



Revue rapide évolutive, mise à jour 18 : Quel rôle particulier jouent les garderies et les écoles dans la transmission de la COVID-19?

Préparé par : Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils

Date: 7 décembre 2022

Citation proposée :

Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils. (7 décembre 2022). *Revue rapide évolutive, mise à jour 18 : Quel rôle particulier jouent les garderies et les écoles dans la transmission de la COVID-19?* <https://nccmt.ca/pdfs/res/schools-daycares-fr>

Veillez noter : Cette revue a peut-être été mise à jour. Consultez la version la plus récente de cette revue en visitant le Service rapide de données probantes sur la COVID-19 du Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils, au lien ci-dessus.

© 2022. Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils, Université McMaster. Tous droits réservés.

Le Centre de collaboration nationale des méthodes et outils (CCNMO) est hébergé par l'Université McMaster et financé par l'Agence de la santé publique du Canada. Les vues exprimées dans ce document ne représentent pas nécessairement celles de l'Agence de la santé publique du Canada. Cette revue a été rendue possible par un soutien financier de nib Health. Ce bailleur de fonds n'a joué aucun rôle dans la collecte ou l'interprétation des données.

Cette revue rapide est destinée à des fins d'information générale seulement. Les renseignements qui figurent dans le présent revue rapide sont fournis « en l'état » et l'Université McMaster ne fait aucune garantie, promesse et/ou représentation de quelque nature que ce soit, expresse ou implicite, quant à la nature, la norme, l'exactitude, l'exhaustivité, la fiabilité ou autre des renseignements fournis dans le présent revue rapide, ni quant à la pertinence ou autre des renseignements par rapport à des circonstances particulières. L'Université McMaster n'accepte aucune responsabilité quant à l'exactitude, au contenu, à l'exhaustivité, à la légalité, à la fiabilité ou à l'utilisation des renseignements contenus dans le présent revue rapide.

Les auteures déclarent n'avoir aucun conflit d'intérêts à divulguer.

Contributrice au projet : Marion Knutson, Michelle Quinlan, and Tamy Bell, membre du groupe de citoyens de *COVID-END in Canada*

Résumé

Contexte

Alors que partout dans le monde, les États luttent contre la pandémie de maladie à coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) en constante évolution, ceux-ci étaient confrontés à des décisions importantes quant à la manière et au moment de rouvrir et de gérer les écoles et les garderies. Bien que l'on sache que les enfants sont des vecteurs actifs d'autres virus, comme celui de l'influenza, leur rôle dans la transmission de la COVID-19 est beaucoup moins clair.

Cette revue rapide évolutive a été préparée pour soutenir la lutte des décideurs en santé publique contre la pandémie de COVID-19 et pour éclairer les politiques publiques en cas de nouvelles menaces de maladies infectieuses. Cette revue vise à recenser, évaluer et résumer les nouvelles données de recherche à l'appui de la prise de décision fondée sur des données probantes.

Cette revue se fonde sur les données probantes issues de la recherche les plus récentes auxquelles il était possible d'avoir accès au moment de sa publication. Une version précédente a été terminée le 12 août 2021. Cette version mise à jour inclut les données probantes disponibles au 17 octobre 2022.

Dans cette revue rapide évolutive, nous répondons à la question suivante : **Quel rôle particulier jouent les garderies et les écoles dans la transmission de la COVID-19?**

Qu'est-ce qui a changé dans cette version?

- Dans cette version, de nouveaux critères d'admissibilité ont été ajoutés afin de se concentrer sur les études les plus pertinentes au contexte actuel. (décembre 2022). Étant donné le nombre croissant de données probantes de grande qualité, les séries de cas et les rapports de cas concernant moins de cinq écoles ont maintenant été exclus. Par conséquent, trois précédemment incluses ont été retirées de cette version. Une version archivée de la mise à jour n° 17 peut être consultée [ici](#), et une liste des études précédemment incluses, mais maintenant exclues, peut être consultée à [l'Annexe 2](#).
- Plus d'études ont été publiées relativement aux variants préoccupants plus transmissibles. Dans les mises à jour des études de cohorte en cours, bien que le nombre de cas détectés en milieu scolaire et que la séroprévalence des infections précédentes aient augmenté, les taux d'attaque secondaires (TAS) demeurent faibles en milieu scolaire lorsque des mesures de prévention et de contrôle des infections (PCI) sont en place.
- Plus d'études ont été publiées qui évaluent l'effet de mesures spécifiques de PCI sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies. Le tableau 2 est maintenant divisé par mesure étudiée. Lorsque plus de trois études rapportent des données au sujet de la même mesure, ces données ont été incluses dans le tableau résumant les conclusions avec un énoncé GRADE sur la certitude de ces données probantes.
- Les variations régionales relatives à l'ouverture et à la fermeture des écoles en réponse à la transmission communautaire ont fourni des exemples d'expériences naturelles dans lesquelles les analyses statistiques peuvent servir à mieux comprendre les effets des fermetures et des réouvertures d'écoles sur l'incidence dans la communauté. Ces études renforcent les données probantes précédemment rapportées qui indiquent que la

réouverture des écoles n'augmente pas significativement la transmission communautaire, surtout lorsque des mesures de PCI ciblées sont en place.

- Dans plusieurs études, le séquençage du génome entier a permis de confirmer que la plupart des cas identifiés dans les écoles sont issus de la communauté plutôt que de l'école, même lorsque des cas sont regroupés sur une période donnée.

Points clés

- Bien que les données indiquent uniformément que les enfants peuvent contracter et transmettre la COVID-19, selon les rapports publiés à ce jour à la suite de réouvertures, le risque de transmission d'enfant à enfant et d'enfant à adulte dans les écoles primaires et les garderies est faible, lorsque des mesures de PCI sont en place et respectées. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est modéré (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront. Le risque de transmission dans les écoles secondaires est plus variable. Les résultats indiquent que l'adhérence aux mesures de PCI mises en place en milieu scolaire et la réduction des activités à l'extérieur du milieu scolaire sont des éléments essentiels pour cette tranche d'âge. Cette tendance semble correspondre aux données recueillies au sujet des premiers variants préoccupants. Bien que les nombres absolus de cas soient élevés, la plupart des infections proviennent de l'extérieur de l'école. Il existe moins de données sur Omicron que sur les variants Alpha et Delta.
- Les données indiquent que les masques pourraient réduire le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront. Le degré d'observation du port du masque pourrait être un important facteur de prédiction de l'ampleur de la réduction du risque.
- Les politiques qui permettent à une personne de continuer à fréquenter un lieu après avoir obtenu un résultat négatif à un test de dépistage (en anglais, « test-to-stay ») pourraient ne pas augmenter le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies comparativement aux politiques exigeant l'isolement de tous les contacts étroits en milieu scolaire. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- L'effet du dépistage de surveillance dans les écoles est très incertain (GRADE) et les conclusions sont susceptibles de changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- Les politiques de distanciation sociale pourraient ne pas augmenter le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- Le regroupement en cohortes pourrait avoir peu ou pas d'effet sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies. Le degré de certitude de ces données probantes est très faible (GRADE) et les conclusions sont susceptibles de changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- Lorsque les autres mesures d'atténuation sont prises en compte, on observe que l'apprentissage à temps partiel ou en mode hybride (qui combine l'apprentissage en personne et en ligne) pourrait avoir peu ou pas d'effet sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies comparativement à l'apprentissage en personne à temps plein. Le

degré de certitude des données probantes est faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.

- Selon l'ensemble des études, le nombre de cas parmi les élèves et le personnel enseignant reflète les tendances dans la communauté. Peu de données probantes indiquent que la fermeture généralisée des écoles réduit significativement l'incidence dans la communauté, les hospitalisations ou la mortalité, surtout quand des mesures de PCI sont en place. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- Trois études rendent compte de la transmission dans des camps. Leurs résultats correspondent aux résultats observés dans les écoles. Bien que la transmission puisse se produire dans des camps, elle pourrait être atténuée par les mesures de PCI en place. Les taux d'attaque secondaires pourraient être plus élevés dans les camps où les enfants passent la nuit que dans les camps de jour. Le degré de certitude des données probantes est très faible (GRADE) et les conclusions pourraient changer à mesure que de nouvelles données apparaîtront.
- Les études incluses dans cette revue ne contiennent pas de données probantes concernant l'expérience des inégalités sociales et structurelles vécues par certaines populations, comme les communautés autochtones ou racialisées. Plus d'études sont nécessaires pour assurer la représentation de ces populations dans la prise de décision

Aperçu des données probantes et lacunes dans les connaissances

- La mise en œuvre de mesures de contrôle des infections est importante pour réduire le risque de transmission, surtout lorsque les taux de transmission communautaire sont élevés. Si la réduction de la transmission est la grande priorité, la mise en œuvre de mesures de contrôle des infections est le moyen le plus efficace d'y arriver. Les données démontrent désormais les effets potentiellement négatifs sur la santé et le mieux-être mentaux et sociaux des élèves. Cela soulève la question de savoir si les bienfaits de la réduction de la transmission au moyen de ces mesures valent mieux que les effets négatifs subis par les élèves, surtout étant donné l'accès aux vaccins et à des traitements contre la COVID-19. Il existe actuellement peu de données sur les effets du relâchement de mesures de PCI spécifiques dans les écoles au Canada.
- S'appuyant sur des rapports de cas, des recherches de contacts et des études transversales réalisés précédemment, de plus en plus de rapports se servent de données de surveillance nationales ou régionales et de stratégies complètes de recherche de contacts et de dépistage pour minimiser la probabilité de sous-estimer le nombre de cas. Bien que les rapports de surveillance identifient des cas parmi le personnel, les élèves et les enfants des écoles et des garderies, il s'agit généralement de cas uniques ou d'un petit nombre de cas, habituellement inférieur à cinq
- Lors d'une éclosion, la transmission d'adulte à adulte semble plus courante que celle d'enfant à adulte ou d'adulte à enfant. Ce ne sont pas toutes les études incluses qui séparent ainsi les cas entre le personnel et les élèves. Le séquençage du génome entier montre qu'en contexte de transmission communautaire généralisée, les cas détectés dans les écoles sont plus susceptibles d'être issus de la communauté, même lorsqu'ils sont temporellement regroupés dans les écoles.
- De plus en plus d'études ont sélectionné aléatoirement des écoles/classes/individus pour les soumettre au dépistage d'une infection active (grâce à la méthode RT-PCR) ou

d'anticorps. Uniformément, dans ces études, peu de nouveaux cas sont détectés, ce qui donne à penser qu'une transmission asymptomatique généralisée ne se produit pas couramment dans ces milieux, surtout quand de rigoureuses mesures de PCI sont en place.

- Les études qui explorent les répercussions de la réouverture ou de la fermeture des écoles sur les taux de transmission dans la collectivité sont généralement limitées par leur dépendance à l'égard de simples corrélations et par un manque de prise en compte de facteurs de confusion potentiels, comme la coïncidence du moment de mise en œuvre choisi ou l'assouplissement d'autres mesures de santé publique, comme les balises encadrant les rassemblements, l'ouverture ou la fermeture des magasins et des restaurants, et l'exigence du port du masque dans la communauté.

Méthodologie

Question de recherche

Quel rôle particulier jouent les garderies et les écoles dans la transmission de la COVID-19?

Recherche

Les bases de données et les sources suivantes ont été interrogées pour trouver des données probantes relatives au rôle des garderies et des écoles dans la transmission de la COVID-19, et ce, jusqu'au 17 octobre 2022. Cette recherche s'appuie sur la recherche précédente réalisée dans la dix-septième version de cette revue rapide.

- [Medline](#)
- [Embase](#)
- [Global Health](#)
- [PsychInfo](#)
- [ERIC](#)
- [TripDatabase](#)
- World Health Organization's [Global literature on coronavirus disease](#)
- [COVID-19 Evidence Alerts](#) from McMaster PLUS™
- [COVID-19 Living Overview of the Evidence \(L·OVE\)](#)
- [PROSPERO International prospective registry of systematic reviews](#)
- NCCMT [COVID-19 Rapid Evidence Reviews](#)
- [medRxiv preprint server](#)
- NCCDH [Equity-informed responses to COVID-19](#)
- NCCEH [Environmental Health Resources for the COVID-19 Pandemic](#)
- NCCHPP [Public Health Ethics and COVID-19](#)
- NCCID [Disease Debrief](#)
- NCCIH [Updates on COVID-19](#)
- [Public Health Ontario](#)
- [Uncover \(USHER Network for COVID-19 Evidence Reviews\)](#)
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's [Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report](#)
- Institut national de santé du Québec ([INSPQ](#))
- National Centre for Immunisation Research and Surveillance ([NCIRS](#))
- National Institute for Public Health and the Environment ([RIVM](#))
- Alberta [COVID-19: Education and childcare](#)
- [COVID-19 School Response Dashboard](#)
- Québec [Situation in Québec](#)
- [Government of Ontario](#)
- Ontario [COVID-19 cases in schools and child care centres](#)
- Newfoundland and Labrador Centre for Applied Health Research ([NLCHAR](#))
- Health Information and Quality Authority ([HIQA](#))
- [Don't Forget the Bubbles](#)

Une copie de la stratégie de recherche complète peut être consultée à [l'Annexe 1](#).

Les informations concernant les politiques publiques sur les milieux de garde et scolaires ont été tirées des publications scientifiques et des pages Web gouvernementales de la santé publique pour les territoires couverts dans les articles de recherche inclus dans cette revue.

Critères de sélection des études

La recherche a d'abord inclus les synthèses récentes de haute qualité. Si aucune synthèse n'avait été trouvée, les études uniques ont été incluses. Les sources incluses ont été publiées en anglais et ont été soit révisées par des pairs, soit diffusées avant l'impression et avant leur révision par des pairs.

D'autres critères d'exclusion ont été établis afin d'affiner l'objectif de cette revue, étant donné la grande quantité de données probantes et l'évolution de la pandémie de COVID-19.

À compter de la présente version (décembre 2022), les études suivantes ont été exclues :

- Les séries de cas et les rapports de cas contenant moins de cinq écoles ou garderies ont été exclus.

À partir d'août 2021, les études ont été exclues si :

- Les données ont été recueillies avant janvier 2021, alors qu'on n'avait pas accès à des vaccins et que des variants préoccupants n'étaient pas répandus dans plusieurs pays.

À partir d'avril 2021, les études ont été exclues si :

- Les études qui ne font que rendre compte d'un nombre absolu de cas ou d'une prévalence globale dans une école ou un district sans calculer le taux d'attaque secondaire ou sans discuter la probabilité de transmission dans les écoles n'étaient pas admissibles.
- Les études qui décrivent le risque de COVID-19 ou de mortalité attribuable à la COVID-19 entre les parents, les élèves ou les parents d'enfants fréquentant l'école comparativement à ceux qui ne fréquentent pas l'école, ou les études qui ne décrivent pas l'exposition à l'école, étaient inadmissibles.
- Les études de modélisation prédictives qui n'utilisent que des données estimées (et non des données recueillies) n'ont pas été incluses.

	Critères d'inclusion	Critères d'exclusion
Population	Enfants et adolescents de 1 à 18 ans	Bébés
Intervention	Exposition à la COVID-19 ou diagnostic de COVID-19	-
Comparaison	-	-
Résultats	Cas confirmé ou suspecté de COVID-19	-
Contexte	Écoles, garderies, colonies de vacances	Activités parascolaires, comme les équipes sportives

Extraction et synthèse des données

Les données qui concernent le modèle d'étude, le contexte, le lieu, les caractéristiques de la population, les interventions ou l'exposition et les résultats ont été extraites lorsqu'elles étaient rapportées. Nous avons synthétisé les résultats sous forme narrative en raison de la variété des méthodologies et des conclusions des études incluses.

Les synthèses trouvées qui étaient pertinentes à ce rapport se recoupaient considérablement en ce qui a trait à la littérature primaire couverte, mais elles ne rapportaient pas toutes les mêmes données tirées de ces études primaires. Nous avons choisi de réaliser une nouvelle synthèse au lieu de rendre compte des résultats qui se recoupent dans les synthèses trouvées, et ce, afin de présenter les données de façon plus succincte et plus claire. Les études primaires ont été employées pour en extraire les caractéristiques et les principaux résultats, ainsi que pour en évaluer la qualité.

En raison du grand nombre d'études, celles-ci sont regroupées en tableaux afin que les études semblables puissent être examinées ensemble. Ces tableaux incluent 1) des études portant sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies; 2) des rapports de cas ou des séries de cas sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies; 3) des études qui explorent l'association entre les mesures de PCI et la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies; 4) les études portant sur la transmission dans les camps de jour et dans les camps où les enfants passent la nuit; 5) des études uniques en cours; 6) des synthèses; 7) des synthèses en cours; et 8) des données de surveillance canadiennes.

Évaluation de la qualité des données probantes

Nous avons évalué la qualité des données probantes incluses en utilisant des outils d'évaluation critique, comme nous le décrivons ci-dessous. L'évaluation de la qualité a été réalisée par un examinateur et vérifiée par un deuxième examinateur. Les conflits ont été résolus par la discussion.

Méthodologie de l'étude	Outils d'évaluation critique
Synthèse	Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) AMSTAR 1 Tool
Cohorte	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Cohort Studies
Étude transversale	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Analytical Cross Sectional Studies
Prévalence	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Prevalence Studies
Quasi expérimentale	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Quasi-Experimental Studies
Essai clinique randomisé	Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Checklist for Randomized Controlled Trials

Les évaluations de la qualité effectuées pour chaque étude incluse sont disponibles sur demande.

L'approche [GRADE](#) (Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations; Schünemann et al., 2013)) a été utilisée pour évaluer la certitude des résultats sur la base de huit domaines clés.

Selon l'approche GRADE en matière de qualité des données probantes, les **études observationnelles**, telles que celles incluses dans cette revue, fournissent des données probantes de **faible qualité**. Cette évaluation peut être réduite encore davantage en fonction d'autres domaines :

- un risque de biais élevé;
- l'incohérence des effets;
- le caractère indirect des interventions/résultats;
- des imprécisions dans l'estimation de l'effet;
- un biais de publication.

À l'inverse, elle peut être rehaussée sur la base des domaines suivants :

- un effet important;
- une relation dose-effet;
- une prise en compte des variables confusionnelles.

Pour chaque résultat, la certitude globale des données probantes a été déterminée en tenant compte des caractéristiques des données probantes dont on dispose (des études observationnelles, dont certaines n'ont pas été évaluées par les pairs, des variables confusionnelles potentielles qui n'ont pas été prises en compte, des essais et des protocoles d'essais différents, et une absence de groupes de comparaison valides). Un jugement selon lequel « la certitude globale est très faible » signifie que les résultats risquent fort de changer à mesure que de nouvelles données probantes apparaissent.

Résultats

Synthèse de la qualité des données probantes

Dans cette mise à jour, 58 nouvelles études individuelles, sept nouvelles synthèses, deux nouvelles études uniques en cours, deux nouvelles synthèses en cours et une mises à jour d'études individuelles précédemment incluses. 3 études **précédemment incluses** ont été exclues sur la base des nouveaux critères d'admissibilité, pour un total de 100 publications touchant à la question de recherche.

Une liste complète des études qui avaient précédemment été incluses et qui sont maintenant exclues peut être consultée à [l'Annexe 2](#).

Quel rôle jouent les écoles et les garderies dans la transmission de la COVID-19?

