

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools







# Rapid Review Update 1: What is known about parents' considerations for vaccine uptake for children and adolescents?

Prepared by: The National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools

Date: February 14, 2024

Suggested Citation:

National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. (2024, February 14). *Rapid Review Update 1: What is known about parents' considerations for vaccine uptake for children and adolescents?* <u>https://www.nccmt.ca/pdfs/res/parental-vaccine-confidence</u>

<u>Please Note</u>: An update of this review may be available. Access the most current version of this review by visiting the National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools COVID-19 Rapid Evidence Service at the above link.

© 2024. National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools, McMaster University. All rights reserved.

The National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools (NCCMT) is hosted by McMaster University and funded by the Public Health Agency of Canada. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of the Public Health Agency of Canada.

This Rapid Review is for general information purposes only. The information provided in this Rapid Review is provided "as is" and McMaster University makes no warranties, promises and/or representations of any kind, expressed or implied, as to the nature, standard, accuracy, completeness, reliability or otherwise of the information provided in this Rapid Review, nor to the suitability or otherwise of the information to your particular circumstances. McMaster University does not accept any responsibility or liability for the accuracy, content, completeness, legality, reliability or use of the information contained in this Rapid Review.

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest to report.

Project Contributor: Amanda Doherty-Kirby, citizen partner with lived experience.

## **Executive Summary**

#### Background

Many Canadians have received at least one dose of a coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccine, including children as young as five. Only a minority, however, are estimated to have been vaccinated per recommendations (e.g., booster vaccines). Vaccination rates are also estimated to be substantially lower in children younger than five (Public Health Agency of Canada, 2023). An in-depth understanding of parents' considerations in vaccinating their children is important for designing effective and equitable campaigns to promote continued vaccination against COVID-19 and other communicable diseases.

This rapid review was initially produced to support public health decision makers' response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This update identifies, appraises, and summarizes emerging research evidence about parental decisions, attitudes and beliefs surrounding COVID-19 and other childhood vaccines to inform ongoing and future vaccination efforts through evidence-informed decision making.

This rapid review is based on the most recent research evidence available at the time of release. The previous version was completed on June 28, 2021. This updated version includes evidence available up to November 13, 2023 to answer the question: **What is known about parents' considerations for vaccine uptake for children and adolescents?** 

#### What Has Changed in This Version?

- New evidence related to parents' considerations for vaccine uptake has emerged and is included in this update; specifically, 29 new single studies were identified: 16 related to COVID-19 and 13 involved vaccines for other diseases. An <u>archived copy of Version 1</u> is available.
- As the body of COVID-19 evidence is now more substantial, studies examining parental considerations related to COVID-19 vaccines conducted prior to the COVID-19 vaccine becoming available in December 2020 were excluded from this update (n=2). A list of all previously included but now excluded studies is available in <u>Appendix 2</u>.
- New evidence on vaccines for chlamydia (n=1) and tick-born encephalitis (n=1) has emerged and been included in this update; more evidence on influenza (n=1) and childhood vaccines in general (n=10), has been incorporated. No new evidence related to infant pneumococcal vaccines has been added.
- Findings from these new studies align with previous conclusions; for example, trust and safety continue to be key themes, as well as the need for tailored information to limit misinformation, the importance of benefit-risk assessment, and parental choice and preference for alternative health approaches. More evidence on perceived and actual cultural, social and structural inequities emerged in the more recently published studies.

#### **Key Points**

- Trust, or lack of trust, in health care providers or government, was a factor in parental decisions about childhood vaccination. Parents who accepted vaccination for their children tended to express trust in health care professionals, science, and government. Of these groups, many parents described health care professionals as their most trusted source of information on vaccines. Some parents believed, however, that advice from physicians was biased and not trustworthy; they looked to other or additional sources for information. A lack of trust in science, or doubt that vaccines are effective, also emerged as a reason not to vaccinate, or to be hesitant or unsure. The confidence in this finding is moderate (GRADE-CERQual); it is likely that this finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest.
- Safety was a common theme across studies exploring perceptions of childhood vaccines. Parents who supported vaccination for their children expressed confidence that vaccines were generally safe. Concern about adverse effects was a common finding. Some parents who were hesitant or refused vaccination had a general sense that vaccines (or their ingredients) were unsafe, too numerous, or could cause illness. These fears were often prevalent when the vaccine had been newly released (e.g., COVID-19), with parents perceiving it to have been released too quickly and fearing it may not have been tested sufficiently or robustly. The confidence in this finding is moderate (GRADE-CERQual); it is likely that this finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest.
- What information and how it is provided influenced parental considerations to vaccinate their child. A theme that parents lacked information emerged, as well as themes of too much contradictory information or information that is delivered without time to consider it. Misinformation and inconsistent or frequently changing information and messaging across sources may have reduced parental confidence in vaccines. Parents wanted access to their desired amount of trusted information; however, the appropriate amounts and preferred sources varied across individuals. Some parents encouraged older children (aged  $\geq$  8) to express autonomy and take part in their own healthcare decisions, therefore they required more age-appropriate information on vaccination. Providing accurate and consistent information, tailored towards the informational needs of different parents, may be a preferred communication strategy for increasing or reinforcing parental confidence. The confidence in this finding is moderate (GRADE-CERQual); it is likely that this finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest. Citizen representative input emphasizes clear, concise information prior to vaccination about what to expect at a vaccination appointment, including practical strategies for managing and reporting adverse reactions, if any arise.
- In their decision-making, parents assessed risks associated with both the disease and childhood vaccination. For example, if the risks associated with the disease were high (e.g., the likelihood or consequences of being affected was high) and the risks associated with vaccination were low (e.g., the vaccine was considered safe), the risk calculation may have predisposed parental choice to vaccinate. The confidence in this finding is moderate (GRADE-CERQual); it is likely that this finding is a reasonable representation of

