Homes: A Machine Learning Approach. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 21(11), 1533-1538.	Aug 27, 2020	Modelling	1146 LTC facilities in 3 states in the USA	having a positive resident or higher overall COVID-19 incidence  This study assesses risk and possible vectors of infection in facilities reporting COVID-19 cases (60.3%) in 3 USA states on Apr 20, 2020, using a modelling approach. The model was validated against data up to May 11, 2020 to create a LTC risk algorithm.  The strongest predictors of COVID-19 infection were identified as:  The facilities home country's infection rate  The number of separate units in the facility Other predictors were identified as:  The country's population density  Historical Centers of Medicare and Medicaid cited health deficiencies  Resident density (in persons per 1000 square feet)  The facility's historical percentage of non-Hispanic white residents was identified as a protective factor.	Not appraised  Interpret with caution

Previously Reported Evi	dence				
Heras, E., Garibaldi, P., Boix, M., Valero, O., Castillo, J., Curbelo, Y., Piqué, J. M. (2020). COVID-19 mortality risk factors in older people in a long-term care center. European Geriatric Medicine. Epub ahead of print.	Nov 27, 2020	Cross sectional	n=100 residents with confirmed COVID-19, Andorra	This study reports on factors that predict COVID-19 mortality from Mar 15-Jun 5, 2020.  Risk of mortality was associated with:  • Male gender, OR: 38.1, CI not reported  • Lymphopenia, OR: 6.55, CI not reported  • Treatment with hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin, OR: 0.04, CI not reported  • Barthel's index, OR: 0.92, CI not reported  Analyses adjusted for known confounders.	Moderate
Brown, K. A., Jones, A., Daneman, N., Chan, A. K., Schwartz, K. L., Garber, G. E., Stall, N. M. (2020). Association between Nursing Home Crowding and COVID- 19 Infection and Mortality in Ontario, Canada. JAMA Internal Medicine. Epub ahead of print.	Nov 9, 2020	Cohort	n=78,607 residents of 618 facilities, Canada	This study explored the relationship between crowding in facilities and incidence of COVID-19 from Mar 29-May 20, 2020. Infections were distributed unevenly; 86% of infections occurred in 10% of facilities.  Factors associated with COVID-19 incidence include:  Regional incidence, 4th vs. 1st quartile, RR: 5.00, 95%CI: 1.19, 21.11  Private, for profit vs. municipal ownership, RR: 2.49, 95%CI: 1.14, 5.45  Crowding index (vs. 1.5, lowest), 2, RR: 1.20, 95% CI: 1.03, 1.39; 2.5, RR: 1.44, 95% CI: 1.07, 1.95, 3, RR: 1.74, 95% CI: 1.10, 2.72, 3.5, RR: 2.08, 95% CI: 1.13, 3.80  Factors associated with COVID-19 mortality include:  Private, for-profit vs. municipal ownership, RR: 2.67, 95%CI: 1.04, 6.84  Factors associated with presence of at least one infection include:  Community population size, >500 000 vs. < 10 000, OR: 4.71, 95%CI: 1.97, 11.25  % residents born outside of Canada, OR: 1.01, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.03  Analyses were adjusted for crowding index, facility-level factors (size, ownership, ratio of staff to residents) and resident-level factors (age, sex, comorbidities, activities of daily living score, education) and regional characteristics.	High

Shallcross, L., Burke,	Oct 4,	Cross	5126 facilities	From Mar–Jun 2020, period prevalence of infection was 10.5%	Low
D., Abbott, O.,	2020	sectional	providing	95% CI: 9.9 to 11.1) in residents and 3.8% (95%CI: 3.4 to 4.2) in	LOW
Donaldson, A., Hallatt,	2020	occional	dementia care,	staff with 53.1% of facilities reporting at least 1 case and 9.2%	PREPRINT
G., Hayward, A.,			England	reporting large outbreaks.	PREPRIIVI
Thorne, S. (2020). Risk			Liigiana	Toporting large outbroaks.	
factors associated with				Risk factors for resident infection include:	
SARS-CoV-2 infection				Residents in socially deprived quintile, OR: 1.08, 95%Cl: 1.03,	
and outbreaks in Long				1.14	
Term Care Facilities in				• For profit, vs. not for profit, OR: 1.19, 95%CI: 1.12, 1.26	
England: a national				<ul> <li>Lower staff to bed ratio, OR: 1.22, 95%CI: 1.16, 1.28</li> </ul>	
survey. Preprint.				Employment of agency nurses, OR: 1.57, 95%CI: 1.48, 1.66	
				Employment of other agency staff, OR: 1.28, 95%Cl: 1.12, 1.37	
				Staff care for both infected and uninfected residents, OR:	
				1.30, 95%CI: 1.23, 1.37	
				<ul> <li>Cleaning frequency of communal touchpoints &lt; 1/day, OR:</li> </ul>	
				1.15, 95%CI: 1.03, 1.28	
				• Cleaning staff rooms < 1/day, OR: 1.24, 95%CI: 1.14, 1.34	
				Staff personal protective equipment (PPE) only with infected	
				residents, vs. all the time, OR: 1.20, 95%CI: 1.05, 1.37	
				Full PPE for infected residents, OR: 3.60, 95%CI: 3.34, 3.88	
				• Full PPE for all residents, OR: 1.42, 95%CI: 1.37, 1.48	
				<ul> <li>Inability to isolate a resident, OR: 1.33, 95%Cl: 1.28, 1.38</li> </ul>	
				New admissions, OR: 1.012, 95%CI: 1.010, 1.014	
				Risk factors for large outbreaks (>20 cases or 1/3 of residents)	
				include:	
				<ul> <li>Employment of agency nurses, OR: 1.85, 95%Cl: 1.23, 2.77</li> </ul>	
				• Full PPE for all residents, OR: 1.44, 95%CI: 1.08, 1.91	
				• Full PPE for infected residents, OR: 1.62, 95%CI: 1.24, 2.11	
				Analyses adjusted for known confounders (e.g., resident and	
				facility level risk factors).	

Temkin-Greener, H., Guo, W., Mao, Y., Cai, X., & Li, Y. (2020).  COVID-19 Pandemic in Assisted Living Communities: Results from Seven States.  Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. Epub ahead of print.	Sep 21, 2020	Cohort	4865 facilities, USA	This study compared characteristics of facilities with and without cases. Across states, fewer than 10% of facilities reported a case.  After controlling for resident characteristics and county-level COVID-19 rates, the odds of having a resident case increased with:  • Average resident age, OR: 1.05, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.08  • Number of residents, 9-29 vs. < 9 residents, OR: 1.82, 95%CI: 1.22, 2.72; >30 vs. < 9 residents, OR: 2.78, 95%CI: 1.85, 4.18  • % Residents with congestive heart failure, OR: 1.14, 95%CI: 1.04, 1.25  • Community spread, cases/1000, OR: 1.17, 95%CI: 1.10, 1.24  Total number of cases (in facilities with at least 1 case) was associated with:  • % male residents, OR: 1.03, 95%CI: 1.00, 1.06  • % black/Hispanic residents, OR: 1.08, 95%CI: 1.05, 1.11  • % residents with dementia, COPD, obesity (OR range 1.04 to 1.09)  Odds of at least 1 death was associated with:  • % Medicare only residents, OR: 1.10, 95%CI: 1.01, 1.19  • Number of residents (9-29 vs. < 9 residents, OR: 1.78, 95%CI: 1.02, 3.10; >30 vs. < 9 residents, OR: 2.83, 95%CI: 1.62, 4.93)	High
				<ul> <li>% residents with dementia, OR: 1.14, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.26)</li> <li>Community COVID-19 deaths/1000, OR: 4.44, 95%CI: 2.93, 6.71</li> </ul>	
Yue, L., Cen, X., Cai, X., & Temkin-Greener, H. (2020). Racial and Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Infections and Deaths Across U.S. Nursing Homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68(11), 2454-2461.	Sep 21, 2020	Cross sectional	12 576 facilities, USA	Data were reported for one week, May 25-31, 2020. 93% of facilities had zero new cases.  • Facilities with a high proportion of racial/ethnic minority residents had more COVID-19 related resident cases (mean 1.5 vs. 0.4 in highest vs. lowest quartile), resident deaths (mean 0.4 vs. 0.1 in highest vs. lowest quartile) and staff cases (1.3 vs. 0.7 in highest vs. lowest quartile). All differences were statistically significant.  • Facilities with higher proportions of racial/ethnic minority residents tended to be larger, for-profit facilities, affiliated with a chain, have more Medicaid residents and lower nurse staffing hours and were in counties with more COVID-19 cases and deaths.  Analyses adjusted for county- and facility-level characteristics.	Moderate