Résultats	Données probantes incluses		Certitude globale des données probantes (GRADE)	
	Méthodologie de l'étude	n		
La transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires)	Synthèses	8	⊕⊕⊕○ Modérée ¹	La transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies est probablement faible comparativement à d'autres milieux, surtout lorsque des mesures de PCI sont en place
	Observationnelle	26		
Les conséquences des mesures de PIC sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires)	Synthèses	1	⊕⊕⊕○ Modérée ¹	La combinaison de mesures de PCI est probablement efficace pour atténuer la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
	Observationnelle	39		
L'effet des masques sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires) vs aucun masque	Synthèses	1	⊕⊕○○ Faible ²	Les masques pourraient réduire le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
	Observationnelle	17		
L'effet du dépistage de surveillance dans les écoles sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires) vs aucune surveillance	Observationnelle	8	⊕○○○ Très Faible ³	On ignore si le dépistage de surveillance réduit la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
L'effet des politiques qui permettent à une personne de continuer à fréquenter un lieu après avoir obtenu un résultat négatif à un test de dépistage (« test-to-stay ») sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les	Essai clinique randomisé	1	⊕⊕○○ Faible ²	Les politiques qui permettent à une personne de continuer à fréquenter un lieu après avoir obtenu
	Observationnelle	6		

écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires) vs la mise en quarantaine stricte des cas étroits				un résultat négatif à un test de dépistage (« test-to-stay ») pourraient ne pas augmenter le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
L'effet de la distanciation physique sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires) vs aucune politique de distanciation physique	Observationnelle	6	⊕⊕○○ Faible ²	Distanciation physique pourraient ne pas augmenter le risque de transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
L'effet des politiques de regroupement en cohortes sur la transmission de la COVID-19 dans les écoles et les garderies (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires) vs aucun regroupement en cohortes	Observationnelle	3	⊕○○○ Très Faible ³	Le regroupement en cohortes pourrait avoir peu ou pas d'effet sur la transmission dans les écoles et les garderies
La transmission de la COVID-19 dans la collectivité (modification du nombre de cas, et nombre de cas par 100 000 avant et après la réouverture des écoles)	Synthèses	1	⊕⊕○○ Faible ²	L'ouverture des écoles et des garderies pourrait ne pas augmenter de façon significative l'incidence dans la communauté de la COVID-19
	Observationnelle	9		
La transmission de la COVID-19 dans les colonies de vacances (y compris le nombre de cas, le nombre de cas par rapport à la population et les taux d'attaque secondaires)	Observationnelle	3	⊕○○○ Très Faible ⁴	La transmission de la COVID-19 dans les camps est très incertaine
<p>¹Dans l'approche GRADE en matière de qualité des données probantes, les études observationnelles, telles que celles incluses dans cette revue, offrent des données probantes de faible qualité, et cette évaluation a été modifiée pour devenir modérée en raison d'un effet important.</p> <p>² Dans l'approche GRADE en matière de qualité des données probantes, les études observationnelles, telles que celles incluses dans cette revue, offrent des données probantes de faible qualité. Aucune modification n'a été apportée à cette évaluation.</p> <p>³ Dans l'approche GRADE en matière de qualité des données probantes, les études observationnelles, telles que celles incluses dans cette revue, offrent des données probantes de faible qualité, cette évaluation a été modifiée à la baisse en raison de l'incohérence des effets</p> <p>⁴ Dans l'approche GRADE en matière de qualité des données probantes, les études observationnelles, telles que celles incluses dans cette revue, offrent des données probantes de faible qualité, cette évaluation a été modifiée à la baisse en raison d'un risque élevé de biais et l'incohérence des effets</p>				

Attention

Comme il faut rendre rapidement disponibles les nouvelles données probantes sur la COVID-19, plusieurs études émergentes n'ont pas été révisées par des pairs. Pour cette raison, nous vous conseillons la prudence quand vous utilisez et interprétez les données probantes incluses dans cette revue rapide. Nous avons fourni une synthèse de la certitude globale des données

probantes afin de soutenir le processus de prise de décision. Lorsque c'est possible, nous vous recommandons de fonder vos décisions sur les données probantes de la plus haute qualité possible.

Tableau 1 : Études individuelles, Transmission dans l'école

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Setting, Location	IPAC measures	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating:
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Hargreaves, J.R., Langan, S.M., Oswald, W.E., Halliday, K.E., Sturgess, J., Phelan, J., ... COVID-19 Schools Infection Survey Study Group (2022). Epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection among staff and students in a cohort of English primary and secondary schools during 2020-2021 . <i>The Lancet regional health - Europe</i> , 21, 100471.	Oct 21, 2022	Cohort	Primary and secondary schools, UK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced ventilation Cohorting (varied by setting) Contact tracing Hand hygiene Masks Physical distancing (varied by setting) Quarantine policies Regular surface cleaning Testing 	<p>Serial RT-PCR and antibody testing were conducted in 7743 staff and 14,842 students in 91 randomly selected schools from Sept 2020-Jul 2021. Participation by school ranged from 12.9-48.8%. Infection and antibody prevalence were highly variable between schools. Infection prevalence was highest in Nov 2020 and lowest in July 2021, with higher vaccine coverage, although confidence intervals overlapped (data NR).</p> <p>From Nov 2020 to July 2021, antibody prevalence increased from 11.5% and 11.3% to 26.3% and 23.5% in primary and secondary school staff and 5.3-9.1% to 13.7-15.5% in students.</p> <p>Estimates of current infection prevalence in participants were lower than regional prevalence estimates by age group.</p>	Moderate
Cordery, R., Reeves, L., Zhou, J., Rowan, A., Watber, P., Rosadas, C., ... Sriskandan, S. (2022). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by children to contacts in schools and households: a prospective	Aug 24, 2022	Prevalence	8 schools and daycares, London, UK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cohorting Hand hygiene Masks (students, except when seated in class) Physical distancing Quarantine policies Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (students, staff) Testing 	<p>From Oct 2020 to July 2021, new cases where students had been in school within 48 hours were invited to participate; close contact testing was conducted for 4 weeks.</p> <p>Participation rate was low for out-of-school (median 8.8%, IQR: 5.25-10.0%) and in-school (median 22.5%, IQR 9.7-32.3%) contacts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Secondary transmission detected in 1 of 8 classes; SAR = 1.5% Household SAR = 28% 	Moderate

cohort and environmental sampling study in London . <i>The Lancet Microbe</i> , S2666-5247(22)00124-0.					School environment was also tested following case detection; low levels of found contamination are consistent with low transmission frequency, possibly due to sufficient cleaning and ventilation.	
Stebbing, S., Rotevatn, T.A., Larsen, V.B., Surén, P., Elstrøm, P., Greve-Isdahl, M., ... Astrup, E. (2022). Experience with open schools and preschools in periods of high community transmission of COVID-19 in Norway during the academic year of 2020/2021 . <i>BMC public health</i> , 22(1), 1454.	Jul 30, 2022	Prevalence	Preschools, schools, Norway	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting* • Enhanced cleaning • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning* • Masks* • Physical distancing* • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (students, staff) • Testing <p>*Contact-reducing measures adaptable to incidence level (e.g., three-level model).</p>	<p>Systematic surveillance of COVID-19 cases in 8311 schools from Sept 28, 2020-June 6, 2021.</p> <p>1189 (41%) contained only a single case. 474 (28%) had insufficient information. Of</p> <p>Across 1203 outbreaks, 5032 students and 1498 staff identified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48% students only; 6% staff only <p>Outbreaks were mostly small (median 3 cases; 2-72); 40 outbreaks (3%) included ≥ 20 cases</p>	High
Campeau, L., Thistlethwaite, F., Yao, J.A., Hobbs, A.J., Shahriari, A., Vijn, R., ... Zbar, A. (2022). Transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in British Columbia's largest school district during the second half of the 2020-2021 school year . <i>Canadian journal of public</i>	Jul 14, 2022	Prevalence	K-12 schools in one school district, Surrey, British Columbia, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Infrastructural adjustments (barriers erected) • Masks (grade 4 or over) • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Testing • Unidirectional flow of students 	<p>From Jan 4 – Jun 25, 2021, 2877 confirmed and probable student or staff cases were included; 83.4% of samples underwent whole-genome sequencing to confirm transmission links.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 262 cases (9.1%) confirmed in-school acquisition • 2142 (74.5%) confirmed out-of-school acquisition • 473 (16.4%) of cases unknown <p>126 clusters identified</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean cluster size = 3 (SD: 5.3); median 2 (IQR: 1, 3) • Staff were more likely to have acquired in school vs. students (13.0% vs. 8.6%, $p = 0.015$) 	High

<i>health, 113(5), 653–664.</i>					Staff were more likely to be primary case vs. student (OR: 2.62, 95% CI: 1.64, 4.21).	
Choi, A., Mâsse, L.C., Bardwell, S., Kayda, I., Zhao, Y., Xu, Y.X.Z., ... Goldfarb, D.M. (2022). Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools, British Columbia, Canada April to June 2021. <i>Microbiology spectrum, 10(4), e0062222.</i>	Jul 6, 2022	Prevalence	K-12 schools in one school district, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting (staff) • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Individual protection devices • Infrastructural adjustments (barriers erected; staff only spaces) • Masks • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies • Staggering times (recess, class transitions) • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Testing • Unidirectional flow of students 	<p>From Apr 12 – Jun 31 2021, 69 students (94%) and staff (6%) tested positive and 392 close contacts were identified (58% school, 30% household, 6% social, 6% other or mixed).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 48 secondary cases (SAR=12%) • 3/229 school contacts (SAR = 1.3%) • 43/117 household contacts (SAR = 36.8%) • 2/46 other/mixed (SAR = 4.3%) <p>Of secondary cases, 67% of school cases (2/3) identified through asymptomatic testing.</p>	Moderate
Van Heirstraeten, L., Ekinci, E., Smet, M., Berkell, M., Willen, L., Coppens, J., Spiessens, A., ... Malhotra-Kumar, S. (2022). Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in young children attending day-care centres in Belgium, May 2020 to February 2022. <i>Euro</i>	May 27, 2022	Cohort	100 daycares, Belgium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reported 	Screening via RT-PCR within an ongoing cohort study took place in May-June 2020, Nov-May 2021, and Nov -Feb 2022. No positive tests occurred until the Delta/Omicron wave began; 11 positive tests in 9 centers suggest transmission within daycares was low.	Moderate

<i>surveillance, 27(21), 2200380.</i>						
Boutzoukas, A.E., Zimmerman, K.O., Benjamin, D.K., DeMuri, G.P., Kalu, I.C., Smith, M.J., ... Butteris, S.M. (2022). Secondary Transmission of COVID-19 in K-12 Schools: Findings From 2 States. <i>Pediatrics, 149</i> (12 Suppl 2), e2021054268K.	Feb 1, 2022	Quasi-experimental	K-12 schools, North Carolina and Wisconsin, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Quarantine policies • Hand hygiene • Physical distancing (varied by setting) 	<p>Surveillance data from 1,102,039 students and staff from Mar 15 - Jun 25, 2021, were analyzed.</p> <p>7865 primary infections and 386 secondary infections were detected.</p> <p>Ratio of school- to community-acquired infections was 0.05.</p> <p>Among 102 districts with appropriate data, estimated SAR was 0.7% (range 0% to 33%).</p>	Moderate
Stange, M., Wuerfel, E., Peter, J.K., Seth-Smith, H., Roloff, T., Gsponer, S., ... Egli, A. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 in schools: genome analysis shows that concurrent cases in the second and third wave were often unconnected. <i>Preprint.</i>	Jan 28, 2022	Cohort	Schools and daycares, Basel-City, Switzerland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (students aged 12 years and older) • Physical distancing • Testing 	<p>Whole-genome sequencing was conducted with 83 students, 35 staff, and 117 close contact samples from Oct 2020-May 2021 within ongoing cohort. Samples were eligible when >5 clustered cases were identified.</p> <p>22 single cases and 55 clusters with 566 cases (range 2-63 cases per cluster) were identified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31 community-, 13 school-initiated (11 unknown) • 15 chains resulted in in-school transmission (vs. 29 out of school); 7/15 initiated by staff, 5 by students 	High PREPRINT
Blanchard, A.C., Desforges, M., Labbé, A.C., Nguyen, C.T., Petit, Y., Besner, D., ... Quach, C. (2022). Evaluation of real-life use of Point-Of-Care	Jan 23, 2022	Cohort	Two secondary schools, Montreal, Quebec, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Masks • Physical distancing (3 ft between desks) 	<p>Staff and students tested weekly via rapid antigen detection tests and PCR.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of 235 students who developed symptoms and tested on site, 10 had a positive rapid test and 12 had a positive PCR [prevalence=5.1% (95% CI 2.7-8.7)]. 	Low PREPRINT

Rapid Antigen Testing for SARS-CoV-2 in schools (EPOCRATES). <i>Preprint.</i>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 64 staff were tested for symptoms 1 had a positive rapid test and PCR. • Additionally, 1 case was identified by PCR after a negative rapid test. • Of all participants, 76 PCR (gargle or nasal) positive cases were identified, including three cases in staff members. 20 out of the 35 classes included in the study returned on D8 after contact, if the gargle PCR was negative on D6 or D7. • 15 secondary cases within 10 classes were identified. • Only 7 false positive rapid antigen detection tests during the 5-month study (all in asymptomatic individuals) and the specificity of the rapid antigen detection tests remained excellent in all circumstances (99.8% and 100%). 	
Heinsohn, T., Lange, B., Vanella, P., Rodiah, I., Glöckner, S., Joachim, A., ... Krause, G. (2022). Infection and transmission risks in schools and contribution to the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany—a retrospective observational study using nation-wide and regional health and education agency notification data. <i>Preprint.</i>	Jan 21, 2022	Cohort	Primary and secondary schools, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Testing *Varied by region and over time	National-level data were analyzed from Feb – Oct 2021, including 304,676 students and 32,992 teachers. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Infection risks ranged from 2-7.6% for the general population, 1.3-5.8% for students, 2.3-3.2% for teachers • Infection risk was lower for age <10 vs. 10-14 and 15-19 years • SAR ranged from 4.6-12.8% across counties • SAR increased in later periods with VOCs SAR rate was lower in school contacts (1.2%) vs. household contacts (23.2%) despite 2.2 times more contacts in schools than out	High <i>PREPRINT</i>

<p>Loss, J., Wurm, J., Varnaccia, G., Schienkiewitz, A., Iwanowski, H., Loer, A. ... Jordan, S. (2022). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among children and staff in German daycare centres. <i>Epidemiology and Infection</i>, 150, e141.</p>	<p>Dec 27, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Daycares, Germany</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Masks (staff) 	<p>From Oct 2020-June 2021, 30 daycares with a positive case included (282 children, 91 staff, 45 household contacts). 74% of close contacts included.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 22/30 (73.3%) of daycares had only a single case • Overall SAR=9.6% (95% CI: 4.0, 21.3%) • SAR did not differ between adult (11.2%) and child (7.0%) index cases, $p=0.706$ • SAR appeared higher with Alpha variant (15.9% vs. 5.1%), but not statistically significant • SAR in households (53.3%, 95% CI: 35.4, 70.4%) higher than daycares (NR), $p < 0.001$ 	<p>Moderate</p>
<p>Winje, B.A., Ofitserova, T.S., Brynildsrud, O.B., Greve-Isdahl, M., Bragstad, K., Rykkvin, R., ... Brandal, L. T. (2021). Comprehensive Contact Tracing, Testing and Sequencing Show Limited Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between Children in Schools in Norway, August 2020 to May 2021. <i>Microorganisms</i>, 9(12), 2587.</p>	<p>Dec 14, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>Primary and secondary schools, Norway</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting* • Enhanced cleaning • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning* • Masks* • Physical distancing* • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (students, staff) • Testing <p>*Contact-reducing measures adaptable to incidence level (e.g., three-level model).</p>	<p>Close contacts of confirmed cases identified from two districts pre (Aug 2020-Feb 2021) and post-Alpha VoC (Mar-May 2021).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 43 positive cases, 559 child and 100 adult contacts (60.3% participation) • SAR = 1.4%, 95% CI: 0.62–2.80 • No difference before (1.4%, 95% CI: 0.50, 2.94) or during Alpha wave (1.7%, 95% CI: 0.21–5.99), $p = 0.665$. <p>No difference between primary (1.0%, 95% CI: 0.27–2.53) and secondary (2.6%, 95% CI: 0.70–6.39) schools, $p = 0.229$.</p>	<p>High</p>

<p>Rotevatn, T.A., Larsen, B.V., Bjordal Johansen, T.K., Astrup, E., Surén, P., ... Telle, K. (2022). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Norwegian schools: A population-wide register-based cohort study on characteristics of the index case and secondary attack rates. <i>BMJ Medicine</i>, 1(1).</p>	<p>Oct 7, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Primary and secondary schools, Norway</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting* • Enhanced cleaning • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning* • Masks* • Physical distancing* • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (students, staff) • Testing <p>*Contact reducing measures adaptable to incidence level (e.g., three-level model).</p>	<p>Population registry data were analyzed from Aug 2020-June 2021 including 640,295 students and 102,574 staff in 2641 schools.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 15,390 (2.4%) students and 2419 (2.4%) staff tested positive • 4,078 index cases included in analysis (79% students, 21% staff) • 2,230 (54.7%) single cases • 631 (15.5%) resulted in one additional case • 1217 (29.8%) clusters had multiple cases; clusters more common in larger schools, amongst students, and in secondary vs. primary schools <p>SAR = 0.33% (95% CI: 0.32, 0.33%); SAR higher during VoC, no statistically significant difference.</p>	<p>Moderate</p>
<p>Zimmerman, K.O., Brookhart, M.A., Kalu, I.C., Boutzoukas, A.E., McGann, K.A., Smith, M.J., ... ABC Science Collaborative (2021). Community SARS-CoV-2 Surge and Within-School Transmission. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 148(4), e2021052686.</p>	<p>Oct 1, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>K-12 schools, 13 districts, North Carolina, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning • Infrastructural adjustments (no locker room use) • Masks • One student per bus seat • Physical distancing • Symptom screening (varied by period in school year) • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Temperature checking (varied by period in school year) • Unidirectional flow of students 	<p>Surveillance data were collected from 13 districts, including >100,000 staff and students during community surge (Oct 2020-Feb 2021) compared to pre-surge (Aug-Oct 2020).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rate of primary infections in schools paralleled community rates before and during surge. • 209 school-acquired infections were identified, SAR <1%. • 75% of infections occurred in school sports 	<p>Moderate</p>

<p>Nelson, S. B., Dugdale, C. M., Bilinski, A., Cosar, D., Pollock, N. R., & Ciaranello, A. (2021). Prevalence and risk factors for in-school transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Massachusetts K-12 public schools, 2020-2021. <i>Preprint</i>.</p>	<p>Sep 26, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>K-12 Public schools, Massachusetts, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Testing 	<p>Data were collected from 70 schools from Aug 2020-June 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 435 index cases, 1,771 school contacts identified • Of 1327 (75%) who were tested, 39 tested positive, 29 deemed possible or probably in-school transmission, SAR = 2.2% • Of school-related cases, 6 (20.7%) were staff-to-staff, 7 (24.1%) staff-to-student, 3 (10.3%) student-to-staff, and 13 (44.8%) student-to-student • SAR was higher for staff vs. student index cases (RR: 2.18, 95% CI 1.06-4.49; p=0.030), if exposure occurred at lunch (RR 5.74, 95% CI 2.11-15.63; p<0.001) • SAR did not differ by grade level. 	<p>Moderate <i>PREPRINT</i></p>
<p>Rowland, L. C., Hahn, J. B., Jelderks, T. L., Welch, N. M., & Ramirez, D. W. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 incidence and transmission in 48 K-12 Virginia public schools during community surge. <i>Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society</i>, 10(11), 1018-1022.</p>	<p>Aug 26, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>K-12 public schools, Virginia, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning (surface disinfection) • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Masks • Symptom screening • Physical barriers (e.g., isolation room, plexiglass for separation). • Physical distancing • School staff and nurses received training as part of prevention strategy. 	<p>School district data on positive school-related cases were collected from Sept-Jan 2021. Community surge occurred in Dec 2020.</p> <p>Among 20,681 students and 4,282 in-person staff, 820 positive cases were identified that entered a school building during a potentially infectious period.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 33 of 820 (4.0%) attributed to in-school transmission • 490/820 (59.8%) linked to household contacts • 221/820 (27.0%) could not be determined 	<p>Moderate</p>

Previously reported evidence						
<p>Aiano, F., McOwat, K., Obi, C., Powell, A.A., Flood, J., Bhardwaj, S., ... Saliba, V. (2022). A cross-sectional national investigation of COVID-19 outbreaks in nurseries during rapid spread of the Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant of SARS-CoV-2 in England. <i>BMC public health</i>, 22(1), 1845.</p>	<p>Oct 2, 2022</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>Daycares, England, UK (B.1.1.7)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Physical distancing 	<p>From Nov 2, 2020 – Jan 31, 2021, 324/32,852 daycares reported an outbreak (0.98%). This study includes data from 173 daycares, reporting 1657 cases: 510 children (31%), 1147 staff (69%) (median 8 cases/outbreak, mode 2 cases/outbreak)</p> <p>Overall SAR was 9.1% (95% CI=8.65,9.48)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child index case in 26% of outbreaks: SAR: 7.97% (95% CI=7.24,8.77) (Highest in those <1 and decreased with age) • Staff index case in 72% of outbreaks: SAR: 9.48% (95% CI=8.98,10.0) <p>Staff to staff transmission was highest (SAR: 32.98, 95% CI=31.19,34.82), followed by child to staff (SAR: 26.28, 95% CI=23.54,29.21) and lowest in child-to-child transmission (SAR: 3.55, 95% CI=3.01,4.19).</p> <p>SAR were higher in Jan 2021 when B.1.1.7 variants increased (compared to Nov 2020), suggesting variants may be more transmissible, although community rates also rose at the same time:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Children: SAR: 4.21% (95% CI=3.72,4.77) vs. 2.34% (95% CI=1.94,2.81) • Staff: SAR: 33.96% (95% CI=31.52,36.48) vs. 24.26% (95% CI=21.97,26.72) 	<p>Moderate</p>
<p>Ladhani, S.N., Ireland, G., Baawuah, F., Beckmann, J., Okike, I.O., Ahmad, S., ... Ramsay, M.E. (2021). Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant, infection rates, antibody</p>	<p>Nov 1, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Secondary schools, Derbyshire, West London, East London, Greater Manchester, Hertfordshire and Birmingham, England</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (students, except when seated in class) • Widespread full closure in Mar 2020 partial reopening in Jun 2020, in-person Sep 2020 and closure Jan 5 - Mar 8, 2021 	<p>Point-prevalence testing occurred in 18 secondary schools at points: T1, Sept 22 – Oct 17, 2020, T2, Dec 3 – 17, 2020 and T3, Mar 23 – Apr 21, 2021.</p> <p>At T3, only 5.7% of students and 70.3% of staff attended in-person school part-time and 11.5% of students and 29.7% of staff attended full-time. 42.9% of staff and 1.3% of students reported being vaccinated.</p>	<p>Moderate</p>

<p>seroconversion and seroprevalence rates in secondary school students and staff: Active prospective surveillance, December 2020 to March 2021, England. <i>The Journal of infection</i>, 83(5), 573–580.</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • From T2-T3, 5.7% (62/1094) of students and 4.4% (35/792) of staff had RT-PCR confirmed infection (through study testing or national registry) • Seroprevalence increased from 11.0% at T1, to 13.3% at T2 and 20.9% at T3. • Using the N and S antibody test, at T3, seroprevalence was 36.3% (370/1018) in students and 31.9% (245/769) in staff <p>Seroprevalence varied widely by region.</p> <p>Students who attended school in-person during at T3 had higher odds of seropositivity vs. those learning from home: OR: 2.27 (95% CI=1.06,4.68)</p>	
<p>Haag, L., Blankenburg, J., Unrath, M., Grabietz, J., Kahre, E., Galow, L., ... Armann, J.P. (2021). Prevalence and Transmission of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Type 2 in Childcare Facilities: A Longitudinal Study. <i>The Journal of pediatrics</i>, 237, 136–142.</p>	Oct 1, 2021	Cohort	14 Daycare facilities for children aged 1-6, Dresden, Saxony, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (parents) 	<p>From Jul 15, 2020 – Jan 31, 2021, COVID-19 seropositivity of 318 children, 299 parents, and 233 staff from 14 daycares was monitored during periods of low and high community prevalence. No participants were seropositive at baseline.</p> <p>Period of low prevalence; 4 confirmed cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1/154 (0.6%) staff • 1/196 (0.5%) parent • 2/232 (0.9%) children <p>Period of high prevalence; 63 confirmed cases in 8 facilities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 23/87 (12.3%) staff <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ More administrative staff (20.8%) vs. childcare staff (8.1%), p=0.034 • 25/236 (10.6%) parents • 15/222 (6.8%) children <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 4 clusters, range 2-3 children <p>5/12 cases had no facility link</p>	Moderate
<p>Ulyte, A., Radtke, T., Abela, I.A.,</p>	Jul 19, 2021	Cohort	Primary, middle and	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Contact tracing 	In Jun/Jul, Oct/Nov 2020, Mar/Apr 2021 classes and schools were randomly	Moderate

<p>Haile, S.R., Ammann, P., Berger, C., ... Kriemler, S. (2021). Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and clusters in school children from June 2020 to April 2021: prospective cohort study Ciao Corona. <i>Swiss medical weekly</i>, 151, w30092.</p>			<p>secondary schools, Zurich, Switzerland</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (gradual adoption starting with adults then upper and middle schools, masks for lower age children not mandated) • Physical distancing • Reduced common activities • Quarantine policies • School-wide screening with RT-PCR testing in cases of suspected outbreak starting Feb 2021 • Schools remained open for physical attendance from May 2020 to the end of the 2020/21 school year 	<p>selected to take part in seroprevalence testing. 2974 children from 275 classes in 55 schools enrolled. Median participation within each class was 50%.</p> <p>Seroprevalence increased from 1.5% (95% CI=0.6,2.6) in Jun – Jul to 6.6% (95% CI=4.0,8.9) in Oct – Nov and 16.4% (95% CI=12.1,19.5) in Mar-Apr 2021. Community daily incidence of positive cases peaked at 88/100,000 on Oct 28, 2020.</p> <p>There were no differences by sex but did differ by district and age.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher in middle school (aged 8-13, 19.5%) vs. upper level (age 12-17, 12.4%), p=0.02 • No difference between lower (aged 7-19, 16%) and middle or upper. <p>At least 1 seropositive child was detected in all 55 schools and in 184/275 (67%) classes, (range 0-15 per school, 0-13 per class).</p> <p>14% of classes at clusters of 3+ cases; 25 were investigated further. Within-school transmission was likely in 12/25 (48%), improbable in 7/25 (28%) and undetermined in 6/25 (24%).</p> <p>Most PCR-positive cases were linked to a household source.</p>	
<p>Schenk, B., Hoehl, S., Rudych, O., Menger, D., Farmand, S., Wrobel, F. ... Ciesek, S. (2021). Longitudinal testing for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in day care centers in</p>	<p>Jul 3, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Daycare centers, Hesse, Germany</p>	<p>Not reported</p>	<p>SAFE KiDS 2: 577 children, 334 staff from 47 daycare centers were tested weekly for 4 weeks via RT-PCR in Jan – Feb 2021. 7-day community incidence 66.0 to 138.7 per 100,000</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7/577 (1.21%) children tested positive • 1/334 (0.3%) staff tested positive • Only 3/8 positive cases were confirmed via health dept testing 	<p>Low PREPRINT</p>

<p>Hesse, Germany, during increased local incidence and with VOC Alpha as dominant variant: Results of the SAFE KIDS 2 and SAFE KIDS 3 study. Preprint.</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In 6/8 positive cases, other household members also tested positive • No in-school transmission was detected • No VOC detected <p>SAFE KIDS 3: 756 children, 226 staff from 46 daycares centres tested weekly for 4 weeks via RT-PCR in May – Jun 2021. 7-day community incidence 4.7-124.6 per 100,000; alpha VOC prominent. No positive results were detected.</p> <p>Results suggest that daycare centers have a limited role in transmission even with high community incidence.</p>	
<p>Gettings, J.R., Gold, J.A.W., Kimball, A., Forsberg, K., Scott, C., Uehara, A. ... Vallabhaneni, S. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 transmission in a Georgia school district – United States, December 2020–January 2021. Clinical Infectious Diseases, ciab332.</p>	<p>Apr 17, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>School district in metropolitan Atlanta, Georgia, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Masks (except during sports) • Physical distancing (<3 ft. in elementary schools due to higher class sizes) • Plastic barriers around desks 	<p>From Dec 1, 2020 – Jan 22, 2021, 98 school cases were identified; 86 included in analysis:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 33 (38.4%) staff; 53 (61.6%) students <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Of 1,119 close contacts, 68 of 688 tested were positive <p>Secondary Attack Rate (SAR) among:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students: 5.8% (95% CI=3.6,8.0) • Staff: 13.1% (95% CI=9.0,17.2) <p>Higher SAR occurred in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Indoor high impact sports: 23.8% (95% CI=12.7,33.3) • Staff interactions: 18.2% (95% CI=4.5,31.8) • Elementary classrooms: 9.5% (95% CI=6.5,12.5) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Elementary teachers: 15.0 (95% CI=10.2,19.8) ○ Symptomatic staff; 13.7% (95% CI=9.1,17.8) <p>Lower SAR occurred in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Asymptomatic students: 2.3% (95% CI=0.6,4.6) • Elementary students: 2.7% (95% CI=0.7,5.3) 	<p>Moderate</p>

					69 samples were sequenced. No variants of concern were detected.	
Gandini, S., Rainisio, M., Iannuzzo, M.L., Bellerba, F., Cecconi, F., & Scorrano, L. (2021). A cross-sectional and prospective cohort study of the role of schools in the SARS-CoV-2 second wave in Italy , <i>The Lancet Regional Health – Europe</i> , 5, 100092.	Mar 26, 2021	Prevalence	Kindergarten, elementary, middle and high schools, Italy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ban on sports and music • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Masks (staff, high school students) • Negative test following exposure (some schools) • Physical distancing (1m between seats) • Reduced school hours • Temperature check • Unidirectional flow of students 	<p>From Sep 30, 2020 – Feb 28, 2021, incidence and positivity were lower amongst elementary and middle school students compared to general population; incidence was higher in high school students in 3 of 19 regions. Incidence in teachers was no different from other occupations after adjusting for age.</p> <p>Active contact tracing occurred following case identification from Nov 23 – Dec 5, 2020; mean number of tests per case ranged from 9-17. Clusters (2+ cases in 1 week) were found in 5-7% of schools with a case.</p> <p>Teacher to teacher transmission (37%) was more common than student to teacher (10%) (p=0.007).</p> <p>Incidence by school level (Nov 23-28):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kindergarten: 0.21% of children and 2.35% of teachers • Elementary: 0.35% of children and 1.83% of teachers • Middle: 0.45% of students and 1.60% of teachers <p>Increase in R_0 was not associated with staggered school reopening date but were linked to a national election. School closures in two regions did not lower R_0.</p>	High
Hershow, R.B., Wu, K., Lewis, N.M., Milne, A.T.,	Mar 26, 2021	Cross-sectional	K-6 schools, Salt Lake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Hybrid learning (81% in-person) 	From Dec 3 – Jan 21, 2021, susceptible school contacts of 51 index cases (40 students, 11 staff) were contacted:	Moderate

Currie, D., Smith, A.R., ... Chu, V.T. (2021). Low SARS-CoV-2 transmission in elementary schools – Salt Lake County, Utah, December 3, 2020–January 31, 2021 . <i>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</i> , 70(12), 442-448.			County, Utah, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical distancing (6 ft) • Plexiglass barriers for teachers • Staggered mealtimes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Of 1041 close contacts, 735 (70.6%) were tested, 12 were positive (SAR: 1.6%) • 5 of 12 positive cases were classified as school-associated • 4 of 5 events were deemed to be due to lapses in IPAC measures (<6ft distance during class (2) or lunch (2), and poor mask compliance (2). • Tertiary transmission was detected in 3 households 	
van Loon, W., Hommes, F., Theuring, S., von der Haar, A., Körner, J., Schmidt, M. ... Mockenhaupt, F. P. (2021). Renewed absence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections in the day care context in Berlin, January 2021 . <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i> , ciab199.	Mar 2, 2021	Cohort	Kindergarten, Metropolitan Berlin, Germany	Not reported	<p>From Jan 17 – 23, 2021, children, families, and staff from 12 kindergarten programs were sampled:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 149 kindergarten children • 74 staff • 472 household members <p>All tested negative for COVID-19. Community weekly incidence in the same time period was 110/100,000.</p> <p>Small sample size (n=12 centres) may not be representative of the >2600 kindergartens in Berlin.</p>	Low
Gold, J.A.W., Gettings, J.R., Kimball, A., Franklin, R., Rivera, G., Morris,	Feb 26, 2021	Case report	Elementary schools, Georgia, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (except while eating) • Plastic dividers on desks (but students sat <3 ft apart) 	From Dec 1, 2020 – Jan 22, 2021, 9 clusters (of ≥3 linked COVID-19 cases) involving 13 staff and 32 students at 6 schools were identified. 2600 students and 700 staff attended school during this time.	Moderate

<p>E., ... Georgia K-12 School COVID-19 Investigation Team. (2021). Clusters of SARS-CoV-2 infection among elementary school educators and students in one school district- Georgia, December 2020- January 2021. <i>Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report</i>, 70(8), 289-292.</p>					<p>18/69 (26%) household contacts tested positive. Median cluster size (including household members) was 6 (range 3-16).</p> <p>Index patients were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff (4 clusters) • Student (1 cluster) • Unknown (5 clusters) <p>Probable transmission included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff-to-student (8 clusters) • Student-to-student (4 clusters) • Student-to-staff (3 clusters) • Staff-to-staff (2 clusters; which was followed by staff-to-student transmission and resulted in 15/31 school-associated cases) <p>9 clusters involved lack of physical distancing, 5 inadequate student mask use.</p>	
---	--	--	--	--	--	--

Tableau 2 : Études individuelles, Associations entre les mesures de mitigation et les résultats

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Setting, Location	IPAC measures	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating:
Mask wearing (n = 17)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Jarnig, G., Kerbl, R., & van Poppel, M.N.M. (2022). Effects of Wearing FFP2 Masks on SARS-CoV-2 Infection Rates in Classrooms. <i>International journal of environmental research and public health</i> , 19(20), 13511.	Oct 19, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Secondary schools, Klagenfurt, Austria	<p>Sep-Oct 2021 (low community incidence, delta)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Voluntary testing (rapid antigen) at school <p>P2/P3 (high community incidence, delta/omicron):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Masks (FFP-2) Physical distancing (1 m) Quarantine policies (10 days) Testing (3x week; 2 PCR, 1 rapid antigen) <p>P4: Mar-Apr 2022 (low community incidence, omicron):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Testing (2 PCR, 1 rapid antigen) 	<p>Data were collected through Sep 2021 – Apr 2022, period 1 (Sep- Oct 2021), period 2 (Nov-Dec 2021), period 3 (Jan-Feb), period 4 (Mar-Apr) .</p> <p>Infection rates compared between students attending general school (facemasks used, n = 419) and sport development school (limited face mask use, n=195)</p> <p>Sport students more likely to be infected</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> P2: OR=1.97, 95%CI=1.19,3.26 P3: OR=2.61, 95%CI=1.84,3.69 P4: OR=1.45, 95%CI=1.02,2.06 <p>Mean 7-day incidence higher in sport students in P1, P2, P3 (p<0.001), but higher in general students in P4 (after mitigation measures relaxed; p<0.001).</p>	High
Chandra, A., & Høeg, T.B. (2022). Lack of correlation between school mask mandates and paediatric COVID-19 cases in a large cohort. <i>The journal of infection</i> , S0163-	Sep 29, 2022	Quasi-experimental	1832 counties, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not reported 	<p>Data were analyzed from 3 weeks prior to 9 weeks after school reopening (Aug-Nov 2021). In the first 2 weeks, and after 9-weeks, change in pediatric cases were not different between counties with and without mask mandates</p> <p>After 9-weeks, pediatric cases per 100,000 were 21.8 in counties without mask mandates vs. 24.3 in counties with mandates (p = 0.057)</p>	Moderate

4453(22)00550-3. Epub ahead of print.					After adjusting for covariates, mask mandates are associated with an increase in 1.279 pediatric cases per 100 000, $p = 0.058$	
Coma, E., Català, M., Méndez-Boo, L., Alonso, S., Hermosilla, E., Alvarez-Lacalle, E., ... Prats, C. (2022). Unravelling the role of the mandatory use of face covering masks for the control of SARS-CoV-2 in schools: a quasi-experimental study nested in a population-based cohort in Catalonia (Spain) . <i>Archives of disease in childhood</i> . Epub ahead of print.	Aug 23, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Pre-schools and primary schools, Spain	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorts • Masks (>6yo) 	<p>Using data from 1907 schools, 599,314 students from Sep-Dec 2021, data from preschool students (3-5y, no mask mandate) were compared to primary students (6-11y, mask mandate)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cumulative incidence and reproductive rate lower in preschool vs. primary school over all time periods, p-value, NR) • Cumulative incidence higher for 6yo (masked) vs. 5yo (not masked), OR=1.15 (95% CI 1.08, 1.22) • Test positivity higher for 6yo (masked) vs. 5yo (not masked) = 7.98% (95% CI 7.69, 8.27) vs. 6.82% (95% CI 6.55, 7.10), p-value NR • No difference in SAR: IRR: 0.96 (95% CI 0.82, 1.11) or reproductive number, OR 0.96, 95% CI 0.87, 1.09) <p>Findings suggest masks do not provide extra protection in this age group.</p>	Moderate
Cowger, T.L., Clarke, J., Murray, E.J., Sánchez, S.M., Bassett, M.T., Ojikutu, B.O., ... Hall, K.T. (2022). Impact of Lifting School Masking Requirements on Incidence of COVID-19 among Staff and Students in Greater-Boston	Aug 9, 2022	Quasi-experimental	School districts, Boston, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reported 	<p>Data were collected from 72 districts in 2021-2022 school year with changing mask policies. Lifting masking requirements led to an increase of 44.9 cases per 1,000 students and staff (95% CI=32.6, 57.1) vs. districts that maintained mask policies over 15 weeks. Increased risk was higher for staff: 81.7 (95% CI= 59.3, 104.1) cases per 1,000 staff over 15 weeks (student data NR).</p> <p>Strength of the association between masks and case incidence stronger in areas of high community transmission (data NR).</p>	High PREPRINT

Area School Districts: A Difference-in-Differences Analysis. Preprint.						
Sood, N., Heick, S., Stevenson, J., Høeg, T. (2022). Association between School Mask Mandates and SARS-CoV-2 Student Infections: Evidence from a Natural Experiment of Neighboring K-12 Districts in North Dakota. Preprint.	Jul 1, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Two adjacent K-12 school districts, Fargo, North Dakota, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced cleaning Enhanced ventilation upgrades Quarantine policies (symptomatic students)** Voluntary testing <p>**One district also required quarantine for close contacts</p>	<p>Data were analyzed in Fall 2021, comparing one district that mandated masks to one that did not. No difference between districts: IRR= 0.99; 95% CI= 0.92, 1.07.</p> <p>Both districts moved to optional masks in Winter 2022; no differences found: IRR 1.04; 95% CI: 0.92, 1.16.</p>	Moderate PREPRINT
Boutzoukas, A.E., Zimmerman, K.O., Inkelas, M., Brookhart, M.A., Benjamin, D.K., Butteris, S., ... Benjamin, D.K. (2022). School Masking Policies and Secondary SARS-CoV-2 Transmission. Pediatrics, 149(6), e2022056687.	May 20, 2022	Quasi experimental	K-12 schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening Physical distancing 	<p>Data were collected from Jul 26 - Dec 31, 2021.</p> <p>Risk of secondary transmission was higher when masks optional vs. mandated, RR: 7.5, 95% CI = 4.21, 13.42.</p>	Moderate
Hughes, A.E., Medford, R.J., Perl, T.M., Basit, M.A., & Kapinos, K.A. (2022). District-Level Universal Masking Policies and	May 2, 2022	Quasi experimental	School districts, Texas, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not reported 	<p>Data were collected from 61 school districts, Aug-Oct 2021.</p> <p>Districts without mask mandates reported an additional 2 student cases per 1000 from weeks 2-6, or 37 cases per week (range: 28-42) (p-value NR). No difference was found after 7-8 weeks following school start.</p>	Moderate

<p>COVID-19 Incidence During the First 8 Weeks of School in Texas. <i>American journal of public health</i>, 112(6), 871–875.</p>					<p>A statistically significant increase in staff cases was found in week 4 only: 0.5 staff cases per 1000 or 9 total excess staff cases ($p = 0.04$).</p>	
<p>Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. Delta and Omicron: Protective Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022. <i>Preprint</i>.</p>	<p>Apr 12, 2022</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Daycares, Germany</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced ventilation • Physical distancing • School closure • Testing 	<p>From Aug 2020 - May 2021, data were collected from 8500 daycares.</p> <p>Mask wearing amongst staff associated with lower number of cases during omicron wave:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In children: IRR: 0.87, 95% CI: 0.80, .93 • In staff: IRR: 0.89, 95% CI: 0.82, 0.96 <p>Mask wearing amongst staff associated with lower number of cases during delta wave:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.70, 0.97 <p>No statistical significance for students or staff during alpha wave, or students during delta wave (data NR).</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>PREPRINT</p>
<p>Shah, M., Shah, M., & Hollingsworth, J.W. (2022). Relation of masking policy to COVID-19 positivity rate in Texas school districts. <i>Baylor University Medical Center Proceedings</i>, 35(4), 466–467.</p>	<p>Apr 5, 2022</p>	<p>Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>Schools, Texas, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reported 	<p>Data were collected through 2021–2022 school year.</p> <p>Student test positivity was lower with mask mandatory vs. optional policies (3.20 ± 0.39 vs $6.12 \pm 0.85\%$, $p = 0.004$).</p> <p>There was no difference in staff test positivity between districts with mask mandatory vs. optional (9.30 ± 4.05 vs. $9.77 \pm 1.47\%$, $p = 0.91$).</p>	<p>Low</p>

<p>Donovan, C.V., Rose, C., Lewis, K.N., Vang, K., Stanley, N., Motley, M., ... Cima, M. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in K-12 School Districts with Mask-Required Versus Mask-Optional Policies - Arkansas, August-October 2021. <i>Morbidity and mortality weekly report</i>, 71(10), 384–389.</p>	<p>Mar 11, 2022</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>K-12 School districts, Arkansas, USA</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting 	<p>Data were collected from Aug- Oct 2021 in districts with full mask, partial mask and no mask policies. Incidence lower in full vs. no mask districts: IRR = 0.77, 95% CI= 0.66, 0.88).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff only: IRR = 0.76, 95% CI= 0.64, 0.90 • Students only: IRR = 0.77, 95% CI= 0.66, 0.89 <p>No difference in partial vs. no mask districts</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR = 0.88 ,95% CI= 0.77, 1.01 • Staff only: IRR=0.85 ,95% CI= 0.71, 1.02 • Students only: IRR= 0.89, 95% CI= 0.77, 1.03 	<p>Moderate</p>
<p>Heinsohn, T., Lange, B., Vanella, P., Rodiah, I., Glöckner, S., Joachim, A., ... Krause, G. (2022). Infection and transmission risks in schools and contribution to the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany—a retrospective observational study using nation-wide and regional health and education agency notification data. <i>Preprint</i>.</p>	<p>Jan 21, 2022</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>Primary and secondary schools, Germany</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Testing <p>*Varied by region and over time</p>	<p>Data were collected from Mar 2020 - Oct 2021. Compared to no mask mandates, partial mask mandates decreased infections in students by - 17.8 cases/100 000 per 14-days (95% CI: - 25.5, - 10) but not teachers (RD: 9.9 cases/100 000 per 14 days (95% CI: - 5.2, 25.1)).</p> <p>Mandatory masks in all classes decreased infections in students by - 55.5 cases/100 000 per 14 days (95% CI: - 63.4, - 47.7) and teachers by - 55.6 cases/100 000 per 14 days (95% CI: - 71.6, - 39.6).</p>	<p>High</p> <p><i>PREPRINT</i></p>