		Ι		I—	T
Bui, D., See, I., Hesse,	Sep 18,	Cohort	123 facilities,	This study examined the risk of COVID-19 infections and	Moderate
E., Varela, K., Harvey,	2020		West Virginia,	outbreaks based on Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services	
R., August, E.,			USA	star quality ratings from Mar-Jun 2020. 11% of facilities reported	
Atkins, A. (2020).				outbreaks.	
Association Between					
CMS Quality Ratings				Compared to those with no outbreaks, facilities with an outbreak	
and COVID-19				had:	
Outbreaks in Nursing				<ul> <li>Higher number of beds (104.1 vs. 84.6)</li> </ul>	
Homes — West				Higher number of residents (92.2 vs. 75.6)	
Virginia, March 17-				Fewer nurse hours per resident per day (1.9 vs. 2.2)	
June 11, 2020. MMWR.				Higher county-level incidence (177.8 vs. 105.1 per 100 000)	
Morbidity and				Lower overall star quality ratings	
Mortality Weekly				More historical substantiated complaints (4.8 vs. 1.3)	
Report, 69(37), 1300-				More health inspection deficiencies (14.9 vs. 10.5)	
1304.				<ul> <li>Specific health inspection deficiencies that were different</li> </ul>	
1004.				between outbreak and non-outbreak facilities were	
				Ouality of life and care (3.8 vs. 2.4)	
				Resident assessment and care planning (3.5 vs. 2.2)	
				All differences statistically significant but analyses not adjusted	
				for known confounders.	
Lipsitz, L.A., Lujan,	Sep 15,	Quasi-	360 facilities,	This study compared factors associated with infection and	Low
A.M., Dufour, A.,	2020	experimental	Massachusetts,	mortality rates over 9 weeks.	
Abrahams, G.,	2020	одрогинона	USA	mortality rates over a wooker	
Magliozzi, H., Herndon,			John	Key components that increased infections included:	
L., & Dar, M. (2020).				Lack of cohorting, OR: 3.0, 95 %CI: 1.34, 6.71	
Stemming the Tide of				<ul> <li>Inappropriate PPE use, OR: 2.16, 95%Cl: 1.42, 3.30</li> </ul>	
COVID-19 Infections in					
Massachusetts				Community prevalence	
Nursing				Weekly mortality rates were associated with:	
Homes. Journal of the				<ul> <li>Inappropriate PPE use, OR: 3.20, 95%Cl: 1.87, 5.48</li> </ul>	
American Geriatrics					
				Community prevalence	
Society, 68(11), 2447-					
2453.				Analyses not adjusted for known confounders.	

Shen, K. (2020). Relationship between nursing home COVID- 19 outbreaks and staff neighborhood characteristics. Preprint.	Sep 11, 2020	Cross sectional	7154 Medicare and Medicaid- certified facilities, USA	Determinants of COVID-19 deaths per facility were estimated using data to Jul 2020. 25-75% of facilities were infected per state.  Factors associated with higher death rate include:  • Average community-transmission where staff live  • Community transmission where LTC facility located  • Proportion of residents who are nonwhite  • Average severity of residents' impairment  • Occupancy rate of facility	PREPRINT
Dean, A., Venkataramani, A., & Kimmel, S. (2020). Mortality Rates From COVID-19 Are Lower In Unionized Nursing Homes. Health Affairs, 39(11), 1993-2001.	Sep 10, 2020	Cross sectional	355 facilities, New York State, USA	OR or RR not reported. Analyses adjusted for state-level factors.  This study examines the association between the presence of health care worker unions and COVID-19 mortality rates.  The presence of a health care union was associated with:  • Lower mortality (absolute difference -1.29%, 95%Cl: -2.41, -0.17  • Fewer infections: -50.1 cases/ 1 000 residents, 95%Cl: -96.2, -3.9  Analyses adjusted for known confounders.	Moderate
Emmerson, C., Adamson, J.P., Turner, D., Gravenor, M.B, Salmon, J., Cottrell, S., Williams, C.J. (2020). Risk factors for outbreaks of COVID-19 in care homes following hospital discharge: a national cohort analysis. Preprint.	Aug 26, 2020	Cohort	n=3,115 hospital discharges to 1,068 facilities, UK	This study followed hospital discharges to LTC to observe COVID-19 outbreaks from Feb 22-Jun 27, 2020. 30.1% of facilities experienced an outbreak.  A discharge from hospital was not associated with the risk of outbreak after adjusting for facility characteristics.  Factors associated with risk of outbreak include:  Number of residents (10-24 vs. <10, Hazard Ratio (HR): 3.40, 95%CI: 1.99, -5.80; 25-29 vs. < 10 residents, HR: 8.25, 95%CI: 4.93, 13-81; 50+ vs. < 10, HR: 17.35, 95%CI: 9.65, 31.19)  Local health board (proxy for community transmission)  Analyses adjusted for known confounders.	Moderate PREPRINT

Shi, S.M., Bakaev, I.,	Aug 25,	Retrospective	n=389	This study described risk factors associated with COVID-19 in	High
Chen, H., Travison,	2020	Cohort	residents, USA	LTC residents. All residents were tested between Mar and May	
T.G, & Berry, S.D.				2020, 37.5% tested positive.	
(2020). Risk Factors,					
Presentation, and				Factors associated with risk of infection after adjusting for	
Course of Coronavirus				confounders include:	
Disease 2019 in a				<ul> <li>Male sex, Relative Risk (RR): 1.80, 95%Cl: 1.07, 3.05</li> </ul>	
Large, Academic Long-				<ul> <li>Bowel incontinence, RR: 1.97, 95%CI: 1.10, 3.52</li> </ul>	
Term Care Facility. The				<ul> <li>% staff living in a high prevalence community (per 10%</li> </ul>	
Journal of Post-Acute				increase): RR: 1.06, 95%CI: 1.04, 1.08	
and Long-Term Care					
<i>Medicine, 21</i> (10), 1378-				Mortality rates increased with frailty (16.7% in pre-frail, 22.2% in	
1383.				moderately frail, and 50.0% in frail; p < .001).	
Sugg, M., Spaulding,	Aug 25,	Cross	13,709	This study explored the association between facility- and county-	High
T., Lane, S., Runkle, J.,	2020	sectional	facilities, USA	level place-based variables and COVID-19 cases in LTC. 40% of	
Harden, S., Hege, A., &				facilities reported at least one case. Clustering of cases was	
lyer, L. (2020).				similar to county-level clustering among the general population.	
Mapping community-					
level determinants of				Facility level factors associated with risk of COVID-19 include:	
COVID-19 transmission				<ul> <li>Number of fines in 2020, RR= 1.13, 95%CI: 1.07, 1.19</li> </ul>	
in nursing homes: A				• Licensed Practical Nurse staffing, RR: 1.07, 95%Cl: 1.00, 1.15	
<u>multi-scale</u>				<ul> <li>Total staff levels, RR: 0.86, 95%CI: 0.78, 0.94</li> </ul>	
approach. The Science					
of the Total				County-level factors associated with risk of COVID-19 include:	
Environment, 752,				• County COVID-19 rate, RR: 1.83, 95%CI: 1.70, 1.97	
141946.				<ul> <li>Per-capita income, RR: 2.20, 95%CI: 2.00, 2.42</li> </ul>	
				• County unemployment rate, RR 1.26, 95%CI: 1.16, 1.36	
				<ul> <li>Average household size, RR: 1.18, 95%Cl: 1.07, 1.31</li> </ul>	
				• % population African American, RR: 1.30, 95%CI: 1.20, 1.41	
				<ul> <li>Population per sq. mile, RR: 1.10, 95%Cl: 1.00, 1.20</li> </ul>	
				All analyses adjusted for known conferredors	
				All analyses adjusted for known confounders.	

	T	T	l	T	1
Stall, N., Jones, A.,	Aug 17,	Cohort	623 facilities,	This study explored the association between for-profit vs. not-	High
Brown, K., Rochon, P.,	2020		Ontario,	for-profit status on outbreaks, resident infections and deaths.	
& Costa, A. (2020). <u>For-</u>			Canada;	30.5% of facilities reported outbreaks.	
profit long-term care			n=75,676		
homes and the risk of			residents	Outbreaks were not associated with profit status of home, but	
COVID-19 outbreaks				were associated (after adjusting for confounders) with:	
and resident				• Rate of COVID-19 in the public health region, OR: 1.91, 95%CI:	
deaths. Canadian				1.19, 3.05	
Medical Association				<ul> <li>Number of residents, OR: 1.38, 95%CI: 1.18,1.61</li> </ul>	
Journal, 192(33), E946-				Older design standards of facility, OR: 1.55, 95%Cl: 1.01, 2.38	
E955.				<ul> <li>Local population size (&lt;10 000 vs. &gt;500 000, OR: 0.39, 95%Cl:</li> </ul>	
				0.18, 0.83; 10 000 – 499 999, OR: 0.56, 95%CI: 0.33, 0.95)	
				Extent of outbreaks and mortality was associated with for-profit	
				status after adjusting for number of residents, design standards,	
				and chain ownership.	
Figueroa, J.F.,	Aug 10,	Cohort	4254 facilities,	This study explored the association between health inspections,	Moderate
Wadhera, R.K., &	2020	0011011	USA	quality ratings and nurse staffing and number of COVID-19	Wioderate
Papanicolas, I., Riley,	2020		OUA	cases.	
K., Zheng, J., Orav,				Cases.	
E.J., & Jha, A.K. (2020).				Higher total nursing hours/resident/day and RN	
Association of Nursing				· ·	
				hours/resident/day were associated with lower odds of resident	
Home Ratings on				COVID-19 cases (OR: 0.82, 95%CI: 0.70, 0.95 after adjustment for	
Health Inspections,				facility size and county-level effects.	
Quality of Care, and				T	
Nurse Staffing With				There was no association between health inspection or quality	
COVID-19 Cases. The				measure ratings and COVID-19 cases.	
Journal of the					
American Medical					
Association Network,					
<i>324</i> (11), 1103-1105.					

	Aug 8, 2020	Cohort	13,167 facilities, USA	This study explored the association between nursing staff and confirmed COVID-19 cases, outbreaks and mortality.  71% of facilities had at least one case; of those, 25% experienced an outbreak (>1 case per 10 certified beds, or >1 confirmed and suspected case per 5 certified beds, or >10 deaths).  Factors associated with risk of a case include:  Lowest tertile of total nursing hours, OR: 0.83  Highest tertile of RN/Total nursing hours, OR: 1.22  County-level cases (Highest vs. lowest quartile, OR: 6.20)  Number of beds, OR: 1.01	Moderate
				<ul> <li>Factors associated with outbreaks include:</li> <li>Highest tertile of total nursing hours, OR: 0.82</li> <li>County-level cases (Highest vs. lowest quartile, OR: 6.32)</li> <li>Factors associated with mortality include:</li> <li>High total nursing hours (marginal effect (ME) = -1.06)</li> <li>County-level cases (Highest vs. lowest quartile, ME = 6.10</li> <li>Analyses adjusted for known confounders, but CI not reported. Authors note the decreased risk of infection with lower total staff hours may be related to fewer individuals coming in and out of the building and potentially introducing the virus, while increased staffing may help to control outbreaks and provide care.</li> </ul>	
Harrington, C., Ross, L., Chapman, S., Halifax, E., Spurlock, B., & Bakerjian, D. (2020). Nurse Staffing and Coronavirus Infections in California Nursing Homes. Policy, Politics & Nursing Practice, 21(3), 174– 186.	Aug 1, 2020	Cross sectional	1091 facilities, USA	The purpose of this study was to examine the characteristics of facilities with and without COVID-19. 24.9% of facilities reported at least one case.  Factors associated with confirmed COVID-19 cases include:  RN staffing levels < 0.75 hours/resident/day, OR: 2.06, 95%CI: 1.31, 3.30  Resident health deficiencies, OR: 1.02, 95%CI: 1.00, 1.04  Total beds, OR: 1.01, 95%CI: 1.00, 1.01  Medicare five-star nurse staffing rating, OR: 0.83, 95%CI: 0.72, 0.97  Medicare five-star RN staff rating, OR: 0.82, 95%CI: 0.71, 0.94  Analyses adjusted for known confounders, including community transmission.	Moderate

Chatterjee, P., Kelly, S., Qi, M., & Werner, R.M. (2020). Characteristics and Quality of US Nursing Homes Reporting Cases of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). The Journal of the American Medical Association Network Open, 3(7), e2016930.	Jul 29, 2020	Cross sectional	8943 facilities, 23 states, USA	This study describes the characteristics and quality of facilities with COVID-19 cases from Apr 22–29, 2020. 33.8% reported ≥ 1 cases.  Facilities that reported COVID-19 cases had:  • Residents with higher mean (SD) health deficiencies, 67.0 (67.6) vs. 56.2 (68.7)  • More emergency preparedness deficiencies, 3.9 (3.6) vs. 3.2 (3.4)  • More reported incidents 2.4 (4.7) vs. 1.1 (3.1)  • More substantiated complaints 5.7 (9.5) vs. 4.0 (7.4)  • For-profit facilities, 78.9% vs 69.1%	Moderate
<i>Open, 5</i> (1), 62616666.				<ul> <li>Higher mean (SD) % of Medicaid-insured residents 59.3% (25.2%) vs 56.7% (24.1%)</li> <li>Higher county-level infection rates (505.6 vs. 231.3 per 100 000</li> <li>There were no differences in outcome by staffing, overall 5-star ratings, or star ratings of deficiencies. Statistical significance is not reported, and analyses were not adjusted for known confounders.</li> </ul>	
Fisman, D.N., Bogoch, I., Lapointe-Shaw, L., McCready, J., & Tuite, A.R. (2020). Risk Factors Associated with Mortality Among Residents with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Long-term Care Facilities in Ontario, Canada. The Journal of the American Medical Association Network Open, 3(7), e2015957.	Jul 22, 2020	Cohort	627 facilities, Canada	This study compared COVID-19 mortality in facilities vs. community. 43.4% of facilities reported at least one case in resident or staff.  There was no association between presence of COVID-19 in a facility and number of beds, region, or for-profit status.  Resident mortality was associated with:  Staff cases with a 2-day lag, RR: 1.20; 95%CI: 1.14, 1.26  Staff cases with a 6-day lag, RR=1.17; 95%CI: 1.11, 1.26  Definition of 'lag' is unclear but appears to be lag between testing and results. Analyses were not adjusted for known confounders.	Moderate

White, E., Kosar, C., Feifer, R., Blackman, C., Gravenstein, S., Ouslander, J., & Mor, V. (2020). Variation in SARS-CoV-2 Prevalence in U.S. Skilled Nursing Facilities. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68(10), 2167-2173.	Jul 16, 2020	Cross sectional	3357 facilities, USA	<ul> <li>This study identified county and facility factors associated with COVID-19 outbreaks in skilled nursing facilities. 22.6% of facilities reported at least one case.</li> <li>Factors associated with probability of at least one case include: <ul> <li>County prevalence, for every 1,000 cases per 100,000, probability increased 33.6%, 95%Cl: 9.6, 57.7</li> <li>Facility size, for every 10-bed increase, probability increased 0.9%, 95%Cl: 0.6, 1.2)</li> <li>Higher star-rating for health inspections was associated with a 2.9% decrease in probability of a case, 95%Cl: -5.1, -0.7</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors associated with number of cases include: <ul> <li>County prevalence, per 1000 cases per 100 000, number of resident cases increases by 12.6, 95%Cl: 4.4, 20.8</li> </ul> </li> <li>Facility size, for every 10-bed increase, the number of cases increase by 2.0, 95%Cl: 0.9, 3.0</li> <li>Date of first county case, early county cases were associated with fewer resident cases</li> </ul>	High
				Analyses were only adjusted for state.	

Dutey-Magni, P.F., Williams, H., Jhass, A.,	Jul 15, 2020	Cohort	n=9,339 residents and	The purpose of this study was to assess risk factors for COVID-19 infection in residents and staff.	Moderate
	2020			infection in residents and stan.	
Rait, G., Hemingway,			n=11,604 staff	10.20/ (000/ Cl. 0.0. 10.0) of recidents and 5.00/ (000/ Cl. 4.7. 5.5)	PREPRINT
H., Hayward, A.C., &			across 179	10.2% (95%Cl: 9.6, 10.8) of residents, and 5.0% (95%Cl: 4.7, 5.5)	
Shallcross, L. (2020).			facilities, UK	of staff had confirmed infections.	
COVID-19 infection and					
attributable mortality				Factors independently associated with risk of infection include:	
in UK Long Term Care				• Male sex, HR: 1.32, 95%CI: 1.11, 1.56	
Facilities: Cohort study				• Age 75-84 vs. <75, HR: 1.32, 95%Cl: 1.03, 1.71; 85-94 vs. <75,	
using active				HR: 1.42, 95%Cl: 1.10, 1.82; 95+ vs. <75, HR: 1.43, 95%Cl: 1.01,	
surveillance and				2.03	
electronic records				<ul> <li>Bed type, nursing vs. residential, HR: 1.40, 95%Cl: 1.15, 1.70</li> </ul>	
(March-June 2020).				• Facility size, 45-59 beds vs. 20-34 beds, HR: 1.59, 95%Cl: 1.27,	
Preprint.				1.99; 70-85 beds vs. 20-34 beds, HR: 1.87, 95%Cl: 1.44, 2.43	
,				Average 85-100 residents per 100 rooms vs. 70-85 residents	
				per 100 rooms, HR: 2.48, 95%Cl: 1.84, 3.33; >100 residents per	
				100 rooms vs. 70-85 residents per 100 rooms, HR: 9.28,	
				95%CI: 6.20, 13.90	
				• Bed to staff ratio, HR: 8.22, 95%CI: 4.62, 14.63	
				Factors independently associated with all-cause mortality	
				include:	
				• Male sex, HR: 1.44, 95%CI: 1.30, 1.59	
				• Age 75-84 vs. <75, HR: 1.36, 95%CI: 1.14, 1.61; 85-94 vs. <75,	
				HR: 1.75, 95%Cl: 1.49, 2.06; 95+ vs. <75, HR: 2.32, 95%Cl: 1.88,	
				2.85	
				<ul> <li>Bed type, nursing vs. residential, HR: 1.36, 95%Cl: 1.21, 1.54</li> </ul>	
				Dea type, harsing vs. residential, thi. 1.30, 35/001. 1.21, 1.34	
				Analyses were adjusted for known confounders.	