Murray, T.S., Malik, A.A., Shafiq, M., Lee, A., Harris, C., Klotz, M., ... Gilliam, W. S. (2022). Association of Child Masking With COVID-19–Related Closures in US Childcare Programs . <i>JAMA network open</i> , 5(1), e2141227.	Jan 4, 2022	Cohort	Daycares, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical distancing Screening 	<p>Data were collected from May 22 -June 8, 2020, and May 26- June 23, 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Early adoption child masking was associated with lower risk of closure due to case (RR=0.87, 95%CI= 0.77,0.99). Continued masking for 1 year was associated with lower risk of closure due to case (RR=0.86, 95%CI= 0.74,1.00). 	Moderate
Sombetzki, M., Lücker, P., Ehmke, M., Bock, S., Littmann, M., Reisinger, E.C., ... & Kästner, A. (2021). Impact of Changes in Infection Control Measures on the Dynamics of COVID-19 Infections in Schools and Pre-schools . <i>Frontiers in Public Health</i> , 2069.	Dec 20, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools and preschools, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical distancing Testing 	<p>Data were collected from Aug 2020-May 2021.</p> <p>After adjustment for potential covariates, mandatory masking was associated with reduced risk of secondary cases</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Staff: -0.941 cases (95% CI: -2.886, -0.996) Children: -0.565 cases (95% CI: -0.944, -0.186) 	High
Nelson, S.B., Dugdale, C.M., Bilinski, A., Cosar, D., Pollock, N.R., & Ciaranello, A. (2021). Prevalence and risk factors for in-school transmission of	Sep 26, 2021	Prevalence	K-12 Public schools, Massachusetts, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening Testing 	<p>Data were collected from all confirmed cases during 2020-21 school year, prior to delta VOC.</p> <p>Unadjusted SAR higher when index and close contacts were unmasked vs. masked (RR: 6.98, 95% CI=3.09, 15.77).</p>	Moderate PREPRINT

SARS-CoV-2 in Massachusetts K-12 public schools, 2020-2021. Preprint.						
Budzyn, S.E., Panaggio, M.J., Parks, S.E., Papazian, M., Magid, J., Eng, M., & Barrios, L. C. (2021). Pediatric COVID-19 cases in counties with and without school mask requirements—United States, July 1–September 4, 2021. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 70(39), 1377.	Oct 1, 2021	Cohort	K-12 schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reported 	<p>Data were collected from Jul –Sep 4, 2021, with schools opening in Aug.</p> <p>Counties with mask requirements had smaller increase in pediatric COVID-19 case rates after school start vs. counties without (-18.53 cases per 100 000 per day, p <0.01).</p> <p>Absolute difference in cases after adjusting for covariates = -1.31 cases per day, 95% CI: -1.51, -1.11.</p>	Moderate
Jehn, M., Mac McCullough, J., Dale, A. P., Gue, M., Eller, B., Cullen, T., & Scott, S. E. (2021). Association between K–12 school mask policies and school-associated COVID-19 outbreaks—Maricopa and Pima Counties, Arizona, July–August 2021. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly	Oct 1, 2021	Cohort	K-12 schools, Arizona, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not reported 	<p>Data were collected from Jul - Aug 2021</p> <p>Schools without mask mandates had increased odds of a school-related outbreak after adjusting for covariates, OR = 3.5, 95% CI = 1.8–6.9.</p>	High

<i>Report, 70(39), 1372.</i>						
Previously reported evidence						
Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E., Lupton-Smith, C. ... Stuart, E.A. (2021). Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. <i>Science</i> , 327(6546), 1092-1097.	Apr 29, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cancelled extracurriculars Closed common spaces (playgrounds, cafeterias) Cohorting Masks Physical distancing (extra space, separators between desks) Reduced class size Restricted entry Symptom screening <p>*Substantial heterogeneity in number and type of IPAC measures mandated across states.</p>	<p>From Nov 24 – Dec 23, 2020, and Jan 11 – Feb 10, 2021, data on schooling behaviours and COVID-19 outcomes from 50 states were collected via an online survey (2,142,887 respondents, 284,789 reported living with at least one child in in-person schooling).</p> <p>For every additional IPAC measure implemented there was a decrease in odds of a positive test (adjusted OR: 0.93, 95% CI=0.92,0.94); symptoms screening was associated with the greatest risk reduction. When 7 or more IPAC measures were implemented, risk largely disappeared (with a complete absence of risk with 10 or more IPAC measures). Among those reporting 7 or more mitigation measures, 80% reported student/teacher mask mandates, restricted entry, desk spacing and no supply sharing.</p> <p>Associations between IPAC measures and positive tests:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Student mask mandate: adjusted OR: 0.91 (95% CI=0.83,1.00) Teacher mask mandate: adjusted OR: 0.91 (95% CI=0.83,1.00) 	Moderate
Rapid testing (n = 8)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Goldenfeld, M., Cohen, C., Gilboa, M., Pessach, I.M., Mehnick, B., Tal, I., ... Regev-Yochay, G. (2022). Rapid Antigen Tests For Safe School Opening in the COVID-19 Pandemic	Jul 13, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Israel	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quarantine policies Testing 	<p>From Nov2020 - Apr 2021, 361 participants were included.</p> <p>12.3% tested positive, initially.</p> <p>Fourteen additional cases were detected (3.5%), 12 of them from one single school in biweekly testing.</p> <p>1691 school days were saved due to testing.</p>	Moderate

Era. The pediatric infectious disease journal, 41(8), e312–e317.						
Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. (2022) Delta and Omicron: Protective Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022. <i>Preprint.</i>	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Daycares, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Masks • Enhanced ventilation • Physical distancing • School closure • Testing 	<p>From Aug 2020 - May 2021, data were collected from 8500 daycares.</p> <p>No association between staff or child testing and number of infections in staff or children (data NR).</p> <p>Child testing associated with lower number of parent cases during alpha and delta wave:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IRR alpha: 0.76, 95% CI: 0.60, 0.98 IRR delta: 0.78, 95% CI: 0.69, 0.89 	Moderate PREPRINT
Falk, A., Decoster, M., Wallace, Z., Falk, P., Steffen, S., Benda, A., & Høeg, T. B. (2022). COVID-19 Surveillance Testing in Secondary Schools: Findings and Barriers to Implementation. <i>Wisconsin Medical Journal, 121(1), 13–17.</i>	Mar 31, 2022	Quasi experimental	Secondary schools, Wood County, Wisconsin, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (parents, teachers) • Testing (weekly) 	<p>For 10 weeks, there were 1,578 surveillance tests performed.</p> <p>Percent positivity averaged 3.0% (0%-16.2% weekly) among students and 1.72% (0%-6.9% weekly) among staff.</p> <p>2 cases of secondary transmission were suspected out of 163 individuals quarantined.</p>	Moderate
Costa, S.F., Manuli, R.E., Oliveira, B.A.,	Mar 18, 2022	Quasi experimental	Public schools, Brazil	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptoms screening • Testing 	From Sep 13 - Nov 17, 2021, 969 samples were tested.	Low PREPRINT

Leal, F.E., Souza, E.C.B., Illi, A.P., ... Sabino, E.C. (2022). Online symptoms screening and testing of Covid-19 through RT-LAMP saliva of students and asymptomatic employees in a public school in Brazil . <i>Preprint</i> .					322 symptomatic patients were tested;40% were positive. No asymptomatic cases tested positive for COVID-19, and no cases of COVID-19 transmission occurred at the school.	
Heinsohn, T., Lange, B., Vanella, P., Rodiah, I., Glöckner, S., Joachim, A., ... Krause, G. (2022). Infection and transmission risks in schools and contribution to the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany—a retrospective observational study using nation-wide and regional health and education agency notification data . <i>Preprint</i> .	Jan 21, 2022	Cohort	Primary and secondary schools, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Testing *Varied by region and over time	Data were collected from Mar 2020 - Oct 2021. Compared to no testing, voluntary testing in schools increased detected infections in students by 45 cases/100 000 per 14-days (95% CI: 38.2, 51.9) and teachers by 17.8 cases/100 000 per 14 days (95% CI: 4.0, 41.6). Mandatory testing increased detected infections in students by 49.8 cases/100 000 per 14 days (95% CI: 41.2, 58.5) but not teachers (RD: 6.5 cases/100 000 per 14 days, 95% CI: - 11.3, 24.3).	High <i>PREPRINT</i>
Javier, F. (2021). Effectiveness of a 4x10 Surveillance Program to Detect and Prevent SARS-CoV-2	Oct 20, 2021	Quasi experimental	Public elementary schools, San Luis Potosi, Mexico	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • At-home symptom screening • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning 	From May – Jul 2021, 178 students and staff (n=NR) were tested weekly following school re-opening at a time when community transmission was <5%:	Low <i>PREPRINT</i>

Transmission in a Public Primary School in a Marginalized Community of San Luis Potosi, Mexico. <i>Preprint.</i>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Entrance temperature and symptom checks • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning • Masks (students, staff) Restricted school access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Students tested every Monday for 2 weeks, pooled respiratory for the following 4 weeks, random testing for the last 2 weeks • Staff tested every Friday. During the study period 0/178 students or staff tested positive, despite a high positivity index present in the city (values NR).	
Farina, E., Eboli, I., Spadea, T., Saugo, C., Richiardi, L., Maule, M., ... Bena, A. (2021). 'Scuola sicura': a school screening testing programme to prevent the spread of COVID-19 in students in Piedmont. <i>Epidemiologia e prevenzione, 45(6), 504–512.</i>	Oct 4, 2021	Quasi experimental	Second and third grade, first secondary grade, Piedmont, Italy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarantine policies • School closure • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening 	From Jan to Mar 2021, 114 positives cases were reported. 46 close contacts were tested, with 11 cases testing positive. Asymptomatic cases accounted for 26.5% of the total cases. Screening identified a quarter of the cases that occurred in the participating classes.	Moderate
Berke, E.M., Newman, L.M., Jemsby, S., Hyde, B., Bhalla, N., Sheils, N E., ... Cangelosi, G.A. (2021). Pooling in a Pod: A Strategy for COVID-19 Testing to Facilitate a Safe Return to School. <i>Public health reports, 136(6), 663–670.</i>	Sep 6, 2021	Quasi-experimental	Independent K-12 school, Washington DC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hybrid learning (1-12 only, K all in person) • Masks • Modified extracurriculars • Physical distancing • Symptom screening • "Facility optimization" 	This project aimed to test the feasibility of 'pool in a pod' cohort-specific testing for early case detection and management. From Nov 30, 2020 – Apr 30, 2021, 863 students and 264 staff took part in twice a week testing (participation varied by week). Average pool size was 7.4 people. Over 34 testing sessions, there were 1733 negative and 4 positive pools. Outside confirmatory testing identified two positive cases; the rest were false positives. Weekly cost-per-person was \$24.24. Return to in-person learning after initiating testing	Moderate

					procedures resulted in no increase in positive cases.	
Test to stay policy (n = 7)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Campbell, M. M., Benjamin, D. K., Mann, T. K., Fist, A., Blakemore, A., Diaz, K. S., ... Zimmerman, K. O. (2022). Test-to-Stay After SARS-CoV-2 Exposure: A Mitigation Strategy for Optionally Masked K-12 Schools. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 150(5), e2022058200.	Oct 21, 2022	Quasi-experimental	K-12 schools, North Carolina, United States	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (optional) • Test-to-stay program (which implements a protocol that involves rapidly testing asymptomatic students exposed to COVID-19, allowing them to remain in school if their tests were negative) 	<p>Test-to-stay policy implemented, data were collected from Nov 29, 2021 – Jan 28, 2022.</p> <p>2463 participants from 9 school districts enrolled; 1675 (68%) included in analysis (i.e., positive test during study period or at least 1 negative test on or after day 5 of exposure).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 20/192 contacts tested-positive (tertiary attack rate (TAR): 10% (95%CI=6,19)). • SAR ranged from 11-15% across schools, no transmission in administrative buildings. • Estimated 1 additional school case for every 21 avoided school exclusions • 934 school days missed vs. anticipated 8206 days without test to stay <p>From Jan 3 – Jan 28, 2022 (omicron variant), 932 participants included in analysis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 7/109 contacts tested positive (TAR: 6% (95%CI=3,13)) • Estimated 1 additional school case for every 29 avoided school exclusions • 530 school days missed vs. anticipated 3660 days without TTS 	Moderate
Lammie, S.L., Ford, L., Swanson, M., Guinn, A.S., Kamitani, E., van Zyl, A., ... Neatherlin, J.C. (2022). Test-to-Stay Implementation in 4 Pre-K-12 School Districts. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 150(4), e2022057362.	Sep 29, 2022	Quasi-experimental	PreK – 12 schools, Georgia, Illinois, Kentucky, and New Mexico, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting (varied by region) • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Masks • Physical distancing (varied by region) • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening 	<p>Test-to-stay policy implemented, data were collected from Sept 13 - Nov 19, 2021. 374 cases and 2520 school-based contacts eligible for test-to stay. Proportion participating in program ranged from 22-79% across districts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAR ranged from: 2.2 to 11.1% across states • TAR ranged from 0% to 17.6% <p>Test-to-stay preserved 976 to 4650 in-person learning days with minimal tertiary transmission in most schools.</p>	Moderate

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Testing (test-to stay) • Symptoms screening (varied by region) 		
Campbell, M.M., Benjamin, D.K., Mann, T., Fist, A., Kim, H., Edwards, L., ... ABC Science Collaborative (2022). Test-to-Stay After Exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 149(5), e2021056045.	May 1, 2022	Quasi experimental	K-12 Schools, North Carolina, United States	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Test-to-stay program, 	<p>Test-to-stay implemented through 6-week pilot project, Oct 2021.</p> <p>Of 3020 students exposed to a case, 367 participants (staff and students) enrolled in study.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SAR: 1.7% (95% CI: 0.6, 4.7) • No tertiary cases identified • 1628 school days saved 	High
Schechter-Perkins, E.M., Doron, S., Johnston, R., Hay, J., Berlin, D., Ciaranello, A., ... Branch-Elliman, W. (2022). A Test-to-Stay Modified Quarantine Program for COVID-19 in Schools. <i>Pediatrics</i> , 149(5), e2021055727.	Apr 8, 2022	Quasi experimental	Public schools, Massachusetts, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarantine policies (modified) • Symptoms screening • Testing 	<p>Test-to-stay program implemented for 2021-2022 school year; data reported Sept-Dec 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2943 positive cases identified • SAR: 2.9% (95% CI: 2.8, 3.0) • 516 possible tertiary cases/102 373 participants; TAR = 0.5% • Estimated 325,328 to 497,150 school days saved 	High
Boutzoukas, A E., Zimmerman, K.O., Mann, T.K., Moorthy, G.S., Blakemore, A., McGann, K.A., ... Kalu, I.C. (2022). A School-Based SARS-CoV-2 Testing Program:	Feb 1, 2022	Quasi experimental	24 K-12 schools, North Carolina, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarantine policies • Testing 	<p>In-school testing program with shortened quarantine period implemented in April 2021; data compared for 1 month before and 2 months after.</p> <p>12,251 learners in 24 schools participated.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Close contact testing increased by 24.0% (95% CI: 22.7, 45.3) • Risk of a positive test decreased by 25.1% (95% CI: -47.3, -2.9) 	High

<p>Testing Uptake and Quarantine Length After In-School Exposures. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 149(12 Suppl 2), e2021054268J.</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of missed school days decreased by 1.5 per person (95% CI: -2, -1) • % Positivity decreased by 25.1% 	
<p>Nemoto, N., Dhillon, S., Fink, S., Holman, E.J., Cope, A.K., Dinh, T.H., ... Neatherlin, J. C. (2021). Evaluation of Test to Stay Strategy on Secondary and Tertiary Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools - Lake County, Illinois, August 9-October 29, 2021. <i>Morbidity and mortality weekly report</i>, 70(5152), 1778–1781.</p>	Dec 31, 2021	Quasi experimental	Illinois, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Physical distancing • Testing (for unvaccinated after exposure) 	<p>Test-to-stay policy implemented, data were collected from Aug 9 - Oct 29, 2021.</p> <p>258 cases were identified in school with 1035 close contacts. 16 close contacts subsequently tested positive (SAR = 1.5%), and 9 tertiary cases were identified.</p> <p>Test-to-stay preserved 8152 days of in-person learning.</p>	Moderate
Previously reported evidence						
<p>Young, B.C., Eyre, D.W., Kendrick, S., White, C., Smith, S., Beveridge, G., ... Peto, T.E.A. (2021). Daily testing for contacts of individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection and attendance and</p>	Oct 2, 2021	Cluster randomized controlled trial	Secondary schools and colleges, England, UK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contact tracing • Quarantine policies (isolation for cases and contacts) • Testing (daily rapid antigen) 	<p>From Apr 19 – Jun 27, 2021, 201 schools were randomly assigned to one of two conditions following identification of a school case: 10-days of home isolation (control) or continued attendance with voluntary daily rapid testing (intervention).</p> <p>RT-PCR confirmed cases were identified in both intervention (740 or 61.8/100,000 per week) and control (657 or 59.1/100,000 per week) groups.</p> <p>Using intention-to-treat analysis:</p>	High

<p>SARS-CoV-2 transmission in English secondary schools and colleges: an open-label, cluster-randomised trial. <i>The Lancet</i>, 398(10307), 1217–1229.</p>					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptomatic RT-PCR confirmed infection (vs. control): adjusted Incidence Rate Ratio (aIRR): 0.96 (95% CI=0.75,1.22) • Any community RT-PCR confirmed infection (vs. control): IRR: 0.96 (95% CI=0.76,1.20) • % of asymptomatic contacts testing positive on study-related PCR test (vs. control): IRR: 0.73 (95% CI=0.33,1.61) • % of symptomatic contacts testing positive on routine community test: IRR: 1.21 (95% CI=0.82,1.79) <p>Daily testing is non-inferior to self-isolation in infection control and is a safe alternative to home isolation for school-based exposure.</p>	
<p>Physical distancing (n = 6)</p>						
<p>New evidence reported on December 7, 2022</p>						
<p>Jonker, L., Linde, K.J., de Boer, A.R., Ding, E., Zhang, D., de Hoog, M.L A., ... Bruijning-Verhagen, P. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in Secondary Schools: the Role of National and School-Initiated COVID-19 Measures and Indoor Air Quality. <i>Preprint.</i></p>	<p>Aug 31, 2022</p>	<p>Quasi-experimental</p>	<p>18 secondary schools, The Netherlands</p>	<p>National policy:</p> <p>Oct – Dec 2020 (pre-lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full class-occupancy • Physical distancing (>1.5m, staff-staff, staff-student) <p>Dec 2020 – Feb 2021 (national lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (outside classrooms) • School closures • Testing <p>Feb 2021 – Jun 2021 (post-lockdown):</p>	<p>From Oct 2020 – Jun 2021, school incidence was recorded and association between implementation of school mitigation measures and incidence was calculated.</p> <p>After adjusting for school characteristics and community incidence, there was no relationship between physical distancing and incidence in schools (IRR: 1.07; 95% CI: 0.98, 1.16).</p>	<p>High</p> <p><i>PREPRINT</i></p>

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% class-occupancy (alternating in-person and online) • Physical distancing (student-student) • Testing <p>Additional school-initiated measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Hand hygiene • Physical distancing • Student displacement reductions • Ventilation / air monitoring 		
Donovan, C.V., Worrell, M.C., Steinberg, J., Montgomery, B.K., Young, R., Richardson, G., ... Salzer, J. S. (2022). An Examination of SARS-CoV-2 Transmission Based on Classroom Distancing in Schools With Other Preventive Measures in Place-Missouri, January-March 2021 . <i>Public health</i>	Jul 16, 2022	Cross-sectional	K-12 schools, Missouri, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Physical distancing 	<p>From Mar – Apr 2021, 51 index cases and 1 probable case of symptomatic in-school transmission cases were identified.</p> <p>Among close contacts sitting within 3 ft of possibly infectious people, 1 probable transmission event/42 close contacts were identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Space between desks was 2.5 ft • Classroom had higher density (3.2 people/100 ft²) • Longer exposure time (5 hrs. 45 minutes) <p>Among close contacts sitting >3 ft away from a possibly infectious people, 0 transmission events/122 close contacts were identified.</p>	Moderate

<i>reports</i> , 137(5), 972–979.						
Callies, M., Kabouche, I., Desombere, I., Merckx, J., Roelants, M., Vermeulen, M., Duysburgh, E. (2022). Measures for infection prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 in Belgian schools between December 2020 and June 2021: a prospective cohort study . <i>Preprint</i> .	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Schools, Belgium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closures 	<p>From Dec 2020 - Jun 2021, 1285 students and 818 staff were included.</p> <p>No statistically significant difference was found for physical distancing: aRR 0.90, 95% CI 0.73, 1.12.</p>	Moderate <i>PREPRINT</i>
Boutzoukas, A.E., Zimmerman, K.O., Benjamin, D.K., DeMuri, G.P., Kalu, I.C., Smith, M.J., ... Butteris, S.M. (2022). Secondary Transmission of COVID-19 in K-12 Schools: Findings From 2 States . <i>Pediatrics</i> , 149(12 Suppl 2), e2021054268K.	Feb 1, 2022	Quasi-experimental	K-12 schools, North Carolina and Wisconsin, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hand hygiene • Masks • Physical distancing (varied by setting) • Quarantine policies • 	<p>Surveillance data from 1,102,039 students and staff from Mar 15 - Jun 25, 2021, were analyzed.</p> <p>Compared to schools that required 6 ft of physical distancing, risk of secondary transmission was higher with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 3 feet: RR=1.15, 95% CI: 0.31, 4.24 • <3 feet: RR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.28, 4.45 	Moderate
Previously reported evidence						
Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E.,	Apr 29, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cancelled extracurriculars • Closed common spaces (playgrounds, cafeterias) 	From Nov 24 – Dec 23, 2020, and Jan 11 – Feb 10, 2021, data on schooling behaviours and COVID-19 outcomes from 50 states were collected via an online survey (2,142,887 respondents, 284,789 reported living with at least one child in in-person schooling).	Moderate

<p>Lupton-Smith, C. ... Stuart, E.A. (2021). Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. <i>Science</i>, 327(6546), 1092-1097.</p>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Masks • Physical distancing (extra space, separators between desks) • Reduced class size • Restricted entry • Symptom screening <p>*Substantial heterogeneity in number and type of IPAC measures mandated across states.</p>	<p>Associations between IPAC measures and positive tests varied:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduced class size: adjusted OR: 1.01 (95% CI=0.94,1.09) • Desk shields: adjusted OR: 1.12 (95% CI=1.04,1.22) • Extra desk space: adjusted OR: 0.96 (95% CI=0.89,1.04) • No sharing supplies: adjusted OR: 0.92 (95% CI=0.85,1.00) 	
<p>van den Berg, P., Schechter-Perkins, E.M., Jack, R.S., Epshtein, I., Nelson, R., Oster, E., & Branch-Elliman, W. (2021). Effectiveness of 3 versus 6 feet of physical distancing for controlling spread of coronavirus disease 2019 among primary and secondary students and staff: A retrospective, statewide cohort study. <i>Clinical Infectious Diseases</i>, ciab230.</p>	<p>Mar 10, 2021</p>	<p>Cohort</p>	<p>242 public schools, Massachusetts</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Hand hygiene • Masks (staff, students \geq grade 2) • Physical distancing (>3 vs. >6 feet) • Quarantine policies (dedicated isolation space for symptomatic students) • Symptom screening (staff, students) 	<p>From Sep 24, 2020 – Jan 27, 2021, daily incidence in students and staff were compared in school physical distancing requirements of 3 vs. 6 feet. In total, 4226/537,336 (0.79%) students and 2382/99,390 (2.4%) staff tested positive.</p> <p>Cases were similar in all districts:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff IRR: 0.989 (95% CI=0.73,1.33) • Student IRR: 0.891 (95% CI=0.59,1.34) <p>After adjusting for community incidence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Staff IRR: 1.02 (95% CI=0.75,1.37) • Student IRR: 0.904 (95% CI=0.62,1.33) 	<p>Moderate</p>
<p>Cohorting (n = 3)</p>						

New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Jonker, L., Linde, K.J., de Boer, A.R., Ding, E., Zhang, D., de Hoog, M.L A., ... Bruijning-Verhagen, P. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in Secondary Schools: the Role of National and School-Initiated COVID-19 Measures and Indoor Air Quality . <i>Preprint</i> .	Aug 31, 2022	Quasi-experimental	18 secondary schools, Holland, The Netherlands	<p>National policy:</p> <p>Oct – Dec 2020 (pre-lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full class-occupancy • Physical distancing (>1.5m, staff-staff, staff-student) <p>Dec 2020 – Feb 2021 (national lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (outside classrooms) • School closures • Testing <p>Feb 2021 – Jun 2021 (post-lockdown):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% class-occupancy (alternating in-person and online) • Physical distancing (student-student) • Testing 	<p>From Oct 2020 – Jun 2021, school incidence was recorded and the association between implementation of school mitigation measures and incidence was calculated.</p> <p>There was no association between cohorting and incidence, IRR: 1.04, 95% CI 0.95, 1.13.</p>	High <i>PREPRINT</i>
Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. Delta and Omicron: Protective	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Daycares, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closure • Testing 	<p>From Aug 2020 - May 2021, data were collected from 8500 daycares.</p> <p>Indoor cohorting reduced number of staff infections during delta wave only, IRR: 0.85, 95% CI: 0.73, 0.97.</p>	Moderate <i>PREPRINT</i>

Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022. <i>Preprint.</i>						
Previously reported evidence						
Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E., Lupton-Smith, C. ... Stuart, E.A. (2021). Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. <i>Science</i> , 327(6546), 1092-1097.	Apr 29, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cancelled extracurriculars Closed common spaces (playgrounds, cafeterias) Cohorting Masks Physical distancing (extra space, separators between desks) Reduced class size Restricted entry Symptom screening <p>*Substantial heterogeneity in number and type of IPAC measures mandated across states.</p>	From Nov 24 – Dec 23, 2020, and Jan 11 – Feb 10, 2021, data on schooling behaviours and COVID-19 outcomes from 50 states were collected via an online survey (2,142,887 respondents, 284,789 reported living with at least one child in in-person schooling). Associations between IPAC measures and positive tests: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same teacher all day: adjusted OR: 1.00 (95% CI=0.93,1.08) Same students all day: adjusted OR: 0.93 (95% CI=0.86,1.00) Restricted entry: adjusted OR: 0.88 (95% CI=0.81,0.95) Closed cafeteria: adjusted OR: 1.03 (95% CI=0.95,1.11) Closed playground: adjusted OR: 1.01 (95% CI=0.92,1.10) No extracurriculars: adjusted OR: 0.73 (95% CI=0.68,0.79) 	Moderate
Hybrid learning (n = 2)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Wiens, K.E., Smith, C.P., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Grantz, K.H., Grabowski, M.K.,	Apr 8, 2022	Cohort	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Masks Quarantine policies Symptoms screening (by 	From Jan 12 - Jun 12, 2021, 1,082,773 respondents living with school-aged children were included.	Moderate

... Lessler, J. (2022). In-person schooling and associated COVID-19 risk in the United States over spring semester 2021 . <i>Science advances</i> , 8(16), eabm9128.				parents, teachers)	No difference in odds of positive case between full and part-time learners after adjusting for school mitigation measures (data NR).	
Previously reported evidence						
Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E., Lupton-Smith, C. ... Stuart, E.A. (2021). Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling . <i>Science</i> , 327(6546), 1092-1097.	Apr 29, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cancelled extracurriculars Closed common spaces (playgrounds, cafeterias) Cohorting Masks Physical distancing (extra space, separators between desks) Reduced class size Restricted entry Symptom screening <p>*Substantial heterogeneity in number and type of IPAC measures mandated across states.</p>	From Nov 24 – Dec 23, 2020, and Jan 11 – Feb 10, 2021, data on schooling behaviours and COVID-19 outcomes from 50 states were collected via an online survey (2,142,887 respondents, 284,789 reported living with at least one child in in-person schooling). Compared to full-time in-person, part-time in-person was not associated with risk of COVID-19 outcomes once mitigation measures were accounted for: adjusted OR: 0.97 (95% CI=0.91,1.03)	Moderate
Ventilation (n = 2)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Callies, M., Kabouche, I., Desombere, I., Merckx, J., Roelants, M.,	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Schools, Belgium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enhanced cleaning Enhanced ventilation Masks 	From Dec 2020 - Jun 2021, 1285 students and 818 staff were included. No statistically significant difference was found for ventilation: aRR 0.96, 95% CI 0.76, 1.22.	Moderate PREPRINT

Vermeulen, M., Duysburgh, E. (2022). Measures for infection prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 in Belgian schools between December 2020 and June 2021: a prospective cohort study. <i>Preprint.</i>				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical distancing • School closures 		
Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. Delta and Omicron: Protective Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022. <i>Preprint.</i>	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Daycares, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closure • Testing 	<p>From Aug 2020 - May 2021, data were collected from 8500 daycares.</p> <p>Regular ventilation was not associated with staff or child cases during alpha, delta, or omicron waves.</p>	Moderate PREPRINT
Vaccination (n = 2)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Thakkar, P.V., Zimmerman, K.O., Brookhart, M.A., Erickson, T.R., Benjamin, D.K., Kalu, I.C., & ABC Science Collaborative (2022). COVID-19	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Private school, North Carolina, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Testing 	<p>From Aug-Nov 2021, 1128 students were included.</p> <p>Unvaccinated students had 8.2 (95% CI: 3.5–19.4) times the incidence of documented infection and 9.2 (95% CI: 3.4–25.1) times the incidence of symptomatic infection vs. unvaccinated student.</p>	Low

Incidence Among Sixth Through Twelfth Grade Students by Vaccination Status. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 149(5), e2022056230.					Unadjusted vaccine effectiveness was 87.8% (95% CI: 71.2%–94.8%) against documented infection and 89.1% (95% CI: 70.3%–96.0%) against symptomatic infection.	
Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. Delta and Omicron: Protective Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022. <i>Preprint.</i>	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Daycares, Germany	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closure • Testing 	<p>From Aug 2020 - May 2021, data were collected from 8500 daycares.</p> <p>Daycares that reach staff vaccination quota had:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Higher odds of child infections during omicron wave, OR: 1.16, 95% CI: 1.02, 1.31 • Lower odds of staff infections during alpha (OR: 0.30, 95% CI: 0.16, 0.55) and delta (OR: 0.53, 95% CI: 0.37, 0.76) <p>Staff vaccination had no impact on child infections during alpha or delta wave (data NR) or on staff infections during omicron wave (data NR).</p>	Moderate PREPRINT
Hand hygiene (n = 2)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Jonker, L., Linde, K.J., de Boer, A.R., Ding, E., Zhang, D., de Hoog, M.L A., ... Bruijning-Verhagen, P. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in Secondary Schools; the Role of National and School-Initiated COVID-19 Measures and	Aug 31, 2022	Quasi-experimental	18 secondary schools, The Netherlands	<p>National policy:</p> <p>Oct – Dec 2020 (pre-lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full class-occupancy • Physical distancing (>1.5m, staff-staff, staff-student) 	<p>From Oct 2020 – Jun 2021, school incidence was recorded. Association between implementation of school mitigation measures and incidence calculated.</p> <p>No statistically significant difference was found for hand hygiene IRR: 0.95 (0.88-1.03).</p>	High PREPRINT

Indoor Air Quality. <i>Preprint.</i>				<p>Dec 2020 – Feb 2021 (national lockdown)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks (outside classrooms) • School closures • Testing <p>Feb 2021 – Jun 2021 (post-lockdown):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50% class-occupancy (alternating in-person and online) • Physical distancing (student-student) • Testing 		
Callies, M., Kabouche, I., Desombere, I., Merckx, J., Roelants, M., Vermeulen, M., Duysburgh, E. (2022). Measures for infection prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 in Belgian schools between December 2020 and June 2021: a prospective cohort study. <i>Preprint.</i>	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Schools, Belgium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closures 	<p>From Dec 2020 - June 2021, 1285 students and 818 staff were included.</p> <p>No statistically significant difference was found for hand hygiene: aRR 0.86, 95% CI 0.69, 1.07.</p>	Moderate <i>PREPRINT</i>
Modified Quarantine (n = 1)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Dawson, P., Worrell, M.C.,	Oct 20, 2022	Cohort	Grade K-12, in 103 public	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced ventilation 	Modified quarantine policy implemented (e.g., could attend if aged <18, only exposure was in	High

Malone, S., Fritz, S.A., McLaughlin, H.P., Montgomery, B.K., ... Newland, J.G. (2022). Modifications to student quarantine policies in K-12 schools implementing multiple COVID-19 prevention strategies restores in-person education without increasing SARS-CoV-2 transmission risk, January-March 2021. PloS one, 17(10), e0266292.			schools across 6 districts, Missouri, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Masks • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies (standard vs. modified) • Remote learning • Testing 	<p>class, and did not have direct physical contact with person with COVID-19 without a mask). Data were collected from Jan – Mar 2021. 23 school-based transmission events occurred among 1636 close contacts (1%).</p> <p>There was no difference between schools with a modified vs. standard quarantine policy (hazard ratio=1.00, 95% CI=0.97, 1.03).</p> <p>Modified student quarantine policies were not associated with increased school incidence of COVID-19.</p>	
Suite of IPAC measures (n = 3)						
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Callies, M., Kabouche, I., Desombere, I., Merckx, J., Roelants, M., Vermeulen, M., Duysburgh, E. (2022). Measures for infection prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 in Belgian schools between December 2020 and June 2021: a prospective	Apr 12, 2022	Cohort	Schools, Belgium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Enhanced cleaning • Enhanced ventilation • Masks • Physical distancing • School closures 	<p>From Dec 2020 - Jun 2021, 1285 students and 818 staff were included.</p> <p>Implementation of IPAC measures was associated with decreased risk of cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • aRR: 0.79 (95% CI: 0.64 – 0.98) 	Moderate <i>PREPRINT</i>

cohort study. <i>Preprint.</i>						
Auger, K.A., Hall, M., Bunte, S., Mussman, G., Amin, M., Sprigg, S., ... Kahn, R. S. (2022). A Successful Collaboration Between an Urban School District, a Health System, and a Public Health Department to Address COVID-19 While Returning Children to the Classroom. <i>Journal of community health, 47</i> (3), 504–509.	Feb 26, 2022	Quasi experimental	Schools, Ohio, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hybrid learning schedule • Masks • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies (isolation room) • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening • Testing 	<p>During the 2020-2021 school year, over 33,000 students were included.</p> <p>The collaborative learning system among the public school system, public health department, and a local children’s hospital ensured that in-school COVID-19 transmission was rare (3.2% school-related transmission).</p>	High
Previously reported evidence						
Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E., Lupton-Smith, C. ... Stuart, E.A. (2021). Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling. <i>Science, 327</i> (6546), 1092-1097.	Apr 29, 2021	Cross-sectional	Schools, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cancelled extracurriculars • Closed common spaces (playgrounds, cafeterias) • Cohorting • Masks • Physical distancing (extra space, separators between desks) • Reduced class size • Restricted entry • Symptoms screening 	<p>From Nov 24 – Dec 23, 2020, and Jan 11 – Feb 10, 2021, data on schooling behaviours and COVID-19 outcomes from 50 states were collected via an online survey (2,142,887 respondents, 284,789 reported living with at least one child in in-person schooling).</p> <p>For every additional IPAC measure implemented there was a decrease in odds of a positive test (adjusted OR: 0.93, 95% CI=0.92,0.94); symptoms screening was associated with the greatest risk reduction. When 7 or more IPAC measures were implemented, risk largely disappeared (with a complete absence of risk with 10 or more IPAC measures). Among those reporting 7 or more mitigation measures, 80% reported</p>	Moderate

				<p>*Substantial heterogeneity in number and type of IPAC measures mandated across states.</p>	<p>student/teacher mask mandates, restricted entry, desk spacing and no supply sharing.</p> <p>Associations between IPAC measures and positive tests varied; outdoor instruction, restricted entry, no extracurriculars, and daily symptom screening were associated with significant risk reductions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student mask mandate: adjusted OR: 0.91 (95% CI=0.83,1.00) • Teacher mask mandate: adjusted OR: 0.91 (95% CI=0.83,1.00) • Same teacher all day: adjusted OR: 1.00 (95% CI=0.93,1.08) • Same students all day: adjusted OR: 0.93 (95% CI=0.86,1.00) • Outdoor instruction: adjusted OR: 0.88 (95% CI=0.80,0.98) • Restricted entry: adjusted OR: 0.88 (95% CI=0.81,0.95) • Reduced class size: adjusted OR: 1.01 (95% CI=0.94,1.09) • Closed cafeteria: adjusted OR: 1.03 (95% CI=0.95,1.11) • Closed playground: adjusted OR: 1.01 (95% CI=0.92,1.10) • Desk shields: adjusted OR: 1.12 (95% CI=1.04,1.22) • Extra desk space: adjusted OR: 0.96 (95% CI=0.89,1.04) • No extracurriculars: adjusted OR: 0.73 (95% CI=0.68,0.79) • No sharing supplies: adjusted OR: 0.92 (95% CI=0.85,1.00) • Daily symptom screen: adjusted OR: 0.78 (95% CI=0.73,0.84) • Part-time in person: adjusted OR: 0.97 (95% CI=0.91,1.03) 	
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

Tableau 3 : Études individuelles, Impact de la réouverture des écoles au niveau communautaire

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Setting, Location	IPAC measures	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating:
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Mueed, A., Ahmad, T., Abdullah, M., Sultan, F., Khan, A.A. (2022). Impact of school closures and reopening on COVID-19 caseload in 6 cities of Pakistan: An Interrupted Time Series Analysis. <i>PLoS Global Health</i> 2(9): e0000648.	Sep 19, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Schools, Lahore, Karachi, Islamabad, Quetta, Peshawar, and Muzaffarabad, Pakistan	NR	<p>Interrupted time series analysis to compare daily new COVID-19 cases per 100,000 before and after Nov 26, 2020-Feb 1, 2021 school closure.</p> <p>Across regions, school closures were associated with a difference in rate of change of daily cases ranging from -0.39 (95% CI: - 0.46, - 0.33) to +0.14 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.24).</p> <p>Across regions, school reopening was associated with a difference in rate of change of daily cases ranging from +0.01 (95% CI: 0.00, 0.01) to -0.93 (95% CI: 0.63, 1.23).</p> <p>Analyses did not account for other public health restrictions.</p>	High
Fitzpatrick, T., Wilton, A., Cohen, E., Rosella, L., & Guttman, A. (2022). School Reopening And COVID-19 In The Community: Evidence From A Natural Experiment In Ontario, Canada. <i>Health affairs</i> , 41(6), 864–872.	Jun 1, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Schools, Ontario, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Masks • Testing 	<p>Data analysis following staggered school reopening in Mar 2021, adjusting for time since provincewide shutdown, holidays, and changes in other public health restrictions.</p> <p>Days after schools reopened, estimated % increase in community COVID-19 cases:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Day 11-15: 0.07 (95% CI: -0.07, 0.21) • Day 16-20: 0.08 (95% CI: -0.09, 0.25) • Day 21-25: 0.07 (95% CI: -0.13, 0.27) • Day 26-30: 0.13 (95% CI: -0.15, 0.41) <p>School closures prevented an estimated 213 (95% CI: -256, 672) cases from Dec 26, 2020 – Feb 28, 2021, or 0.08% of fewer cases.</p>	Moderate

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Larger increases in case growth rates were seen in elementary-age children 	
<p>Mueed, A., Aliani, R., Abdullah, M., Kazmi, T., Sultan, F., Khan, A. (2022). School closures help reduce the spread of COVID-19: a pre- and post-intervention analysis in Pakistan. <i>PLoS Global Public Health</i>, 2(4), e0000266.</p>	April 20, 2022	Quasi-Experimental	Schools, Islamabad and Peshawar, Pakistan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • School closure • Testing 	<p>This study compared schools that fully vs. partially closed from Nov 2020-Jan 2021, and following reopening to Mar 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Full vs. partial closure = -124.8 cases/day (95% CI: -190.2, -59.36) • Reopening (vs. closed) = +1.156 cases / day (95%CI: -69.1, 71.45) • Reopening (vs. partial closure) = -9.79 cases/day (95% CI: -60.1, 40.56) <p>Regions that were fully closed had much higher community rates at baseline than those that were partially closed. Analysis did not account for other changes in other public health measures.</p>	High
<p>Rotevatn, T.A., Elstrøm, P., Greve-Isdahl, M., Surén, P., Johansen, T., & Astrup, E. (2022). School Closure Versus Targeted Control Measures for SARS-CoV-2 Infection. <i>Pediatrics</i>, 149(5), e2021055071.</p>	Apr 1, 2022	Quasi-experimental	Schools, Oslo, Norway	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting* • Enhanced cleaning • Hand hygiene • Hybrid learning* • Masks* • Physical distancing* • Quarantine policies • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening (students, staff) • Testing <p>*Contact reducing measures adaptable to incidence level</p>	<p>Interrupted time series analysis to compare trends in COVID-19 rates amongst children in school with targeted measures (grades 1-4) vs. online (grades 5-10) from Feb 15 – Apr 18, 2021.</p> <p>No differences were found between cases in grades 5-7 vs. 1-4 (0.66, 95% CI: -1.25, 2.58) or 8-10 vs. 1-4 (-0.63, 95% CI: -2.30 to 1.04).</p>	Moderate