Rolland, Y., Lacoste,	Jul 13,	Cross	124 facilities,	This study compared the association between self-reported	Low
M., De Mauleon, A.,	2020	sectional	France	adherence to COVID-19 guidance and resident COVID-19 cases.	
Ghisolfi, A., De Souto				24.2% of facilities had at least one case.	
Barreto, P., Blain, H., &					
Villars, H. (n.d.).				Facilities with no cases were more likely to:	
Guidance for the				Be publicly funded, OR: 0.39, 95%CI: 0.20, 0.73	
Prevention of the				Have organized staff within zones within the facilities, OR:	
COVID-19 Epidemic in				0.19, 95%CI: 0.07, 0.48	
Long-Term Care				Have higher reported implementation of preventative	
Facilities: A Short-				measures in the facility, OR: 0.65, 95%CI: 0.43, 0.98)	
Term Prospective				,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Study. The Journal of				Analyses were adjusted for known confounders.	
Nutrition, Health &				,	
Aging, 24, 812-816.					
Brainard, J.S.,	Jun 18,	Cross	248 facilities,	The study examined the relationship between staffing and PPE	Moderate
Rushton, S., Winters,	2020	sectional	UK	introduction and spread of COVID-19. 10% of facilities had a	
T., & Hunter, P.R.				COVID-19 case between Apr 5 and May 6, 2020.	PREPRINT
(2020). Introduction to				, ,	11121111141
and spread of COVID-				Time to first infection was associated with the number of non-	
19 in care homes in				care workers (e.g., cooks, maintenance, administrative)	
Norfolk, UK. Preprint.				employed. Compared to those with <10, 11-20 non-care workers,	
				HR: 6.50, 95%CI: 2.61, 16.17; 21-30 non-care workers, HR: 9.87,	
				95%Cl: 3.22, 30.22; >31 non-care workers, HR: 18.93, 95%Cl: 2.36,	
				151.9.	
				101101	
				Daily increment in cases was associated with:	
				Reduced availability eye protection (OR: 1.66, 95%C: 1.29,	
				2.13) and facemasks (OR: 1.26, 95%CI: 1.09, 1.46)	
				Number of care workers employed, per 1-unit increase OR:	
				1.04, 95%Cl: 1.02, 1.05	
				Number of nurses employed, per 1-unit increase OR: 1.18, Cl:	
				1.13, 1.24	
				1.13, 1.24	
				Cases were not laboratory confirmed but based on home	
				manager's judgement. Analyses not adjusted for other known	
				confounders.	
				Comountais.	<u> </u>

Li, Y., Temkin-Greener, H., Shan, G., Cai, X. (2020). COVID-19 Infections and Deaths among Connecticut Nursing Home Residents: Facility Correlates. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68(9), 1899-1906.	Jun 18, 2020	Cross sectional	215 facilities, USA	This study explored associations between facility and resident characteristics and COVID-19 cases and mortality. 50.2% of facilities reported at least one case by Apr 16, 2020.  After controlling for facility and county covariates, no relationship was found between RN staffing, five-star ratings, or % of Medicaid and racial/ethnic minority residents and confirmed cases or mortality.  Among facilities with at least <i>one confirmed</i> case, case counts were associated with:  RN staff, per 20-min increase, RR: 0.78, 95%CI: 0.68, 0.89  Star rating, 4- or 5-star vs. < 4, RR = 0.87, 95%CI: 0.78. 0.97  High % Medicaid residents, RR: 1.16, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.32  High % racial/ethnic minority residents, RR: 1.15, 95%CI: 1.03, 1.29	Moderate
He, M., Li, Y., & Fang,	Jun 15,	Cohort	1223 facilities,	No statistically significant associations were found for mortality.  This study explored the relationship between facility quality and	High
F. (2020). Is There a Link between Nursing Home Reported Quality and COVID-19 Cases? Evidence from California Skilled Nursing Facilities. The Journal of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21(7), 905- 908.	2020		USA	<ul> <li>COVID-19 cases and mortality. 35% of facilities reported ≥ 1 case.</li> <li>Factors associated with COVID-19 amongst residents include: <ul> <li>Quality ratings, 5-star vs 3-star, OR: 0.41, 95%CI: 0.27, 0.62; 4-star vs. 3-star, OR: 0.66, 95%CI: 0.44, 0.98</li> <li>Bed occupancy, per 1-bed increase, OR: 1.009, 95%CI: 1.006, 1.012</li> <li>% non-white residents, &gt;59.5% vs. &lt;59.5%, OR: 1.95, 95%CI: 1.49, 2.55</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors associated with COVID-19 mortality include: <ul> <li>Quality ratings, 5-star vs. 3-star, OR: 0.30, 95%CI: 0.18, 0.48</li> <li>Bed occupancy, per 1-bed increase, OR: 1.006, 95%CI: 1.003, 1.009</li> <li>% white residents, &lt;59.5 vs. &gt;59.5, OR: 1.64, 95%CI: 1.21, 2.23)</li> <li>For-profit status, OR: 1.69, 95%CI: 1.01, 3.00</li> </ul> </li> <li>Analyses were adjusted for known confounders.</li> </ul>	

Unruh, M.A., Yun, H., Zhang, Y., Braun, R.T., & Jung, H.Y. (2020).  Nursing Home Characteristics Associated With COVID-19 Deaths in Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York. The Journal of Post- Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21(7), 1001-1003.	Jun 15, 2020	Cross sectional	1162 facilities, USA	<ul> <li>This study compared facilities with 6+ deaths to those with &lt; 6. 15.8% had 6 or more deaths.</li> <li>Factors associated with having 6+ COVID-19 deaths include: <ul> <li>% Medicaid residents, highest vs. lowest quintile, 8.6%-point increase, 95%Cl: 1.1, 16.1</li> </ul> </li> <li>Mean resident ADL scores, for every 1-unit increase, 2.6%-point increase, 95%Cl: 1.4, 3.8</li> <li>Total beds, per bed +0.1%-point increase, 95%Cl: 0.00, 0.1</li> <li>Occupancy rate, per resident +0.3%-point increase, 95%Cl: 0.1, 0.5</li> <li>For-profit status, +4.8%-point increase vs. not for profit, 95%Cl: 0.8, 8.8</li> <li>Probabilities higher in New Jersey (+12.5, 95%Cl: 1.5, 23.6) and lower in New York (-7.8, 95%Cl: -15.6, 0.0) compared to Connecticut</li> </ul>	Moderate
				Analyses were adjusted for known confounders.	
Abrams, H.R., Loomer, L., Gandhi, A., & Grabowski, D.C. (2020). Characteristics of U.S. Nursing Homes with COVID-19 Cases. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68(8), 1653-1656.	Jun 2, 2020	Cross sectional	9,395 facilities, 30 states, USA	<ul> <li>This study compared characteristics of facilities with and without COVID-19 cases. 31.4% of facilities had a COVID-19 case.</li> <li>Factors associated with presence of a case include: <ul> <li>Facility size, &gt;150 beds vs. &lt; 50 beds, OR: 6.52; 50-150 beds vs. &lt;50 beds, OR: 2.63</li> <li>Urban vs rural location, OR: 3.22</li> <li>Higher % black residents, OR: 2.05</li> <li>Chain vs. non-chain OR: 0.89</li> <li>Geographic location, ORs varied by state</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors associated with outbreak size include: <ul> <li>Facility size, &gt;150 beds vs. &lt; 50 beds, % point change: -10.8; 50-150 beds vs. &lt;50 beds, \$ point change: -15.9</li> <li>For profit vs. non-profit, % point change: 1.9</li> <li>Geographic location, % point change varied by state</li> </ul> </li> <li>Analyses were not adjusted for any confounders. All factors were statistically significant, but CI not reported.</li> </ul>	Moderate

# Question 2: What strategies mitigate risk of outbreaks and mortality within LTC?

# **Table 4: Syntheses**

Reference	Date Released	Description of Included Studies	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating: Synthesis	Quality Rating: Included Studies
New Evidence Reported					
Frazer, K., Lachlan, M., Stokes, D., Crowley, E., & Kelleher, C.C. (2020). A rapid systematic review of measures to protect older people in long term care facilities from COVID-19. Preprint.	Nov 3, 2020 (Search completed Jul 27, 2020)	This review included 38 studies (5 preprints) that focused on the research question:  • 8 cohort  • 28 cross-sectional  • 1 case study  • 1 ecological study	<ul> <li>Strategies used in long-term care homes included</li> <li>Mass testing (22 studies)</li> <li>Use of PPE (10 studies)</li> <li>Screening of residents, staff, visitors (8 studies)</li> <li>Visitor restrictions (10 studies)</li> <li>Hand hygiene and droplet precautions (6 studies)</li> <li>Cohorting and isolation (11 studies)</li> <li>Most studies are cross sectional or have no comparator group, making it difficult to draw conclusions about the most effective strategies.</li> </ul>	PREPRINT	Low
Public Health England (2020). Factors associated with COVID- 19 in care homes and domiciliary care, and effectiveness of interventions: A rapid review.	Oct 28, 2020 (Search completed Aug 31, 2020)	This rapid review included 9 studies (3 preprints) that focused on the research question:  • 3 cohort  • 1 cross-sectional  • 2 outbreak investigations  • 2 descriptive  • 1 modelling	There is limited evidence on the impact of specific interventions on the transmission or prevalence of COVID-19 in care homes.  Based on weak evidence, interventions associated with significantly lower levels of COVID-19 included:  Routine facility wide testing followed by isolation of cases  Voluntary staff confinement in care homes  One modelling study reported that symptom-based detection and screening was least effective in reducing transmission of COVID-19 and digital contact tracing was more effective than non-digital approaches.	Moderate	Not reported

Gmehlin, C., & Munoz-Price, L.S. (2020). COVID-19 in Long Term Care Facilities: A Review of Epidemiology, Clinical Presentations, and Containment Interventions. Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology. Epub ahead of print.	Oct 26, 2020 (Search date not reported)	This literature review included: 12 studies, set in USA and European facilities (study designs not reported)	Containment interventions used by LTC facilities before an outbreak occurred included:  • Surveillance and social distancing • Cancelled group activities • Daily screening / symptom assessment (residents, staff, visitors) • Symptom-based testing (residents) • Infection control training (staff) • Visitation restrictions • Admission suspension • Use of metered inhalers vs. nebulizers  Once an outbreak occurred, additional strategies included: • Cohorting with universal / point prevalence testing • Universal use of personal protective equipment	Low	Not reported
			This study is limited in the quality of its review methods.		
Previously Reported Evid	ence		Teview memous.		
Rios, P., Radhakrishnan, A., Williams, C., Ramkissoon, N., Pham, B., Cormack, G.V., Tricco, A.C. (2020).  Preventing the transmission of COVID-19 and other coronaviruses in older adults aged 60 years and above living in long-term care: a rapid review. Systematic Reviews, 9(1), 1–8.	Sep 25, 2020 (Search completed Jul 31, 2020)	This rapid review included 9 clinical practice guidelines (CPG) from:  • Government agencies (n=3)  • Medical associations (n=3)  • Non-profit research trusts (n=2)  • International health organizations (n=1)	The most common recommendations among CPGs were:  • Surveillance, monitoring, and evaluation of symptoms in staff and residents  • Mandated personal protective equipment (PPE) use  • Social distancing/isolation or cohorting among residents  • Enhanced cleaning  • Promotion of hand and respiratory hygiene measures  • Sick leave policies  Further evidence needed on impact of restricting staff movement between multiple facilities.	Moderate	Very low

Update 1: December 11, 2020 33

Koshkouei, M., Abel, L.,	Apr 14, 2020,	This rapid review included:	Measures such as hand hygiene, regular	Low	Not
& Pilbeam, C. (2020,	(Search date	30 studies (study designs and	cleaning, and limiting staff movement		reported
April 24). <i>How can</i>	not reported)	countries not reported)	between facilities may reduce infection		
pandemic spreads be			spread.		
contained in care					
homes?			Further evidence is needed regarding		
			restrictions on visitors and testing of staff.		

# **Table 5: In-progress Syntheses**

Title	Anticipated Release Date	Setting	Description of Document
<b>New Evidence Reported Decem</b>	ber 10, 2020		
Cardot, T., Josseran, L., Herr, M., & Delarocque-Astagneau, E. (2020). Resilience of nursing homes in Europe during the first wave of COVID-19: a systematic review of control measures implemented according to the magnitude of the outbreak and national guidelines. PROSPERO, CRD42020223089.	Dec 30, 2020	Nursing Homes and long-term care facilities for aged residents in Western Europe	This review will explore the COVID-19 control measures that were implemented in nursing homes and long-term care homes in Western Europe during the first wave of the pandemic including room ventilation, hygiene management, access to and use of personal protective equipment, testing, physical restrictions on movement, isolation and cohorting of staff.

Update 1: December 11, 2020 35

# **Table 6: Single Studies**

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Population	Setting	Summary of findings	Quality Rating:
<b>New Evidence Reported D</b>	ecember 10	, 2020				
Shimotsu, S. T., Johnson, A. R. L., Berke, E. M., & Griffin, D. O. (2021). COVID-19 Infection Control Measures in Long-Term Care Facility, Pennsylvania, USA. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 27(2).	Nov 20, 2020	Case report	Residents, staff and visitors of a LTC facility	Pennsylvania, USA	<ul> <li>From Jun 23 to Oct 1, 2020, 5,625 nasal swabs (collected twice per week) and daily symptom checks were performed on residents, staff, and visitors. In addition, the following control measures were implemented:</li> <li>PPE required for all staff and visitors including masks anywhere in the facility, and N95 respirators in isolation and quarantine areas</li> <li>Strict hygiene practices for the staff and twice-daily cleaning.</li> <li>Only full-time staff; no per-diem staff</li> <li>New residents admitted were required to quarantine for 14 days or until 2 negative tests</li> <li>Family visits and group activities were not allowed</li> <li>Based on data obtained Sep 28–Oct 9, 2020, this facility's case number was 17 times lower than neighboring facilities.</li> </ul>	Low

Update 1: December 11, 2020 36

	T	Τ -	T	Τ		1
Psevdos, G., Papamanoli, A., Barrett, N., Bailey, L., Thorne, M., Ford, F., & Lobo, Z. (2020). Halting a SARS- CoV-2 Outbreak in a U.S. Veterans Affairs Nursing Home. American Journal of Infection Control. Epub ahead of print.	Nov 2, 2020	Case report	Residents and Staff	Veterans Affairs LTC facility, New York, USA	An outbreak was declared from Mar 24 to Apr 18, 2020. After an initial case was in the facility a variety of measures were implemented including:  • Social distancing isolation practices  • Resident activities were stopped  • Staff cohorting was introduced  • New admissions were stopped  • Hand hygiene was enforced  PPE use was also enforced, and stock quickly became depleted. As such, Ebola PPE stockpile was used including whole body suits, head and neck coverings, booties/shoe coverings, and N95 respirators.  Additional measures including the creation of a dedicated COVID unit, the use of rapid RT-PCR test, and universal testing were implemented.  Infection control measures lead to a decline in	High
					cases and full resolution of the outbreak by Apr 18, 2020.	
Sizoo, E. M., Monnier, A. A., Bloemen, M., Hertogh, C. M. P. M., & Smalbrugge, M. (2020). Dilemmas with restrictive visiting policies in Dutch nursing homes during the COVID-19 pandemic: A qualitative analysis of an open-ended questionnaire with elderly care physicians. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 21(12), 1774-1781.E2.	Oct 23, 2020	Qualitative	n=76 elderly care physicians	Long term care facilities and nursing homes in Netherlands	This study explored the dilemmas experienced by physicians because of the implementation of COVID-19 visitor restrictions in long term care and nursing homes in the Netherlands.  The visitor restriction policy contributed to limiting the further spread of COVID-19. The need for balancing safety for all through infection prevention measures versus quality of life of the individual residents and their loved ones is a core dilemma in long term care and nursing homes.	High

Annweiler, C., Hanotte, B., de l'Eprevier, C. G., Sabatier, JM., Lafaie, L., & Célarier, T. (2020). Vitamin D and survival in COVID-19 patients: A quasi-experimental study. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 204, 105771.	Oct 13, 2020	Quasi experimental	n=66 residents	1 facility, France	Residents who were administered oral vitamin D3 supplementation in the week prior or following a COVID-19 diagnosis (n = 57) were compared to those who did not receive Vitamin D3 as part of routine supplementation (n =9).  Vitamin D3 was associated with less severe COVID-19 symptoms (β=-3.84, 95%CI: 6.07, -1.62, p = 0.001) and lower mortality (HR: 0.11, 95%CI: 0.03, 0.48, p = 0.003).  Limitations of this study include its small sample size and quasi-experimental design. Reasons for declining standard Vitamin D3 supplementation were not reported.	Moderate
Montoya, A., Jenq, G., Mills, J. P., Beal, J., Diviney Chun, E., Newton, D., Mody, L. (2020). Partnering with Local Hospitals and Public Health to Manage COVID-19 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. Epub ahead of print.	Oct 9, 2020	Case report	n=215 residents	3 facilities, Michigan, USA	<ul> <li>Upon identification of an outbreak, a number of measures were put in place:</li> <li>Cohorting positive residents to a dedicated COVID unit within 48 hours of initial testing</li> <li>Providing in person, email, and phone communication to residents and healthcare workers, including testing results and potential exposures</li> <li>Re-educating staff on PPE use and monitoring of use; gowns, gloves, eye protection and N95 respirators were used in COVID-19 areas</li> <li>Allocating dedicated COVID unit staffing, with incentive pay</li> <li>Following patient care processes, including residents remaining in assigned rooms, not sharing equipment, and reducing staff exposure</li> <li>Point prevalence testing occurred three times, with decreasing prevalence</li> <li>A limitation of this study is that interventions were only described; it was not possible to determine which were actually effective, or more effective.</li> </ul>	High