				(e.g., three-level model).		
Juutinen, A., Sarviki, E., Laukkanen-Nevala, P., & Helve, O. (2021). Closing lower secondary schools had no impact on COVID-19 incidence in 13-15-year-olds in Finland . <i>Epidemiology and infection</i> , 149, e233.	Oct 26, 2021	Quasi experimental	Secondary schools, Finland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical distancing School closures Testing 	<p>Data analyzed during regional lockdowns from Mar-Apr 2021.</p> <p>Amongst children aged 13-15:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No difference in trend between areas with restaurant closures only vs. restaurant & school closures: average weekly % change in cases overall: -16.4%, 95% CI: -22.4, -12.1 (difference between areas NR). <p>Amongst children aged 7-12:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Average weekly % change in cases with restaurant closures: -29.1 (95% CI= -37.6, -19.6) vs. restaurant & school closures: -12.0 (95% CI= -15.5,-8.3 (statistical significance NR). 	Low
Simetin, I.P., Svajda, M., Ivanko, P., Dimnjakovic, J., Belavic, A., Istvanovic, A., & Poljicanin, T. (2021). COVID-19 incidence, hospitalizations and mortality trends in Croatia and school closures . <i>Public health</i> , 198, 164–170.	Aug 3, 2021	Quasi experimental	Schools, Croatia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cohorting Hand hygiene Masks (grade 4 and over) Physical distancing School closures/ hybrid learning Staggered start/end times 	<p>National data on COVID-19 incidence, hospitalizations and mortality were analyzed from Feb 2020 – March 2021.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistically significant decreases in average % change in hospitalizations and mortality observed after school-closures due to holidays, but no significant increases following reopening (data not provided). 	Moderate
Previously reported evidence						
Sebastiani, G. & Palù, G. (2021). COVID-19 Pandemic: Influence of Schools, Age Groups, and Virus Variants in Italy . <i>Viruses</i> , 13(7), 1269.	Jun 29, 2021	Quasi-experimental	Schools, Italy	Not reported	<p>From Jan – Feb 2021, the incidence of COVID-19 in school aged children was compared in staggered school re-openings across the country.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hospital ICU admissions consistently decreased over the course of Jan 2021 which coincided with a delay in school re-opening after the Christmas Break (values not provided) 	Low

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The increased incidence of COVID-19 among those aged 0-9 in the first 10 days of Jan 2021 is statistically significant (p<0.001) (data not provided). Incidence peak occurred 14-days after return to remote schooling (data not provided) 	
<p>Bignami-van Assche, S., Boujija, Y., Fisman, D., & Sandberg, J. (2021). In-person schooling and COVID-19 transmission in Canada's three largest cities. <i>Preprint.</i></p>	Mar 23, 2021	Case series	School-aged children, Montreal, Toronto, and Calgary, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Masks (varied): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Toronto: mandatory for elementary and secondary schools; encouraged for kindergarten. Montreal: mandatory in common areas for elementary, and later, in classrooms for secondary schools. Calgary: mandatory K-12, could be removed when seated in classrooms (cohorts, physically distanced) Optional remote or hybrid learning 	<p>Levels of community transmission were low when schools reopened (Aug 25 – 31, 2020):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 11.3/100,000 Montreal 10.0/100,000 Toronto 26.7/100,000 Calgary <p>Montreal and Toronto implemented IPAC measures (restaurant and recreation closures, gathering restrictions) in Oct 2020; by Dec, all 3 cities had implemented these and additional measures (work from home, business closures).</p> <p>Levels of community transmission had risen by end of study period (Jan 6 – 12, 2021):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 356.9/100,000 Montreal 165.9/100,000 Toronto 153.5/100,000 Calgary <p>In Toronto and Calgary, infection trends in 0–19-year-olds paralleled adults; in Montreal, increased rates among adults were preceded by increases among 10–19-year-olds, suggesting Montreal school IPAC measures were insufficient.</p> <p>One week after schools closed for winter holiday break, weekly incidence declined among 0–19-year-olds but continued to rise in other age groups.</p>	<p>Low</p> <p>PREPRINT</p>
Perramon, A., Soriano-Arandes, A., Pino, D.,	Feb 17, 2021	Quasi-experimental	Primary and secondary schools,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cohorting Enhanced ventilation 	<p>From Sep 14, 2020 – Jan 31, 2021, 48,914 (of 942,881) children (aged <18) tested positive</p>	<p>Low</p> <p>PREPRINT</p>

<p>Lazcano, U., Andrés, C., Català, M., ... Soler-Palacin, P. (2021). Epidemiological dynamics of the incidence of COVID-19 in children and the relationship with the opening of schools in Catalonia (Spain). <i>Preprint</i>.</p>			<p>Catalonia, Spain</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hand hygiene • Infographics • Masks (students aged ≥ 6) • Mass screening campaigns • Quarantine policies (cohort screening, quarantining with positive case) 	<p>for COVID-19 (5.2%). Variant B.1.1.7 was first detected in Catalonia at end of Dec.</p> <p>Incidence for children waged <12 was lower than the general population; incidence for children aged 12-17 was similar or higher. Age was associated with higher incidence.</p> <p>Incidence impacted by changes in active screening/testing. Daily tests and cases among children, compared with the general population, decreased when schools were closed ($p < 0.001$). During the first 11 weeks, positivity rate in children ($\leq 5\%$) was lower than the general population; positivity rate increased when schools were closed for holidays ($p < 0.001$) due to a decrease in screening/testing.</p> <p>Rate of cases in children was significantly lower than for adults during whole study period ($p < 0.001$).</p>	
---	--	--	-------------------------	--	---	--

Tableau 4 : Études individuelles, transmission au sein des camps d'été

Reference	Date Released		Location, Setting	IPAC Measures	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating:
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022						
Blaisdell, L., Rising, J., van Zyl, A., Finn, J., & Vergales, J. (2022). Testing and Nonpharmaceutical Interventions for Prevention of SARS-CoV-2 in 20 US Overnight Camps in Summer 2021 . <i>Public health reports, 137</i> (5), 1007–1012.	Jul 20, 2022	Cross-Sectional	20 summer camps, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Masks • Quarantine policies • Symptoms screening • Testing 	<p>In summer of 2021, 20 camps with 9474 campers were surveyed.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 27 cases identified, 17 (63.0%) detected after arrival, 3 (7.4%) on arrival, 8 (29.6%) prior to arrival. <p>Only 1 camp experienced on-campus transmission.</p> <p>Vaccination was high amongst staff (84.6%) and campers (76.2%).</p>	Moderate
Van Naarden Braun, K., Drexler, M., Rozenfeld, R.A., Deener-Agus, E., Greenstein, R., Agus, M., ... Nerwen, C. (2021). Multicomponent Strategies to Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Transmission - Nine Overnight Youth Summer Camps, United States, June-August 2021 . <i>Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 70</i> (40), 1420–1424.	Oct 8, 2021	Prevalence	Overnight camps, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Hand hygiene • Masks • Physical distancing • Quarantine policies • Testing 	<p>From Jun - Aug 2021, 7173 campers and staff members attended 9 overnight camps.</p> <p>9 cases and no secondary infections were detected.</p> <p>Vaccination rate was high (93% of those >12). Authors emphasize the importance of multiple prevention strategies.</p>	High
Tonzel, J.L., & Sokol, T. (2021). COVID-19	Oct 8, 2021	Louisiana, USA	Day and overnight camps,	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Masks 	From Jun – Jul 2021, 28 camp outbreaks, with 321 cases were investigated.	Low

<p>Outbreaks at Youth Summer Camps - Louisiana, June-July 2021. <i>Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 70(40), 1425–1426.</i></p>			Louisiana, USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Symptomatic and asymptomatic contact screening 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mean outbreak size: 11.5 cases (range: 2–59) • 14 outbreaks (50%) occurred in day camps; mean outbreak size 9.3 cases (range: -21) • 14 (50%) occurred in overnight camps, mean outbreak size 13.6 cases (range 2-59) • 85.4% of cases were among campers, 14.6z% among staff • All staff cases occurred amongst the unvaccinated 	
--	--	--	----------------	--	---	--

Tableau 5 : Études individuelles en cours

Title	Anticipated Release Date	Setting	Description of Document
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022			
vanPoppel, M. (2022). Effect of physical education restrictions on SARS-CoV-2. Infections and clustering in class. A retrospective cohort study From September 2021 to April 2022. German Clinical Trials Register, DRKS00029061	Protocol registered May 27 th 2022, release date not reported	Schools, Austria	This cohort study will evaluate the effect of physical education restrictions on SARS-CoV-2 transmission.
Euresist Network, Geie. (2022). School Studies Within the EuCARE Horizon Europe Research Project (EuCARE-SCHOOLS). Clinical Trials Registrar, NCT05396040.	Not reported	Students and school staff within 440 classes from two countries (Italy and Portugal)	This study will investigate whether regular screening with pooled saliva tests (Lolli-Method) is useful to support school opening and to reduce clusters and attack rates in schools, compared with the standard of care (SoC) regular surveillance based on symptoms and contact tracing by public health departments.
Previously reported evidence			
Sweeney-Reed, C. M., Wolff, D., Niggel, J., Kabesch, M., & Apfelbacher, C. (2021). Pool Testing as a Strategy for Prevention of SARS-CoV-2 Outbreaks in Schools: Protocol for a Feasibility Study. <i>JMIR research protocols</i> , 10(5), e28673.	Not reported	Schools	This study will assess the feasibility of an infection monitoring program in schools in an effort to enable targeted quarantining in place of full school closures.
Universitätsmedizin Greifswald. (2021). Analyzing the incidence of SARS-Cov-2 infected children and teenager in Western Pomerania. <i>German Clinical Trials Register</i> , DRKS00024635.	Not reported	Not specified	This seroprevalence study will measure the infection rate of COVID-19 and temporal changes in COVID specific antibodies in children aged 6 months – 17 years.
Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg. (2021). The Potential of home-based screening for SARS-CoV-2 when opening schools in Baden-Württemberg (COVID-19). <i>German Clinical Trials Register</i> , DRKS00024845.	Not reported	School	This surveillance study will monitor the incidence and prevalence of COVID-19 in students and staff in a primary school using an at home rapid test with confirmation through PCR testing.
Chu, H. (2021). Reopening schools safely and educating youth (ROSEY) research study (ROSEY). <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> , NCT04859699.	Jun 2023	Schools	This pilot study includes a clustered randomized controlled trial (RCT) assessing the effectiveness of a testing program on student attendance in K-8. Incidence of COVID-19 will be compared between the control; students who receive weekly PCR testing and the intervention; students who receive weekly testing and risk mitigation communication materials to educate them on COVID-19 health and safety measures.

Newland, J. G. (2021). Assessing Testing Strategies for Safe Return to K-12 Schools in an Underserved Population . <i>ClinicalTrials.gov</i> , NCT04875520.	Mar 31, 2023	Schools	This clustered RCT will compare the incidence of school-based COVID-19 transmission between weekly student and staff surveillance testing vs. testing only symptomatic students and staff.
Kaiser, R. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in childcare facilities . <i>German Clinical Trials Register</i> , DRKS00023507.	Not reported	Daycare	This study will assess the feasibility of testing children and staff at daycares for COVID-19 twice per week for two weeks.
Universitätsklinikum Rostock. (2020). Prospective Study initiated by University Hospital Rostock concerning COVID-19 in mothers, nursery and school teachers of children in Rostock . <i>German Clinical Trials Register</i> , DRKS00022504.	N/A	Daycare, schools	This study will measure prevalence of COVID-19 and associated antibodies in mothers, daycare nurses and teachers, and schoolteachers over the period of 12 months.

Tableau 6 : Synthèses

Reference	Date Released	Review Conclusions	Quality Rating
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022			
Dewan, M., Sharma, N., Panda, P.S., & Banerjee, P. (2022). School reopening: Back to classroom. A systematic review of strategies and their implementation during COVID-19 pandemic. <i>Journal of family medicine and primary care</i> , 11(8), 4273–4279.	Aug 3, 2022 (Search completed up to Aug 2021)	<p>This systematic review included 13 studies examining the effectiveness of school reopening measures at preventing COVID-19 transmission.</p> <p>Reopening schools resulted in a low risk of transmission; outbreaks were more likely among teachers and associated with community transmission.</p> <p>Effective measures included hand hygiene and mask wearing. Statistical significance N.R.</p>	Low
Ferrari, S., Blázquez, T., Cardelli, R., Puglisi, G., Suárez, R., & Mazzarella, L. (2022). Ventilation strategies to reduce airborne transmission of viruses in classrooms: A systematic review of scientific literature. <i>Building and environment</i> , 222, 109366.	Jul 2, 2022 (Search completed up to Dec 2021)	<p>This systematic review included 30 studies examining air ventilation strategies (natural or mechanical) to reduce the risk of airborne transmission in schools.</p> <p>Natural ventilation systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aeration strategies (n=7) likely to reduce the risk of contagion included open windows with cross ventilation, low-cost fans (up to 70% risk reduction), and airflow deflectors (up to 20% risk reduction). (Results based on mathematical models and simulations.) • Air purifiers (n=5) may remove more particles than ventilation systems bringing in outdoor air, but relative position (e.g., proximity to infected persons) may influence performance. <p>Mechanical ventilation systems:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ventilation procedures (n=8), such as using filters, combined with masking, may reduce cross infection, particularly in small-volume classrooms. (Results based on mathematical models and simulations.) • Air distribution systems (n=9), that ensure air mixing and dilution or removal of infected particles may reduce cross infection. 	Low
Silverberg, S.L., Zhang, B.Y., Li, S., Burgert, C., Shulha, H.P., Kitchin, V., ... Sadarangani, M. (2022). Child transmission of SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>BMC pediatrics</i> , 22(1), 172.	Apr 2, 2022 (Search completed Mar 31, 2021)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This systematic review included 23 articles documenting transmission at a school or childcare centre. • Evidence of transmission in the school or childcare settings were limited. • General findings indicated that children were not major contributors of transmission (child-to-child secondary attack rate (SAR) in childcare and school settings was 7.1% and 2.0%; child-to-adult SAR, 31.7% and 11.7%); 48% of children confirmed infected with COVID-19 at school were adolescents in a secondary school environment. 	Moderate

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The study argued that reopening schools in the setting of adult vaccinations and in the absence of an ongoing community outbreak will not be risky in terms of virus transmission. 	
<p>Karki, S.J., Joachim, A., Heinsohn, T., & Lange, B. (2021). Risk of infection and contribution to transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in school staff: a systematic review. <i>BMJ open</i>, 11(11), e052690.</p>	<p>Nov 3, 2021 (Search completed Jan 29, 2021)</p>	<p>This systematic review included 18 studies that discussed the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in staff and students, the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in school settings and the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG antibodies in staff.</p> <p>During low incidence of infection: attack rates were low and similar among teachers and students. The risk of infection via seroprevalence studies ranged from 0-2%. During medium incidence: SARs in schools were higher, specifically for teachers (0% –6.6%). During high incidence (incidence >25/7 days/100 000, deaths per day >5/million population): the risk of infection following outbreaks in schools was higher among teachers (up to 16%), and the risk of infection via seroprevalence studies ranged from 1.7-28%.</p> <p>In the school setting, the transmission risk is higher among adults, and infectious children are less likely to infect teachers.</p> <p>In high-incidence settings, there is an increased risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection in school staff teaching face-to-face (RR:1.1–2). The risk of infections, as well as the risk of hospitalization, increased for teachers during school openings compared with school closure. While in low-incidence settings, there is little evidence for school staff to be at high risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.</p>	Moderate
<p>Irfan, O., Li, J., Tang, K., Wang, Z., & Bhutta, Z.A. (2021). Risk of infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among children and adolescents in households, communities and educational settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. <i>Journal of global health</i>, 11, 05013.</p>	<p>Jul 17, 2021 (Searches completed Apr 1, 2021)</p>	<p>This systematic review and meta-analysis included 90 studies (29 national and regional prevalence studies, 31 community or family cluster contact tracing (CTS), and 30 schools or daycare contact tracing)</p> <p>The overall risk of SARS-Cov-2 infection among children and adolescents in comparison to adults:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> National (RR = 0.87, 95% CI = 0.71-1.060) and subnational (RR = 0.81, 95% CI = 0.66-1.01) for children <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When disaggregated by testing methods, children and adolescents showed a similarly lower risk of past infection in national (RR = 0.77, 95% CI = 0.62-0.96) studies, but it was nonsignificant in subnational studies. The risk of active infection was lower compared to adults but nonsignificant in both national and subnational studies. In community/household contact-tracing studies OR = 0.62 (95% CI = 0.46-0.84) and heterogeneity, $I^2 = 0.91$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When disaggregated by the schools' operational status, both children and adolescents were found to have a lower risk of infection than adults 	Moderate

		<p>when schools were open or partially open, OR = 0.52 (95% CI = 0.33-0.83), but no significant difference during school closures.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subgroup analysis of CTS with age-disaggregation showed a lower risk of secondary attack (OR= 0.57, 95% CI = 0.37-0.87) in children, whereas adolescents observed comparable risk (OR = 1.22, 95% CI = 0.74-2.04). • Children and adolescents appeared to have a lower, though statistically nonsignificant, risk of secondary attack in school settings. • In educational-settings, children attending daycare/preschools were observed to be at lower-risk (OR = 0.53, 95% CI = 0.38-0.72). Odds of infection among primary (OR = 0.85, 95% CI = 0.55-1.31) and high-schoolers (OR = 1.30, 95% CI = 0.71-2.38) were comparable to adults. <p>Risk of contracting SARS-CoV-2 infection among children and adolescents in schools compared to community settings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When the total number of children and adolescents tested and diagnosed with COVID-19 in the two settings were compared, children observed lower odds of infection (OR = 0.53, 95% CI = 0.38-0.75) in schools compared to community and households, which was consistently observed even with disaggregation by age; children (<10 years) (OR = 0.45, 95% = 0.39-0.51); adolescents and high-schoolers (OR = 0.63, 95% CI = 0.56-0.72). 	
Vardavas, C., Nikitara, K., Mathioudakis, A.G., Hilton Boon, M., Phalkey, R., Leonardi-Bee, J., ... Suk, J. E. (2022). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in educational settings in 2020: a review . <i>BMJ open</i> , 12(4), e058308.	Oct 16, 2021 (Search completed by Apr 1, 2021)	<p>This rapid review included 15 studies addressing child-to-child and/or child-to-adult transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in an educational setting</p> <p>Although there is evidence that children can be infected by and transmit SARS-CoV-2 in school settings, the SAR remains relatively low, when non-pharmaceutical interventions are implemented in parallel.</p> <p>Although the evidence was limited, there was an indication that younger children may have a lower SAR than adolescents.</p>	Low
Viner, R., Waddington, C., Mytton, O., Booy, R., Cruz, J., Ward, J., ... Melendez-Torres, G.J. (2021). Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by children and young people in households and schools: A meta-analysis of population-based and contact-tracing studies . <i>The Journal of infection</i> , 84(3), 361–382.	Dec 22, 2021 (Search completed Jul 28, 2021)	<p>This systematic review and meta-analysis included 37 studies (19 population-based, 16 contact tracing and 2 that used both approaches) on transmission of COVID-19 from those aged 0-19 to other children and adults in school settings.</p> <p>The pooled SAR from child index cases in school studies (n=8) was 0.7% (95% CI=0.2,2.7), $I^2=97.8\%$.</p> <p>Odds of transmission was not different from child vs. adult index cases in school settings, pooled OR: 0.27 (95% CI=0.06,1.28), $I^2=87.97\%$.</p> <p>Pooled infection (PCR) prevalence across all studies was 0.4% (95% CI=0.2, 0.6), not significantly different by age.</p>	Moderate