Escobar, D. J., Lanzi, M., Saberi, P., Love, R.,	Jul 20, 2020	Case report	n=84 residents	1 facility, Pennsylvania,	This case study described an outbreak investigation at one nursing home and the	High
Linkin, D. R., Kelly, J. J.,				USA	strategies used to contain it.	
Doyon, J. B. (2020).  Mitigation of a Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak in a Nursing Home Through Serial Testing of Residents and Staff. Clinical Infectious Diseases. Epub ahead of print.					<ul> <li>Interventions to control the outbreak included:</li> <li>Serial rapid testing to identify, isolate, and cohort asymptomatic infectious residents every 3-5 days</li> <li>Establishment of a COVID isolation ward</li> <li>Daily meeting of multidisciplinary team of experts (infection prevention, quality improvement, geriatrics)</li> <li>Universal staff testing</li> <li>Universal masking for residents and staff</li> <li>Quality management staff as dedicated observers to prevent lapses in control practice and re-educate on appropriate personal protective equipment use</li> </ul>	
					A limitation of this study is that interventions were only described; it was not possible to determine which were actually effective, or more effective. No subsequent outbreaks occurred in the facility as of Jul 1.	
Dora, A.V., Winnett, A., Jatt L.P., Davar, K., Watanabe, M., Sohn, L., Goetz, M.B. (2020). Universal and Serial Laboratory Testing for SARS-CoV-2 at a Long- Term Care Skilled Nursing Facility for	May 29, 2020	Case report	Residents and staff (n=NR)	120-bed facility, Florida, USA	Published recommendations were put into place including training with infection prevention and control practitioners for hand hygiene, PPE use, and HVAC optimization. Twice daily screening for residents and staff, cessation of group activities, visitor bans, reducing staff working in multiple facilities and using telemedicine where possible.  Following a second resident testing positive,	High
Veterans — Los Angeles, California, 2020. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69(21), 651-655.					routine universal testing occurred every 14 days for 6 weeks, and exposed residents were cohorted in a dedicated area and a universal masking policy was applied for all staff and patients when outside their room. Over 6 weeks, prevalence decreased from 5.4% to 3.6% to 0.41%.	

Previously Reported Evidence								
Telford, C., Onwubiko,	Sep 18,	Quasi	28	Georgia, USA	Facility-wide COVID-19 testing for residents and	Low		
U., Holland, D., Turner,	2020	experimental	facilities		staff was conducted:			
K., Prieto, J., Smith, S.,					As a response measure in 15 facilities after a			
Shah, S. (2020).					confirmed case was identified (testing based			
<b>Preventing COVID-19</b>					on previous symptomatic screening)			
Outbreaks in Long-Term					<ul> <li>As a prevention measure in 13 facilities with</li> </ul>			
Care Facilities Through					no confirmed cases			
Preemptive Testing of								
Residents and Staff					Prevalence of cases was significantly higher			
<u>Members — Fulton</u>					among 'response' facilities (28.0% residents;			
County, Georgia, March-					7.4%; staff) compared to 'preventive' facilities			
May 2020. Morbidity and					(0.5% residents; 1.0% staff).			
Mortality Weekly								
Report, 69(37), 1296-					After 4 weeks of follow-up screening, overall			
1299.					prevalence was significantly lower in the			
					"preventive" facilities (1.5% residents; 1.7% staff)			
					compared to "response" facilities (42.4%			
					residents; 11.8% and staff).			

Lipsitz, L.A., Lujan, A.M., Dufour, A., Abrahams, G., Magliozzi, H., Herndon, L., & Dar, M. (2020). Stemming the Tide of COVID-19 Infections in Massachusetts Nursing Homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68(11), 2447-2453.	Sep 15, 2020	Quasi- experimental	360 facilities	Massachusetts, USA	All facilities completed an infection control checklist at baseline. Payment incentives were attached to passing unannounced monthly or more infection control audits, COVID-19 testing of >90% of residents and staff, provision of key data and providing residents with technology for virtual visits with family and friends.  Sites that had previous infection control deficiencies or failed an initial audit received additional support through onsite and virtual infection control consultations. All sites had access to weekly webinars and continuous Q&A communication, as well as PPE, staffing and testing resources.	Low
					For every 1-point increase in the infection control checklist score, there was a decrease in weekly infection rate (8%, p = .0007) and decrease in weekly mortality rate (3%, p=0.179).	
					Greater compliance with PPE and cohorting was	
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	4 05	0: 1 . 1	100	0	associated with large reductions in infections.	<b>.</b>
Wilmink, G., Summer, I., Marsyla, D., Sukhu, S., Grote, J., Zobel, G., & Movva, S. (2020). Real-Time Digital Contact Tracing: Development of a System to Control	Aug 25, 2020	Simulated model	n=120 individuals (80 residents; 40 staff)	Simulated model	A simulation model was developed to compare the effectiveness of a digital contact tracing system to other transmission control approaches (e.g., symptom mapping, manual contact tracing, polymerase chain reaction testing) in long term care facilities.	Not appraised  Interpret with caution
COVID-19 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes and Long-Term Care Facilities. JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, 6(3), e20828.					The digital contact tracing system was more effective in reducing COVID-19 transmission, with a lower number of new cases and lower mortality rate, compared to other approaches, likely due to its speed and efficiency in identifying cases. Symptom-based screening alone was the least effective method resulting in the highest number of new cases and mortality in the simulation model.	

Tolford C.T. Divotrom	Aug 15	Cohort	23	Georgia, USA	Among 23 facilities that reported 1 or more	Low
Telford, C.T., Bystrom, C., Fox, T., Wiggins-	Aug 15, 2020	Conort	facilities	Georgia, USA	COVID-19 infections, implementation of infection	LOW
Benn, S., McCloud, M.,	2020		lacilities		prevention control was greatest for screening	DDEDDINIT
Holland, D.P., & Shah, S.					measures and lowest for disinfection.	PREPRINT
(2020). Assessment of					measures and lowest for distinection.	
Infection Prevention and					Facilities with lower prevalence of COVID-19	
Control Protocols,					infections had greater implementation of social	
Procedures, and					distancing and PPE measures compared to	
Implementation in					facilities with higher prevalence of infections.	
Response to the COVID-					group proteins and a second	
19 Pandemic in Twenty-					Lower prevalence facilities also had greater	
three Long-term Care					implementation of:	
Facilities in Fulton					Enforcement of maximum occupancy in small,	
County, Georgia.					enclosed areas	
Preprint.					Droplet/contact precaution signage in specific	
					areas	
					Frequent training and audits of proper mask	
					usage by staff	
					Proper use of masks by staff in COVID-19 and	
					non-COVID-19 units	
					Adequate supply of PPE	
Belmin, J., Um-Din, N.,	Aug 13,	Quasi	17	France	Facilities that implemented voluntary staff self-	Low
Donadio, C., Magri, M.,	2020	experimental	facilities		confinement with residents (≥7 days) were	
Nghiem, Q., Oquendo,			(n = 1250		compared to facilities which did not.	
B., Pariel, S., & Lafuente-			residents;			
Lafuente, C. (2020).			n = 94		Only 1 (5.8%) facility in which self-confinement	
Coronavirus Disease			staff)		occurred reported cases of COVID-19, compared	
2019 Outcomes in					with 4,599 (48.3%) facilities with no self-	
French Nursing Homes			9513		confinement (p < 0.001).	
That Implemented Staff			facilities			
Confinement with			(n =		Lower rates among residents were found in	
Residents. The Journal			695,060		facilities with staff self-confinement compared to	
of the American Medical			residents;		those without for:	
Association Network			n =		• Confirmed cases (0.4% vs 4.4%)	
<i>Open, 3</i> (8), e2017533.			385,290 staff)		<ul> <li>Possible cases (0% vs 4.6%)</li> <li>Mortality (0.4% vs 1.8%; OR: 0.22, 95%Cl 0.09,</li> </ul>	
			Stail)		• Mortality (0.4% Vs 1.8%; OR: 0.22, 95%Cl 0.09, 0.53	
					A lower rate of confirmed or possible cases	
					among staff was also found in facilities with staff	
					self-confinement compared to those without	
Í		1		I	(1.6% vs 7.6%).	