		<p>Factors associated with higher school prevalence detected by RT-PCR included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current community 14-day incidence per 100,000, OR: 1.003 (95% CI=1.001,1.004) • Last month community incidence per 100,000, OR: 1.003 (95% CI=1.001,1.006) <p>PCR prevalence was not associated with two-month prior community incidence, school attendance rate (i.e., % in face-to-face learning), or PCR source.</p> <p>Pooled seroprevalence across all studies was 4.8% (95% CI=2.4, 9.9), not significantly different by age.</p> <p>Factors associated with higher school seroprevalence included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Last month community incidence per 100,000, OR: 1.005 (95% CI=1.000,1.007) • Two-month prior community incidence per 100,000 OR: 1.005 (95% CI=1.002, 1.008) <p>School seroprevalence was not associated with current community incidence or school attendance rate.</p>	
Previously reported evidence			
<p>Caini, S., Martinoli, C., La Vecchia, C., Raimondi, S., Bellerba, F., D'Ecclesiis, O., ... Gandini, S. (2022). SARS-CoV-2 Circulation in the School Setting: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. <i>International journal of environmental research and public health</i>, 19(9), 5384.</p>	<p>Jul 19, 2021 (Search completed May 15, 2021)</p>	<p>This systematic review and meta-analysis included 41 studies that estimate COVID-19 prevalence and transmission in primary and secondary school settings.</p> <p>Studies that conducted random or longitudinal screening for infection (n = 21) identified 323 confirmed cases in >120,000 subjects; pooled mean percent positive was 0.44% (95% CI=0.13,0.92) with high heterogeneity across studies ($I^2=97%$).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estimates differed significantly between cross-sectional (0.31%, 95% CI=0.05,0.81) and cohort studies (1.14%, 95% CI=0.01,4.19), p=0.03. • Children were no more likely to be positive than adults, pooled OR: 0.83 (95% CI=0.53,1.29). <p>Seroprevalence studies (n = 9) identified 354 confirmed cases among 17,879 subjects; pooled mean seroprevalence was 3.9% (95% CI=1.15,8.19), $I^2=100%$</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Estimates differed significantly between cross-sectional (1.49%, 95% CI=0.07 4.69) and cohort studies (10.31%, 95% CI=2.44,22.74), p=0.005. • Children were less likely to be seropositive than adults; OR: 0.57, 95% CI=0.49,0.68, $I^2=21%$. <p>Contact tracing studies (n = 15) included 747 index cases and 112,622 contacts; pooled mean SAR: 2.54 (95% CI=0.76,5.31), $I^2=100%$.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Child index cases had lower odds of transmitting to a secondary case vs. adults, pooled OR: 0.26 (95% CI=0.11,0.63), $I^2=44%$. 	<p>Low</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Child close contacts were no more likely to be positive than adult close contacts, pooled OR: 0.60 (95% CI=0.25,1.47), $I^2=63\%$. <p>Findings suggest that although infection does occur in schools, there is low COVID-19 circulation and limited child-to-adult or child-to-child transmission.</p>	
European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, July 8). COVID-19 in children and the role of school settings in transmission - second update.	July 8, 2021 (Search date NR)	<p>This review explored the role of schools on the transmission of COVID-19 in Europe, and strategies to reduce risk. The number of studies included were not reported.</p> <p>Risk of infection in school settings:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> When mitigation measures are in place, infection spread in schools is limited (moderate confidence); however, determining source of transmission is difficult. Secondary infections in school settings are more likely to occur if the index case is a teacher than a student, other factors being equal (moderate confidence). Staff and adults working within the school setting are not at an increased risk of severe COVID-19 compared to the general population (low confidence). Authors conclude susceptibility and infectiousness of children, adolescents, and educational staff is higher with current community transmission compared to pre-VOC time points (data not provided to support this). <p>Strategies to mitigate risk:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementing multiple physical distancing and hygiene measures can significantly reduce the possibility of transmission within schools (high confidence). These include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> De-densification (classroom distancing, staggered arrival times, cancellation of certain indoor activities, especially among other students) Hygiene measures (handwashing, respiratory etiquette, cleaning, ventilation, and face masks for certain age groups) Timely testing and isolation or quarantine of symptomatic cases is important. Rapid antigen tests should be considered. 	Low
Yuan, H., Reynolds, C., Ng, S., & Yang, W. (2022). Factors affecting the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in school settings. <i>Influenza and other respiratory viruses</i> , 16(4), 643–652.	Jul 16, 2022 (Search completed Jul 28, 2021)	<p>This meta-analysis included 21 studies with 35 school clusters totaling 728 secondary cases among 21,600 contacts; mean SAR: 0.02 (IQR=0, 0.08). Compared to high school, lower-level schools were associated with lower odds to transmission, adjusting for other measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preschool: OR: 0.47 (95% CI = 0.23, 0.95) Mixed schools (primary and secondary): OR: 0.85 (95% CI = 0.62, 1.18) Primary school: OR: 0.9 (95% CI = 0.76, 1.08) <p>Factors associated with lower odds of transmission, adjusting for other measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Physical distancing or masking: OR: 0.15 (95% CI = 0.08, 0.28) Mask wearing and physical distancing: OR: 0.25 (95% CI = 0.19, 0.32) Population immunity rate (per 100 people): OR: 0.57 (95% CI = 0.46, 0.71) 	Low

		<p>Factors associated with higher odds of transmission, adjusting for other measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Surveilling all contacts vs. only symptomatic: OR: 3.02 (95% CI = 2.13, 4.28) • Intensity of community transmission: OR: 1.11 (95% CI = 1.06, 1.16) for each increase of 1 case/10,000 persons per week • Community death rate (per 100,000/week): OR: 1.30 (95% CI = 1.15, 1.46) • Humidity: OR: 1.16 (95% CI = 1.11, 1.12) • Higher national income: OR: 1.02 (95% CI = 1.01, 1.03) <p>No association was found for average class size.</p>	
--	--	--	--

Tableau 7 : Synthèses en cours

Title	Anticipated Release Date	Setting	Description of Document
New evidence reported on December 7, 2022			
Zheng, B., Zhang, J., Zhang, H. (2022). The SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Transmission in School Environments: A Meta-analysis . <i>PROSPERO</i> , CRD42022349917.	Oct 1, 2022	Staff and students in school environments including preschools, kindergarten, primary schools, middle schools, high schools	The aim of the study is to review available evidence on transmissibility COVID-19 in educational settings to provide recommendations for epidemic prevention and control.
Lopes-Júnior, L.C., Siqueira, P.C., & Maciel, E. (2021). School reopening and risks accelerating the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol . <i>PloS one</i> , 16(11), e0260189.	Sep 30, 2021	Community, daycares, schools	This review and meta-analysis will summarize available evidence on school reopening and its impact on the transmission rate of COVID-19 among children, adolescents, and young adults.
Previously reported evidence			
Little, T., Reinhard, D., & White, S. K-12 non-pharmacological responses to influenza-like and Coronavirus illness outbreaks in US schools – A systematic review . <i>PROSPERO</i> , CRD42021247217.	Aug 31, 2021	Schools	This review will summarize available evidence as to the effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical interventions and/or prevention strategies employed by kindergarten to grade 12 schools on the transmission of COVID-19.
Milhomens, L.M., Domene, F.M., De Lucca Da Silva, J., de Araújo, Luquine Jr., C.D., B.C., Lopes Bezerra da Silva, L.A. ... Barreto, J.O.M. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection in schools: rapid review . <i>PROSPERO</i> , CRD42021257375.	Jun 26, 2021	Schools	This rapid review will summarize differences in COVID-19 infection rates between students and staff in primary and secondary schools, post re-opening.
Bhamani, S., Tabani, A., Ahmed, D., & Saleem, A. (2020). A rapid systematic review on COVID transmission trends in children on schools reopening in lower middle income countries . <i>PROSPERO</i> , CRD42020204925.	Jul 31, 2021	Schools	This review will summarize virus transmission among children and outbreaks occurring after schools re-open in low- and middle-income countries.
Lange, B., Ott, J., & Karki, S. J. (2021). Evidence synthesis gaps in understanding disease burden of children, transmission parameters in schools and households and effects of measures implemented in schools during the COVID-19 pandemic – a rapid systematic review of systematic reviews . <i>PROSPERO</i> , CRD42021231866.	Mar 31, 2021	Home, school	This rapid review of systematic reviews will summarize evidence syntheses on the disease burden of COVID-19 in children, their role, and the role of schools in transmission, and the effects of mitigation measures.

<p>Chatterji, M., Kitamura, K., Muenig, P., Willson, G.E., De Leon Jr., R., & Allegrante, J.P. (2020). The relative effectiveness of multilevel interventions in reducing risks of transmission of lethal viruses in Grade K-12 school communities and school linked populations: a systematic review and best-evidence synthesis. <i>PROSPERO, CRD42020201930.</i></p>	<p>Aug 29, 2020</p>	<p>School and school-linked populations</p>	<p>This review will report on the relative efficacy of multilevel interventions in reducing risks of COVID-19 and other lethal viruses among kindergarten to grade 12 school communities and in school-linked populations.</p>
---	---------------------	---	--

Tableau 8 : Données de surveillance canadiennes

Reference	Date Released	Study Design	Setting, Location	IPAC measures	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating:
Previously reported evidence						
Government of Alberta. (2021, July 30). COVID-19: Education and child care.	Jul 30, 2021	Prevalence	Primary and secondary schools, Alberta, Canada	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Hand hygiene • Masks (staff, students grade 4+, when physical distancing not possible) • Physical distancing (staff, students) • Screening • Strict symptomatic stay-at-home policy¹ 	School (185 total) status classification as of Jun 30, 2021: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30 outbreaks (10+ cases) • 21 outbreaks (5-9 cases) • 55 alerts (2-4 cases) • 79 open (i.e., no status to report) 	Moderate NOT PEER REVIEWED
Government of Ontario. (2021, July 28). COVID 19 cases in child care centres.	Jul 28, 2021	Prevalence	Licensed childcare centres and agencies, Ontario, Canada	All daycares: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Drop-off, pick-up protocols² • Enhanced cleaning • Masks, eye protection (staff) • No non-essential visitors • Record keeping • Screening 	<p>From Jun 12, 2020 - Jul 28, 2021, a total of 7568 cases occurred in those connected to daycare settings in Ontario:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4540 child cases • 3028 staff/provider cases <p>As of Jul 28, 2021, 28 (0.52%) centres were currently reporting a case; 3 (0.06%) centres were closed.</p> <p>Reported daycare closures are due to outbreaks or operational considerations (i.e., number of staff in isolation resulting in insufficient number of staff available to keep school or daycare centre open; regional closures in local public health unit areas not considered).</p> <p>Transmission source unknown for cases, therefore unable to report the proportion of cases due to in-daycare transmission.</p>	High NOT PEER REVIEWED

¹ Government of Alberta. (2021, January 19). [COVID-19 information: guidance for school re-entry - scenario 1.](#)

² Government of Ontario. (2020, January 12). [COVID-19: Reopening child care centres.](#)

<p>Government of Québec. (2021, July 26). Daily numbers for the province – public and private school systems highlights.</p>	<p>Jul 26, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>Public and private school system, Québec, Canada</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alternating in-person/remote attendance (secondary schools in red and orange zones) • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Masks (staff, students grades 5+; in red and orange zones, all students, except preschoolers) • Physical distancing³ 	<p>Data from 2740 public schools, 254 private schools including over 1,300,000 students and 226,000 staff.</p> <p>Confirmed positive cases in the school from start of school in Sep - Dec 22, 2020:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public: 14,929 students, 3558 staff • Private: 2443 students, 480 staff • Total: 17,372 students (~1.3% of all students), 4038 staff (~1.8% of all staff) <p>Confirmed active cases in school system on Jun 7, 2021:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public: 643 students, 58 staff • Private: 167 students, 8 staff • Total: 810 students (~0.06% of all students), 6 staff (~0.003% of all staff) <p>Confirmed variant cases since Mar 12, 2021:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public: 1097 • Private: 288 • Total: 13,855 <p>Number of schools that have had a positive case Jan 5 – Apr 29, 2021:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2576 (94%) <p>At the close of the 2021 school year (Jun 23), there were a total of 3381 completed outbreaks in school environments (no additional data provided).</p> <p>As of Jul 26, 2021, childcare establishments reported 2 active outbreaks and 1300 completed outbreaks (no additional data provided).</p>	<p>Low</p> <p><i>NOT PEER REVIEWED</i></p>
--	---------------------	-------------------	---	---	--	---

³ Government of Québec. (2021, January 11). [Organization of educational activities in 2020-2021 \(COVID-19\).](#)

<p>Government of Ontario. (2021, July 20). COVID-19 cases in schools and child care centres.</p>	<p>Jul 5, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>Primary, secondary schools, and daycares, Ontario, Canada</p>	<p>All schools:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Masks, eye protection (staff) • No non-essential visitors • Record keeping • Screening <p>Primary and secondary schools (in addition):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hand hygiene • Masks (students, grades 1-12, in school (hallways, class), on school transportation, outdoors (when cannot distance)) • Physical distancing • Scheduled remote learning days (grades 9-12) • Staggered bell times (suggested) • Targeted testing (voluntary, participating schools)⁴ 	<p>From Sep 5, 2020 – Jul 5, 2021, a total of 15,292 school-related cases were reported in publicly funded schools in Ontario:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 11,462 student cases • 2661 staff cases • 1169 ‘other’ cases (not identified) <p>As of Apr 9, 2021, schools moved to remote learning due to increasing COVID-19 cases in communities.</p> <p>From Apr 19 – Jun 30, 2021, there were 260 additional cases reported:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 120 student cases • 140 staff cases <p><i>* Cases may be those not captured prior to April closure as well as students with special education needs who continued in-person learning and staff who support them.</i></p> <p>Transmission source unknown for cases, therefore unable to report the proportion of cases due to in-school transmission.</p>	<p>Moderate</p> <p>NOT PEER REVIEWED</p>
<p>Government of Ontario. (2021, July 9). COVID-19: data for asymptomatic testing of students and school staff.</p>	<p>Jul 9, 2021</p>	<p>Prevalence</p>	<p>Primary, secondary schools, Ontario, Canada</p>	<p>All schools:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cohorting • Enhanced cleaning • Masks, eye protection (staff) • No non-essential visitors • Record keeping • Screening <p>Primary and secondary schools (in addition):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hand hygiene • Masks (students, grades 1-12, in school (hallways, class), on school 	<p>From Feb 1, 2021 - Apr 30, 2021, 64,526 rapid asymptomatic tests were conducted across 602 schools; a total of 411 additional cases were detected (0.73%).</p> <p>The number of cases identified by school board ranged from 0 to 151, and percent positive tests per school board ranged from 0 to 2.6%.</p>	<p>Low</p> <p>NOT PEER REVIEWED</p>

⁴ Government of Ontario. (2020, November 27). [Guide to reopening Ontario’s schools.](#)

				transportation, outdoors (when cannot distance))s <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Physical distancing • Scheduled remote learning days (grades 9-12) • Staggered bell times (suggested) • Targeted testing (voluntary, participating schools)⁵ 		
--	--	--	--	---	--	--

⁵ Government of Ontario. (2020, November 27). [Guide to reopening Ontario's schools](#).
 Version n° 18 : 7 decembre 2022

Références

- Aiano, F., McOwat, K., Obi, C., Powell, A.A., Flood, J., Bhardwaj, S., ... Saliba, V. (2022). [A cross-sectional national investigation of COVID-19 outbreaks in nurseries during rapid spread of the Alpha \(B.1.1.7\) variant of SARS-CoV-2 in England](#). *BMC public health*, 22(1), 1845.
- Auger, K.A., Hall, M., Bunte, S., Mussman, G., Amin, M., Sprigg, S., ... Kahn, R. S. (2022). [A Successful Collaboration Between an Urban School District, a Health System, and a Public Health Department to Address COVID-19 While Returning Children to the Classroom](#). *Journal of community health*, 47(3), 504–509.
- Berke, E.M., Newman, L.M., Jemsby, S., Hyde, B., Bhalla, N., Sheils, N E., ... Cangelosi, G.A. (2021). [Pooling in a Pod: A Strategy for COVID-19 Testing to Facilitate a Safe Return to School](#). *Public health reports*, 136(6), 663–670.
- Bhamani, S., Tabani, A., Ahmed, D., & Saleem, A. (2020). [A rapid systematic review on COVID transmission trends in children on schools reopening in lower middle income countries](#). *PROSPERO*, CRD42020204925.
- Bignami-van Assche, S., Boujija, Y., Fisman, D., & Sandberg, J. (2021). [In-person schooling and COVID-19 transmission in Canada's three largest cities](#). *Preprint*.
- Blaisdell, L., Rising, J., van Zyl, A., Finn, J., & Vergales, J. (2022). [Testing and Nonpharmaceutical Interventions for Prevention of SARS-CoV-2 in 20 US Overnight Camps in Summer 2021](#). *Public health reports*, 137(5), 1007–1012.
- Blanchard, A.C., Desforges, M., Labbé, A.C., Nguyen, C.T., Petit, Y., Besner, D., ... & Quach, C. (2022). [Evaluation of real-life use of Point-Of-Care Rapid Antigen Testing for SARS-CoV-2 in schools \(EOCRATES\)](#). *Preprint*.
- Boutzoukas, A.E., Zimmerman, K.O., Benjamin, D.K., DeMuri, G.P., Kalu, I.C., Smith, M.J., ... Butteris, S.M. (2022). [Secondary Transmission of COVID-19 in K-12 Schools: Findings From 2 States](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(12 Suppl 2), e2021054268K.
- Boutzoukas, A.E., Zimmerman, K.O., Inkelas, M., Brookhart, M.A., Benjamin, D.K., Butteris, S., ... Benjamin, D.K. (2022). [School Masking Policies and Secondary SARS-CoV-2 Transmission](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(6), e2022056687.
- Boutzoukas, A E., Zimmerman, K.O., Mann, T.K., Moorthy, G.S., Blakemore, A., McGann, K.A., ... Kalu, I.C. (2022). [A School-Based SARS-CoV-2 Testing Program: Testing Uptake and Quarantine Length After In-School Exposures](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(12 Suppl 2), e2021054268J.
- Budzyn, S. E., Panaggio, M. J., Parks, S. E., Papazian, M., Magid, J., Eng, M., & Barrios, L. C. (2021). [Pediatric COVID-19 cases in counties with and without school mask requirements—United States, July 1–September 4, 2021](#). *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(39), 1377.
- Caini, S., Martinoli, C., La Vecchia, C., Raimondi, S., Bellerba, F., D'Ecclesiis, O., ... Gandini, S. (2022). [SARS-CoV-2 Circulation in the School Setting: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis](#). *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(9), 5384.

Callies, M., Kabouche, I., Desombere, I., Merckx, J., Roelants, M., Vermeulen, M., Duysburgh, E. (2022). [Measures for infection prevention and control of SARS-CoV-2 in Belgian schools between December 2020 and June 2021: a prospective cohort study](#). *Preprint*.

Campbell, M. M., Benjamin, D. K., Mann, T. K., Fist, A., Blakemore, A., Diaz, K. S., ... Zimmerman, K. O. (2022). [Test-to-Stay After SARS-CoV-2 Exposure: A Mitigation Strategy for Optionally Masked K-12 Schools](#). *Pediatrics*, 150(5), e2022058200.

Campbell, M.M., Benjamin, D.K., Mann, T., Fist, A., Kim, H., Edwards, L., ... ABC Science Collaborative (2022). [Test-to-Stay After Exposure to SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(5), e2021056045.

Campeau, L., Thistlethwaite, F., Yao, J.A., Hobbs, A.J., Shahriari, A., Vijh, R., ... Zbar, A. (2022). [Transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 in British Columbia's largest school district during the second half of the 2020-2021 school year](#). *Canadian journal of public health*, 113(5), 653–664.

Chandra, A., & Høeg, T.B. (2022). [Lack of correlation between school mask mandates and paediatric COVID-19 cases in a large cohort](#). *The Journal of infection*, S0163-4453(22)00550-3. Epub ahead of print.

Chatterji, M., Kitamura, K., Muenig, P., Willson, G.E., De Leon Jr., R., & Allegrante, J.P. (2020). [The relative effectiveness of multilevel interventions in reducing risks of transmission of lethal viruses in Grade K-12 school communities and school linked populations: a systematic review and best-evidence synthesis](#). *PROSPERO*, CRD42020201930.

Choi, A., Mâsse, L.C., Bardwell, S., Kayda, I., Zhao, Y., Xu, Y.X.Z., ... Goldfarb, D.M. (2022). [Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools, British Columbia, Canada April to June 2021](#). *Microbiology spectrum*, 10(4), e0062222.

Chu, H. (2021). [Reopening schools safely and educating youth \(ROSEY\) research study \(ROSEY\)](#). *ClinicalTrials.gov*, NCT04859699.

Coma, E., Català, M., Méndez-Boo, L., Alonso, S., Hermosilla, E., Alvarez-Lacalle, E., ... Prats, C. (2022). [Unravelling the role of the mandatory use of face covering masks for the control of SARS-CoV-2 in schools: a quasi-experimental study nested in a population-based cohort in Catalonia \(Spain\)](#). *Archives of disease in childhood*, archdischild-2022-324172. Epub ahead of print.

Cordery, R., Reeves, L., Zhou, J., Rowan, A., Watber, P., Rosadas, C., ... & Srisikandan, S. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by children to contacts in schools and households: a prospective cohort and environmental sampling study in London](#). *The Lancet. Microbe*, S2666-5247(22)00124-0.

Costa, S.F., Manuli, R.E., Oliveira, B.A., Leal, F.E., Souza, E.C.B., Illi, A.P., ... Sabino, E.C. (2022). [Online symptoms screening and testing of Covid-19 through RT-LAMP saliva of students and asymptomatic employees in a public school in Brazil](#). *Preprint*.

Cowger, T.L., Clarke, J., Murray, E.J., Sánchez, S.M., Bassett, M.T., Ojikutu, B.O., ... Hall, K.T. (2022). [Impact of Lifting School Masking Requirements on Incidence of COVID-19 among Staff](#)

[and Students in Greater-Boston Area School Districts: A Difference-in-Differences Analysis.](#)
Preprint.

Dawson, P., Worrell, M.C., Malone, S., Fritz, S.A., McLaughlin, H.P., Montgomery, B.K., ... Newland, J.G. (2022). [Modifications to student quarantine policies in K-12 schools implementing multiple COVID-19 prevention strategies restores in-person education without increasing SARS-CoV-2 transmission risk, January-March 2021.](#) *PloS one*, 17(10), e0266292.

Dewan, M., Sharma, N., Panda, P.S., & Banerjee, P. (2022). [School reopening: Back to classroom. A systematic review of strategies and their implementation during COVID-19 pandemic.](#) *Journal of family medicine and primary care*, 11(8), 4273–4279.

Donovan, C.V., Rose, C., Lewis, K.N., Vang, K., Stanley, N., Motley, M., ... Cima, M. (2022). [SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in K-12 School Districts with Mask-Required Versus Mask-Optional Policies - Arkansas, August-October 2021.](#) *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 71(10), 384–389.

Donovan, C.V., Worrell, M.C., Steinberg, J., Montgomery, B.K., Young, R., Richardson, G., ... Salzer, J. S. (2022). [An Examination of SARS-CoV-2 Transmission Based on Classroom Distancing in Schools With Other Preventive Measures in Place-Missouri, January-March 2021.](#) *Public health reports*, 137(5), 972–979.

Euresist Network, Geie. (2022). [School Studies Within the EuCARE Horizon Europe Research Project \(EuCARE-SCHOOLS\).](#) Clinical Trials Registrar, NCT05396040.

European Centre for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, July 8). [COVID-19 in children and the role of school settings in transmission - second update.](#)

Falk, A., Decoster, M., Wallace, Z., Falk, P., Steffen, S., Benda, A., & Høeg, T. B. (2022). [COVID-19 Surveillance Testing in Secondary Schools: Findings and Barriers to Implementation.](#) *Wisconsin Medical Journal*, 121(1), 13–17.

Farina, E., Eboli, I., Spadea, T., Saugo, C., Richiardi, L., Maule, M., ... Bena, A. (2021). ['Scuola sicura': a school screening testing programme to prevent the spread of COVID-19 in students in Piedmont.](#) *Epidemiologia e prevenzione*, 45(6), 504–512.

Ferrari, S., Blázquez, T., Cardelli, R., Puglisi, G., Suárez, R., & Mazzarella, L. (2022). [Ventilation strategies to reduce airborne transmission of viruses in classrooms: A systematic review of scientific literature.](#) *Building and environment*, 222, 109366.

Fitzpatrick, T., Wilton, A., Cohen, E., Rosella, L., & Guttman, A. (2022). [School Reopening And COVID-19 In The Community: Evidence From A Natural Experiment In Ontario, Canada.](#) *Health affairs*, 41(6), 864–872.

Gandini, S., Rainisio, M., Iannuzzo, M.L., Bellerba, F., Cecconi, F., & Scorrano, L. (2021). [A cross-sectional and prospective cohort study of the role of schools in the SARS-CoV-2 second wave in Italy.](#) *The Lancet Regional Health – Europe*, 5, 100092.

Gettings, J.R., Gold, J.A.W., Kimball, A., Forsberg, K., Scott, C., Uehara, A. ... Vallabhaneni, S. (2021). [SARS-CoV-2 transmission in a Georgia school district – United States, December 2020–January 2021.](#) *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciab332.

Gold, J.A.W., Gettings, J.R., Kimball, A., Franklin, R., Rivera, G., Morris, E., ... Georgia K-12 School COVID-19 Investigation Team. (2021). [Clusters of SARS-CoV-2 infection among elementary school educators and students in one school district- Georgia, December 2020-January 2021](#). *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(8), 289-292.

Goldenfeld, M., Cohen, C., Gilboa, M., Pessach, I.M., Mehnick, B., Tal, I., ... Regev-Yochay, G. (2022). [Rapid Antigen Tests For Safe School Opening in the COVID-19 Pandemic Era](#). *The Pediatric infectious disease journal*, 41(8), e312–e317.

Government of Alberta. (2021, July 30). [COVID-19: Education and child care](#).

Government of Alberta. (2021, January 19). [COVID-19 information: guidance for school re-entry - scenario 1](#).

Government of Ontario. (2021, July 9). [COVID-19: data for asymptomatic testing of students and school staff](#).

Government of Ontario. (2021, July 28). [COVID 19 cases in child care centres](#).

Government of Ontario. (2021, July 20). [COVID-19 cases in schools and child care centres](#).

Government of Ontario. (2020, November 27). [Guide to reopening Ontario's schools](#).

Government of Ontario. (2020, January 12). [COVID-19: Reopening child care centres](#).

Government of Québec. (2021, July 26). [Daily numbers for the province – public and private school systems highlights](#).

Government of Québec. (2021, January 11). [Organization of educational activities in 2020-2021 \(COVID-19\)](#).

Haag, L., Blankenburg, J., Unrath, M., Grabietz, J., Kahre, E., Galow, L., ... Armann, J.P. (2021). [Prevalence and Transmission of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Type 2 in Childcare Facilities: A Longitudinal Study](#). *The Journal of pediatrics*, 237, 136–142.

Haile S.R., Raineri, A., Rueegg, S., Radtke, T., Ulyte, A., Puhon M.A., & Kriemler, S. (2022). [Heterogeneous evolution of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in school-age children: Results from the Ciao Corona study in November-December 2021 in the canton of Zurich](#). Preprint.

Hargreaves, J.R., Langan, S.M., Oswald, W.E., Halliday, K.E., Sturgess, J., Phelan, J., ... COVID-19 Schools Infection Survey Study Group (2022). [Epidemiology of SARS-CoV-2 infection among staff and students in a cohort of English primary and secondary schools during 2020-2021](#). *The Lancet regional health. Europe*, 21, 100471.

Heinsohn, T., Lange, B., Vanella, P., Rodiah, I., Glöckner, S., Joachim, A., ... Krause, G. (2022). [Infection and transmission risks in schools and contribution to the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany—a retrospective observational study using nation-wide and regional health and education agency notification data](#). Preprint.

Hershow, R.B., Wu, K., Lewis, N.M., Milne, A.T., Currie, D., Smith, A.R., ... Chu, V.T. (2021). [Low SARS-CoV-2 transmission in elementary schools — Salt Lake County, Utah, December 3, 2020–January 31, 2021](#). *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(12), 442-448.

Hughes, A.E., Medford, R.J., Perl, T.M., Basit, M.A., & Kapinos, K.A. (2022). [District-Level Universal Masking Policies and COVID-19 Incidence During the First 8 Weeks of School in Texas](#). *American journal of public health*, 112(6), 871–875.

Irfan, O., Li, J., Tang, K., Wang, Z., & Bhutta, Z.A. (2021). [Risk of infection and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among children and adolescents in households, communities and educational settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis](#). *Journal of global health*, 11, 05013.

Jarnig, G., Kerbl, R., & van Poppel, M.N.M. (2022). [Effects of Wearing FFP2 Masks on SARS-CoV-2 Infection Rates in Classrooms](#). *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 19(20), 13511.

Javier, F. (2021). [Effectiveness of a 4x10 Surveillance Program to Detect and Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Transmission in a Public Primary School in a Marginalized Community of San Luis Potosi, Mexico](#). *Preprint*.

Jehn, M., Mac McCullough, J., Dale, A. P., Gue, M., Eller, B., Cullen, T., & Scott, S. E. (2021). [Association between K–12 school mask policies and school-associated COVID-19 outbreaks—Maricopa and Pima Counties, Arizona, July–August 2021](#). *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, 70(39), 1372.

Juutinen, A., Sarvikivi, E., Laukkanen-Nevala, P., & Helve, O. (2021). [Closing lower secondary schools had no impact on COVID-19 incidence in 13-15-year-olds in Finland](#). *Epidemiology and infection*, 149, e233.

Jonker, L., Linde, K.J., de Boer, A.R., Ding, E., Zhang, D., de Hoog, M.L A., ... Bruijning-Verhagen, P. (2022). [SARS-CoV-2 Incidence in Secondary Schools; the Role of National and School-Initiated COVID-19 Measures and Indoor Air Quality](#). *Preprint*.

Kaiser, R. (2021). [SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in childcare facilities](#). *German Clinical Trials Register*, DRKS00023507.

Karki, S.J., Joachim, A., Heinsohn, T., & Lange, B. (2021). [Risk of infection and contribution to transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in school staff: a systematic review](#). *BMJ open*, 11(11), e052690.

Lammie, S.L., Ford, L., Swanson, M., Guinn, A.S., Kamitani, E., van Zyl, A., ... Neatherlin, J.C. (2022). [Test-to-Stay Implementation in 4 Pre-K-12 School Districts](#). *Pediatrics*, 150(4), e2022057362.

Ladhani, S.N., Ireland, G., Baawuah, F., Beckmann, J., Okike, I.O., Ahmad, S., ... Ramsay, M.E. (2021). [Emergence of SARS-CoV-2 Alpha \(B.1.1.7\) variant, infection rates, antibody seroconversion and seroprevalence rates in secondary school students and staff: Active prospective surveillance, December 2020 to March 2021, England](#). *The Journal of infection*, 83(5), 573–580.

Lange, B., Ott, J., & Karki, S. J. (2021). [Evidence synthesis gaps in understanding disease burden of children, transmission parameters in schools and households and effects of measures implemented in schools during the COVID-19 pandemic – a rapid systematic review of systematic reviews](#). *PROSPERO*, CRD42021231866.

Lessler, J., Grabowski, K., Grantz, K.H., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Metcalf, J.E., Lupton-Smith, C. ... & Stuart, E.A. (2021). [Household COVID-19 risk and in-person schooling](#). *Science*, 327(6546), 1092-1097.

- Little, T., Reinhard, D., & White, S. [K-12 non-pharmacological responses to influenza-like and Coronavirus illness outbreaks in US schools – A systematic review](#). PROSPERO, CRD42021247217.
- Lopes-Júnior, L.C., Siqueira, P.C., & Maciel, E. (2021). [School reopening and risks accelerating the COVID-19 pandemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis protocol](#). *PLoS one*, 16(11), e0260189.
- Loss, J., Wurm, J., Varnaccia, G., Schienkiewitz, A., Iwanowski, H., Loer, A. ... Jordan, S. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 among children and staff in German daycare centres](#). *Epidemiology and infection*, 150, e141.
- Milhomens, L.M., Domene, F.M., De Lucca Da Silva, J., de Araújo, Luquine Jr., C.D., B.C., Lopes Bezerra da Silva, L.A. ... Barreto, J.O.M. (2021). [SARS-CoV-2 infection in schools: rapid review](#). PROSPERO, CRD42021257375.
- Mueed, A., Ahmad, T., Abdullah, M., Sultan, F., Khan, A.A. (2022). [Impact of school closures and reopening on COVID-19 caseload in 6 cities of Pakistan: An Interrupted Time Series Analysis](#). *PLoS Global Health* 2(9): e0000648.
- Mueed, A., Aliani, R., Abdullah, M., Kazmi, T., Sultan, F., Khan, A. (2022). [School closures help reduce the spread of COVID-19: a pre- and post-intervention analysis in Pakistan](#). *PLoS Global Public Health*, 2(4), e0000266.
- Murray, T.S., Malik, A.A., Shafiq, M., Lee, A., Harris, C., Klotz, M., ... & Gilliam, W. S. (2022). [Association of Child Masking With COVID-19-Related Closures in US Childcare Programs](#). *JAMA network open*, 5(1), e2141227-e2141227.
- Nelson, S. B., Dugdale, C. M., Bilinski, A., Cosar, D., Pollock, N. R., & Ciaranello, A. (2021). [Prevalence and risk factors for in-school transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Massachusetts K-12 public schools, 2020-2021](#). *Preprint*.
- Nemoto, N., Dhillon, S., Fink, S., Holman, E.J., Cope, A.K., Dinh, T.H., ... Neatherlin, J. C. (2021). [Evaluation of Test to Stay Strategy on Secondary and Tertiary Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in K-12 Schools - Lake County, Illinois, August 9-October 29, 2021](#). *Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 70(5152), 1778–1781.
- Neuberger, F.S., Grgic, M., Buchholz, U., Maly-Motta, H., Fackler, S., Lehfeld, A.S., ... Kuger, S. [Delta and Omicron: Protective Measures and SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Day Care Centres in Germany in the 4th and 5th Wave of the Pandemic 2021/2022](#). *Preprint*.
- Newland, J. G. (2021). [Assessing Testing Strategies for Safe Return to K-12 Schools in an Underserved Population](#). *ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier, NCT04875520*.
- Perramon, A., Soriano-Arandes, A., Pino, D., Lazcano, U., Andrés, C., Català, M., ... Soler-Palacin, P. (2021). [Epidemiological dynamics of the incidence of COVID-19 in children and the relationship with the opening of schools in Catalonia \(Spain\)](#). *Preprint*.

Rotevatn, T.A., Elstrøm, P., Greve-Isdahl, M., Surén, P., Johansen, T., & Astrup, E. (2022). [School Closure Versus Targeted Control Measures for SARS-CoV-2 Infection](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(5), e2021055071.

Rotevatn, T.A., Larsen, B.V., Bjordal Johansen, T.K., Astrup, E., Surén, P., ... Telle, K. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in Norwegian schools: A population-wide register-based cohort study on characteristics of the index case and secondary attack rates](#). *BMJ Medicine*, 1(1).

Rowland, L. C., Hahn, J. B., Jelderks, T. L., Welch, N. M., & Ramirez, D. W. (2021). [SARS-CoV-2 incidence and transmission in 48 K-12 Virginia public schools during community surge](#). *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society*, 10(11), 1018-1022.

Schechter-Perkins, E.M., Doron, S., Johnston, R., Hay, J., Berlin, D., Ciaranello, A., ... Branch-Elliman, W. (2022). [A Test-to-Stay Modified Quarantine Program for COVID-19 in Schools](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(5), e2021055727.

Schenk, B., Hoehl, S., Rudych, O., Menger, D., Farmand, S., Wrobel, F. ... Ciesek, S. (2021). [Longitudinal testing for SARS-CoV-2 RNA in day care centers in Hesse, Germany, during increased local incidence and with VOC Alpha as dominant variant: Results of the SAFE KiDS 2 and SAFE KiDS 3 study](#). *Preprint*.

Sebastiani, G. & Palù, G. (2021). [COVID-19 Pandemic: Influence of Schools, Age Groups, and Virus Variants in Italy](#). *Viruses*, 13(7), 1269.

Shah, M., Shah, M., & Hollingsworth, J.W. (2022). [Relation of masking policy to COVID-19 positivity rate in Texas school districts](#). *Proceedings (Baylor University. Medical Center)*, 35(4), 466–467.

Silverberg, S.L., Zhang, B.Y., Li, S., Burgert, C., Shulha, H.P., Kitchin, V., ... Sadarangani, M. (2022). [Child transmission of SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis](#). *BMC pediatrics*, 22(1), 172.

Simetin, I.P., Svajda, M., Ivanko, P., Dimnjakovic, J., Belavic, A., Istvanovic, A., & Poljicanin, T. (2021). [COVID-19 incidence, hospitalizations and mortality trends in Croatia and school closures](#). *Public health*, 198, 164–170.

Sombetzki, M., Lückner, P., Ehmke, M., Bock, S., Littmann, M., Reisinger, E. C., ... & Kästner, A. (2021). [Impact of Changes in Infection Control Measures on the Dynamics of COVID-19 Infections in Schools and Pre-schools](#). *Frontiers in Public Health*, 2069.

Sood, N., Heick, S., Stevenson, J., Høeg, T. (2022). [Association between School Mask Mandates and SARS-CoV-2 Student Infections: Evidence from a Natural Experiment of Neighboring K-12 Districts in North Dakota](#). *Preprint*.

Stange, M., Wuerfel, E., Peter, J.K., Seth-Smith, H., Roloff, T., Gsponer, S., ... & Egli, A. (2022). [SARS-CoV-2 in schools: genome analysis shows that concurrent cases in the second and third wave were often unconnected](#). *Preprint*.

Stebbing, S., Rotevatn, T.A., Larsen, V.B., Surén, P., Elstrøm, P., Greve-Isdahl, M., ... Astrup, E. (2022). [Experience with open schools and preschools in periods of high community transmission of COVID-19 in Norway during the academic year of 2020/2021](#). *BMC public health*, 22(1), 1454.

Sweeney-Reed, C.M., Wolff, D., Niggel, J., Kabesch, M., & Apfelbacher, C. (2021). [Pool testing as a strategy for prevention of SARS-CoV-2 outbreaks in schools: Protocol for a feasibility study](#). *JMIR Research Protocols*, 10(5), e28673.

Thakkar, P.V., Zimmerman, K.O., Brookhart, M.A., Erickson, T.R., Benjamin, D.K., Kalu, I.C., & ABC Science Collaborative (2022). [COVID-19 Incidence Among Sixth Through Twelfth Grade Students by Vaccination Status](#). *Pediatrics*, 149(5), e2022056230.

Tonzel, J.L., & Sokol, T. (2021). [COVID-19 Outbreaks at Youth Summer Camps - Louisiana, June-July 2021](#). *Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 70(40), 1425–1426.

Ulyte, A., Radtke, T., Abela, I.A., Haile, S.R., Ammann, P., Berger, C., ... Kriemler, S. (2021). [Evolution of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence and clusters in school children from June 2020 to April 2021: prospective cohort study Ciao Corona](#). *Swiss medical weekly*, 151, w30092.

Universitätsmedizin Greifswald. (2021). [Analyzing the incidence of SARS-Cov-2 infected children and teenager in Western Pomerania](#). German Clinical Trials Register, DRKS00024635.

Universitätsklinikum Heidelberg. (2021). [The Potential of home-based screening for SARS-CoV-2 when opening schools in Baden-Württemberg \(COVID-19\)](#). German Clinical Trials Register, DRKS00024845.

Universitätsklinikum Rostock. (2020). [Prospective Study initiated by University Hospital Rostock concerning COVID-19 in mothers, nursery and school teachers of children in Rostock](#). *German Clinical Trials Register*, DRKS00022504.

van den Berg, P., Schechter-Perkins, E.M., Jack, R.S., Epshtein, I., Nelson, R., Oster, E., & Branch-Elliman, W. (2021). [Effectiveness of 3 versus 6 feet of physical distancing for controlling spread of coronavirus disease 2019 among primary and secondary students and staff: A retrospective, statewide cohort study](#). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciab230.

Van Heirstraeten, L., Ekinci, E., Smet, M., Berkell, M., Willen, L., Coppens, J., Spiessens, A., ... Malhotra-Kumar, S. (2022). [Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in young children attending day-care centres in Belgium, May 2020 to February 2022](#). *Euro surveillance*, 27(21), 2200380.

van Loon, W., Hommes, F., Theuring, S., von der Haar, A., Körner, J., Schmidt, M. ... Mockenhaupt, F. P. (2021). [Renewed absence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 \(SARS-CoV-2\) infections in the day care context in Berlin, January 2021](#). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciab199.

Van Naarden Braun, K., Drexler, M., Rozenfeld, R.A., Deener-Agus, E., Greenstein, R., Agus, M., ... Nerwen, C. (2021). [Multicomponent Strategies to Prevent SARS-CoV-2 Transmission - Nine Overnight Youth Summer Camps, United States, June-August 2021](#). *Morbidity and mortality weekly report*, 70(40), 1420–1424.

vanPoppel, M. (2022). [Effect of physical education restrictions on SARS-CoV-2. Infections and clustering in class. A retrospective cohort study From September 2021 to April 2022](#). German Clinical Trials Register, DRKS00029061

Vardavas, C., Nikitara, K., Mathioudakis, A.G., Hilton Boon, M., Phalkey, R., Leonardi-Bee, J., ... & Suk, J. E. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in educational settings in 2020: a review](#). *BMJ open*, 12(4), e058308.

- Viner, R., Waddington, C., Mytton, O., Booy, R., Cruz, J., Ward, J., ... Melendez-Torres, G.J. (2022). [Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by children and young people in households and schools: A meta-analysis of population-based and contact-tracing studies](#). *The Journal of infection*, *84*(3), 361–382.
- Wiens, K.E., Smith, C.P., Badillo-Goicoechea, E., Grantz, K.H., Grabowski, M.K., ... Lessler, J. (2022). [In-person schooling and associated COVID-19 risk in the United States over spring semester 2021](#). *Science advances*, *8*(16), eabm9128.
- Winje, B.A., Ofitserova, T.S., Brynildsrud, O.B., Greve-Isdahl, M., Bragstad, K., Rykkvin, R., ... Brandal, L. T. (2021). [Comprehensive Contact Tracing, Testing and Sequencing Show Limited Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 between Children in Schools in Norway, August 2020 to May 2021](#). *Microorganisms*, *9*(12), 2587.
- Young, B.C., Eyre, D.W., Kendrick, S., White, C., Smith, S., Beveridge, G., ... Peto, T. (2021). [Daily testing for contacts of individuals with SARS-CoV-2 infection and attendance and SARS-CoV-2 transmission in English secondary schools and colleges: an open-label, cluster-randomised trial](#). *Lancet*, *398*(10307), 1217–1229.
- Yuan, H., Reynolds, C., Ng, S., & Yang, W. (2022). [Factors affecting the transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in school settings](#). *Influenza and other respiratory viruses*, *16*(4), 643–652.
- Zheng, B., Zhang, J., Zhang, H. (2022). [The SARS-CoV-2 Infection and Transmission in School Environments: A Meta-analysis](#). *PROSPERO*, *CRD42022349917*.
- Zimmerman, K.O., Brookhart, M.A., Kalu, I.C., Boutzoukas, A.E., McGann, K.A., Smith, M.J., ... ABC Science Collaborative (2021). [Community SARS-CoV-2 Surge and Within-School Transmission](#). *Pediatrics*, *148*(4), e2021052686.