	1		1		1	1
Echeverría, P., Mas	Jul 17,	Quasi	196	Catalonia,	Care facilities collaborated with 64 primary care	Moderate
Bergas, M., Puig, J.,	2020	experimental	facilities	Spain	teams in the use of a COVID-19 App tool aimed at	
Isnard, M., Massot, M.,			(169 long		monitoring residents' clinical symptoms for early	
Vedia, C., & Negredo,			terms care		detection of suspected cases, managing care, and	
E. (2020). <u>COVIDApp as</u>			facilities		monitoring potential transmission within	
an Innovative Strategy			and 27		facilities.	
for the Management and			facilities			
Follow-Up of COVID-19			for people		In the month following commencement of app	
Cases in Long-Term Care			with a		use, there was an increase in suspected cases in	
Facilities in Catalonia:			physical or		Week 1, but a decrease in weeks 3 and 4.	
Implementation			mental		Confirmed cases increased up until the end of	
Study. JMIR Public			disability)		week 3, then remained stable. Total deaths and	
Health and			, ,		deaths among suspected/confirmed cases	
Surveillance, 6(3),					increased over the first half of the month, then	
e21163.					decreased.	
32.133.						
					There was also a decrease in the number of	
					facilities classified as "high-risk" for COVID-19	
					over the month.	
Caspi, G., Chen, J.,	May 25,	Quasi	Not	Israel	Authors have developed a real-time heat	Low
Liverant-Taub, S., Shina,	2020	experimental	reported	101401	mapping website which captures data regarding	2011
A., & Caspi, O. (2020).	2020	Схроппоптаг	reported		the number of confirmed cases (residents and/or	
Heat Maps for					staff) in facilities within a specified time period, as	
Surveillance and					well as the rate of growth in cases in a facility.	
Prevention of COVID-19					well as the rate of growth in cases in a facility.	
Spread in Nursing					This tool could be used by officials to monitor	
					•	
Homes and Assisted					trends in facility transmission and determine	
Living Facilities. The					whether transmission may be occurring across	
Journal of Post-Acute					facilities within a specific geographic area,	
and Long-Term Care					allowing further investigation.	
<i>Medicine, 21</i> (7), 986-988.						

## References

Abrams, H.R., Loomer, L., Gandhi, A., & Grabowski, D.C. (2020). <u>Characteristics of U.S. Nursing Homes with COVID-19 Cases</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68*(8), 1653-1656.

Annweiler, C., Hanotte, B., de l'Eprevier, C. G., Sabatier, J.-M., Lafaie, L., & Célarier, T. (2020). Vitamin D and survival in COVID-19 patients: A quasi-experimental study. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 204, 105771.

Belmin, J., Um-Din, N., Donadio, C., Magri, M., Nghiem, Q., Oquendo, B., Pariel, S., & Lafuente-Lafuente, C. (2020). <u>Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outcomes in French Nursing Homes That Implemented Staff Confinement With Residents</u>. *The Journal of the American Medical Association Network Open, 3*(8), e2017533.

Brainard, J.S., Rushton, S., Winters, T., & Hunter, P.R. (2020). <u>Introduction to and spread of COVID-19 in care homes in Norfolk, UK. *Preprint.*</u>

Braun, R. T., Yun, H., Casalino, L. P., Myslinski, Z., Kuwonza, F. M., Jung, H.-Y., & Unruh, M. A. (2020). <u>Comparative Performance of Private Equity-Owned US Nursing Homes During the COVID-19 Pandemic</u>. *JAMA Network Open, 3*(10), e2026702.

Bowblis, J., & Applebaum, R. (2020). <u>Prevalence of COVID-19 in Ohio Nursing Homes: What's Quality Got to Do with It?</u> *Journal of Aging & Social Policy.* Epub ahead of print.

Brown, K. A., Jones, A., Daneman, N., Chan, A. K., Schwartz, K. L., Garber, G. E., ... Stall, N. M. (2020). <u>Association between Nursing Home Crowding and COVID-19 Infection and Mortality in Ontario, Canada</u>. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. Epub ahead of print.

Bui, D., See, I., Hesse, E., Varela, K., Harvey, R., August, E., ... Atkins, A. (2020). <u>Association Between CMS Quality Ratings and COVID-19 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes — West Virginia, March 17–June 11, 2020</u>. *MMWR. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69*(37), 1300–1304.

Cardot, T., Josseran, L., Herr, M., & Delarocque-Astagneau, E. (2020). Resilience of nursing homes in Europe during the first wave of COVID-19: a systematic review of control measures implemented according to the magnitude of the outbreak and national guidelines. *PROSPERO, CRD42020223089*.

Caspi, G., Chen, J., Liverant-Taub, S., Shina, A., & Caspi, O. (2020). <u>Heat Maps for Surveillance and Prevention of COVID-19 Spread in Nursing Homes and Assisted Living Facilities</u>. *The Journal of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21*(7), 986-988.

Chatterjee, P., Kelly, S., Qi, M., & Werner, R.M. (2020). <u>Characteristics and Quality of US Nursing Homes Reporting Cases of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)</u>. *The Journal of the American Medical Association Network Open, 3*(7), e2016930.

Dean, A., Venkataramani, A., & Kimmel, S. (2020). Mortality Rates From COVID-19 Are Lower In Unionized Nursing Homes. Health Affairs, 39(11), 1993-2001.

Dora, A.V., Winnett, A., Jatt L.P., Davar, K., Watanabe, M., Sohn, L., ... Goetz, M.B. (2020). Universal and Serial Laboratory Testing for SARS-CoV-2 at a Long-Term Care Skilled Nursing Facility for Veterans — Los Angeles, California, 2020. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69(21), 651-655.

Durao, C., Rafael Henriques, H., Costa, A., Sousa, D., Pinto, J., Faria, J., & Henriques, A. (2020). Measures to minimize the risk of COVID-19 infection in nursing homes: a systematic review. *PROSPERO, CRD42020214566.* 

Dutey-Magni, P.F., Williams, H., Jhass, A., Rait, G., Hemingway, H., Hayward, A.C., & Shallcross, L. (2020). <u>Covid-19 infection and attributable mortality in UK Long Term Care Facilities: Cohort study using active surveillance and electronic records (March-June 2020)</u>. *Preprint.* 

Echeverría, P., Mas Bergas, M., Puig, J., Isnard, M., Massot, M., Vedia, C., ... & Negredo, E. (2020). <u>COVIDApp as an Innovative Strategy for the Management and Follow-Up of COVID-19</u> <u>Cases in Long-Term Care Facilities in Catalonia: Implementation Study</u>. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *6*(3), e21163.

Emmerson, C., Adamson, J.P., Turner, D., Gravenor, M.B, Salmon, J., Cottrell, S., ... Williams, C.J. (2020). Risk factors for outbreaks of COVID-19 in care homes following hospital discharge: a national cohort analysis. *Preprint*.

Escobar, D. J., Lanzi, M., Saberi, P., Love, R., Linkin, D. R., Kelly, J. J., ... Doyon, J. B. (2020). <u>Mitigation of a Coronavirus Disease 2019 Outbreak in a Nursing Home Through Serial Testing of Residents and Staff</u>. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. Epub ahead of print.

Figueroa, J.F., Wadhera, R.K., & Papanicolas, I., Riley, K., Zheng, J., Orav, E.J., & Jha, A.K. (2020). <u>Association of Nursing Home Ratings on Health Inspections, Quality of Care, and Nurse Staffing With COVID-19 Cases</u>. *The Journal of the American Medical Association Network, 324*(11), 1103-1105.

Fisman, D.N., Bogoch, I., Lapointe-Shaw, L., McCready, J., & Tuite, A.R. (2020). <u>Risk Factors Associated With Mortality Among Residents With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Long-term Care Facilities in Ontario, Canada</u>. *The Journal of the American Medical Association Network Open, 3*(7), e2015957.

Frazer, K., Lachlan, M., Stokes, D., Crowley, E., & Kelleher, C.C. (2020). <u>A rapid systematic</u> review of measures to protect older people in long term care facilities from COVID-19. *Preprint*.

Gmehlin, C., & Munoz-Price, L.S. (2020). <u>COVID-19 in Long Term Care Facilities: A Review of Epidemiology, Clinical Presentations, and Containment Interventions</u>. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. Epub ahead of print.

Gomes, Z., Aithal, S., Antonipillai, V., Kurmi, K., & Baumann, A. (2020). <u>Prognostic factors</u> <u>associated with morbidity and mortality due to COVID-19 infection in adults using long-term care facilities: a systematic review</u>. PROSPERO, CRD42020198170.

Gorges, R.J., & Konetzka, R.T. (2020). <u>Staffing Levels and COVID-19 Cases and Outbreaks in U.S. Nursing Homes</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68*(11), 2462-2466.

Harrington, C., Ross, L., Chapman, S., Halifax, E., Spurlock, B., & Bakerjian, D. (2020). <u>Nurse Staffing and Coronavirus Infections in California Nursing Homes</u>. *Policy, Politics & Nursing Practice, 21*(3), 174–186.

He, M., Li, Y., & Fang, F. (2020). <u>Is There a Link between Nursing Home Reported Quality and COVID-19 Cases? Evidence from California Skilled Nursing Facilities</u>. *The Journal of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21*(7), 905-908.

Heras, E., Garibaldi, P., Boix, M., Valero, O., Castillo, J., Curbelo, Y., ... Piqué, J. M. (2020). <u>COVID-19 mortality risk factors in older people in a long-term care center</u>. *European Geriatric Medicine*. Epub ahead of print.

Kirby, R.S., & Kirby, J.A. (2020). <u>Correlation of COVID-19 Mortality with Clinical Parameters in an Urban and Suburban Nursing Home Population</u>. *Preprint*.

Koshkouei, M., Abel, L., & Pilbeam, C. (2020, April 24). *How can pandemic spreads be contained in care homes?* 

Li, Y., Temkin-Greener, H., Shan, G., Cai, X. (2020). <u>COVID-19 Infections and Deaths among Connecticut Nursing Home Residents: Facility Correlates</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68*(9), 1899-1906.

Lipsitz, L.A., Lujan, A.M., Dufour, A., Abrahams, G., Magliozzi, H., Herndon, L., & Dar, M. (2020). Stemming the Tide of COVID-19 Infections in Massachusetts Nursing Homes. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68*(11), 2447-2453.

Ly, T. D. A., Zanini, D., Laforge, V., Arlotto, S., Gentile, S., Mendizabal, H., ... Gautret, P. (2020). Pattern of SARS-CoV-2 infection among dependant elderly residents living in long-term care facilities in Marseille, France, March-June 2020. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents, 56(6), 106219.

Malikov, K., Huang, Q., Shi, S., Stall, N. M., Tuite, A. R., & Hillmer, M. P. (2020). <u>Temporal Associations between Community Incidence of COVID-19 and Nursing Home Outbreaks in Ontario, Canada</u>. *Preprint*.

Mas Romero, M., Avendaño Céspedes, A., Tabernero Sahuquillo, M. T., Cortés Zamora, E. B., Gómez Ballesteros, C., Sánchez-Flor Alfaro, V., ... Abizanda, P. (2020). <u>COVID-19 outbreak in long-term care facilities from Spain. Many lessons to learn</u>. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(10), e0241030.

Montoya, A., Jenq, G., Mills, J. P., Beal, J., Diviney Chun, E., Newton, D., ... Mody, L. (2020). Partnering with Local Hospitals and Public Health to Manage COVID-19 Outbreaks in Nursing Homes. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society. Epub ahead of print.

Morciano, M., Stokes, J., Kontopantelis, E., Hall, I., & Turner, A. J. (2020). <u>Excess mortality for care home residents during the first 23 weeks of the COVID-19 pandemic in England: a national cohort study</u>. *Preprint.* 

Psevdos, G., Papamanoli, A., Barrett, N., Bailey, L., Thorne, M., Ford, F., & Lobo, Z. (2020). <u>Halting a SARS-CoV-2 Outbreak in a U.S. Veterans Affairs Nursing Home</u>. *American Journal of Infection Control*. Epub ahead of print.

Public Health England (2020). <u>Factors associated with COVID-19 in care homes and domiciliary care, and effectiveness of interventions:</u> A rapid review.

Rashidul Hashan, M., Smoll, N., King, C., Ockenden-Muldoon, H., Walker, J., Booy, R., & Khandaker, G. (2020). *Epidemiology and clinical features of COVID-19 outbreaks in aged care facilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PROSPERO, CRD42020211424.* 

Rios, P., Radhakrishnan, A., Williams, C., Ramkissoon, N., Pham, B., Cormack, G.V., ... Tricco, A.C. (2020). <u>Preventing the transmission of COVID-19 and other coronaviruses in older adults aged 60 years and above living in long-term care: a rapid review</u>. *Systematic Reviews, 9*(1), 1–8.

Rolland, Y., Lacoste, M., De Mauleon, A., Ghisolfi, A., De Souto Barreto, P., Blain, H., & Villars, H. (n.d.). <u>Guidance for the Prevention of the COVID-19 Epidemic in Long-Term Care Facilities: A Short-Term Prospective Study</u>. *The Journal of Nutrition, Health & Aging*, 24, 812-816.

Rutten, J.J.S., van Loon, A.M., van Kooten, J., van Buul, L.W., Joling, K.J., Smalbrugge, M., & Hertogh, C.M.P.M. (2020). <u>Clinical Suspicion of COVID-19 in Nursing Home Residents:</u>
<u>Symptoms and Mortality Risk Factors</u>. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association,* 21(12), 1791-1979.

Schünemann, H., Brożek, J., Guyatt, G., & Oxman, A. (2013). *Handbook for grading the quality of evidence and the strength of recommendations using the GRADE approach*.

Shallcross, L., Burke, D., Abbott, O., Donaldson, A., Hallatt, G., Hayward, A., ... Thorne, S. (2020). <u>Risk factors associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection and outbreaks in Long Term Care Facilities in England: a national survey</u>. *Preprint*.

Shen, K. (2020). <u>Relationship between nursing home COVID-19 outbreaks and staff</u> <u>neighborhood characteristics</u>. *Preprint*.

Shi, S.M., Bakaev, I., Chen, H., Travison, T.G, & Berry, S.D. (2020). <u>Risk Factors, Presentation, and Course of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in a Large, Academic Long-Term Care Facility</u>. *The Journal of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21*(10), 1378-1383.

Shimotsu, S. T., Johnson, A. R. L., Berke, E. M., & Griffin, D. O. (2021). <u>COVID-19 Infection</u> <u>Control Measures in Long-Term Care Facility, Pennsylvania, USA</u>. *Emerging Infectious Diseases, 27*(2).

Sizoo, E. M., Monnier, A. A., Bloemen, M., Hertogh, C. M. P. M., & Smalbrugge, M. (2020). <u>Dilemmas with restrictive visiting policies in Dutch nursing homes during the COVID-19</u> <u>pandemic: A qualitative analysis of an open-ended questionnaire with elderly care physicians</u>. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association, 21*(12), 1774-1781.E2. Stall, N., Jones, A., Brown, K., Rochon, P., & Costa, A. (2020). <u>For-profit long-term care homes and the risk of COVID-19 outbreaks and resident deaths</u>. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *192*(33), E946–E955.

Sugg, M., Spaulding, T., Lane, S., Runkle, J., Harden, S., Hege, A., & Iyer, L. (2020). <u>Mapping community-level determinants of COVID-19 transmission in nursing homes: A multi-scale approach</u>. *The Science of the Total Environment, 752*, 141946.

Sun, C. L. F., Zuccarelli, E., Zerhouni, E. G. A., Lee, J., Muller, J., Scott, K. M., ... Levi, R. (2020). <u>Predicting Coronavirus Disease 2019 Infection Risk and Related Risk Drivers in Nursing Homes:</u>
<u>A Machine Learning Approach</u>. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, *21*(11), 1533-1538.

Suñer, C., Ouchi, D., Àngel Mas, M., Lopez Alarcon, R., Massot Mesquida, M., Negredo, E., ... Mitjà, O. (2020). Risk factors for mortality of residents in nursing homes with Covid-19: a retrospective cohort study. *Preprint*.

Telford, C., Onwubiko, U., Holland, D., Turner, K., Prieto, J., Smith, S., ... Shah, S. (2020). <u>Preventing COVID-19 Outbreaks in Long-Term Care Facilities Through Preemptive Testing of Residents and Staff Members — Fulton County, Georgia, March–May 2020</u>. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 69*(37), 1296–1299.

Telford, C.T., Bystrom, C., Fox, T., Wiggins-Benn, S., McCloud, M., Holland, D.P., & Shah, S. (2020). <u>Assessment of Infection Prevention and Control Protocols, Procedures, and Implementation in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic in Twenty-three Long-term Care Facilities in Fulton County, Georgia. *Preprint.*</u>

Temkin-Greener, H., Guo, W., Mao, Y., Cai, X., & Li, Y. (2020). <u>COVID-19 Pandemic in Assisted Living Communities: Results from Seven States</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society.* Epub ahead of print.

Tramarin, A., Gennaro, N., Dal Grande, G., Bragagnolo, L., Carta, M.R., Giavarina, D., ... Stopazzolo, G. (2020). <u>The impact of COVID-19 on Long Term Care Facilities (LTCFs) of an Italian Province: a cohort study and a retrospective analysis of observed vs. expected mortality. *Preprint.*</u>

Unruh, M.A., Yun, H., Zhang, Y., Braun, R.T., & Jung, H.Y. (2020). <u>Nursing Home Characteristics Associated With COVID-19 Deaths in Connecticut, New Jersey, and New York</u>. *The Journal of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine, 21*(7), 1001-1003.

White, E., Kosar, C., Feifer, R., Blackman, C., Gravenstein, S., Ouslander, J., & Mor, V. (2020). <u>Variation in SARS-CoV -2 Prevalence in U.S. Skilled Nursing Facilities</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 68*(10), 2167-2173.

Wilmink, G., Summer, I., Marsyla, D., Sukhu, S., Grote, J., Zobel, G., ... & Movva, S. (2020). Real-Time Digital Contact Tracing: Development of a System to Control COVID-19 Outbreaks in

Nursing Homes and Long-Term Care Facilities. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance, 6*(3), e20828.

Wu, J., Bourouiba, L., McCarthy, Z., Nah, K., Alavinejad, M., Tosato, M., & Bragazzi, N.L. (2020). <u>COVID-19 in long-term care facilities: a systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature</u>. PROSPERO, CRD42020192091.

Yue, L., Cen, X., Cai, X., & Temkin-Greener, H. (2020). <u>Racial and Ethnic Disparities in COVID-19 Infections and Deaths Across U.S. Nursing Homes</u>. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society,* 68(11), 2454-2461.