the phenomenon of interest. Citizen representative input suggests that providing information about risks of disease is important, particularly with COVID-19 where the perception may have been that children were not as impacted, but must be carefully done to avoid being perceived by parents as overly fear-based. Additionally, the citizen representative suggested that assessed risk of vaccination for some parents may also include assessing the risk the disease poses for the whole family, including assessing disease risk for caregivers such as grandparents or family members that were immunocompromised.

- Some parents felt strongly about the right and the opportunity to do their own research and make their **own choices** about the health of their children, and whether to vaccinate, without influence or input from authorities. Some parents expressed a preference for alternative methods of building a healthy immune system in their children (e.g., healthy diet and ways of living, alternative health care approaches, perceived beneficial exposure to disease). The confidence in this finding is moderate (GRADE-CERQual); it is likely that this finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest.
- The studies included in this review provide limited evidence for the experiences of • populations who live with social and structural inequities, and cultural and ethnic **minority groups**. Studies examining experiences of high and low socioeconomic (SES) populations found that largely similar decision-making processes are used, although high SES parents expressed more mistrust of physicians, and low SES parents had less familiarity with vaccines and experienced more structural barriers (e.g., cost, time to attend appointments) to accessing vaccination for their children. These structural barriers may have reduced the overall uptake in low SES families, however more evidence from diverse populations is needed. Similarly, individuals from cultural and ethnic minority groups may have different actual and perceived barriers to accessing vaccines, negatively impacting uptake. The need for culturally competent, safe, accessible care was identified as a key theme to encourage trust between individuals and health care providers and improve vaccine confidence. This included addressing structural racism, avoiding the generalization of cultural groups, ensuring care providers are aware of the lived experiences of the people they are treating, and using nonjudgmental language. The confidence of this finding is low (GRADE-CERQual); it is possible that this finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest.

#### Overview of Evidence and Knowledge Gaps

 Parents who had a negative vaccination experience themselves, or with their child, sometimes expressed concerns about vaccination for their children. Previous experience with a vaccine-preventable disease was identified as a factor that led to more vaccine acceptance. Citizen representative comments support this, adding that procedural pain associated with a previous vaccination may impact confidence. They reflected on the importance of health care provider acknowledgement, not dismissal, of these negative experiences and providing resources to mitigate discomfort or distress to be better prepared for vaccination.

- Some populations, including people living with low income, First Nations people, undocumented families, and/or those living in rural areas, described barriers to access (e.g., transportation, clinic policies that require certain conditions to be met, cost of vaccination, poor quality health care infrastructure) that impacted their uptake of vaccines. In two examples from the included studies, 1) First Nations people in Canada and Australia may have had more difficulty accessing primary care and vaccinations, and 2) undocumented families may have avoided vaccinations for fear of being deported if health care providers uncovered their status. Across studies, minority cultural groups perceived that racism in healthcare and a lack of safe, culturally appropriate care discouraged them from seeking vaccination. The included evidence was limited; further research is required to ensure representation of these populations for decision making.
- Social norms and judgements of others influenced both uptake and refusal, depending on the nature of the social environment. Parents were often influenced by a parental peer group.
- Parental opinions on mandatory vaccination strategies varied across studies. Penalizing
  parents who choose not to vaccinate by keeping children out of school, or providing
  financial incentives to vaccinate were identified as inappropriate strategies in multiple
  studies. However, parents in other studies appreciated school vaccine requirements.
  Universal strategies were generally preferred over targeted approaches.
- Studies related to parental acceptance of specific vaccines (e.g., influenza, infant pneumococcal, tick-borne encephalitis (TBE), and chlamydia) found that parents generally had confidence in vaccines for their children, but had concerns about the number of vaccinations their children received. Parents were more apprehensive about newer vaccines (e.g., chlamydia, TBE) as they were less certain of vaccine safety and efficacy, and were not sure of its necessity, as they believed children to be too young (in the case of the chlamydia vaccine) or not at risk (in the case of the TBE vaccine). However, for all vaccines, parents trusted information from what they considered reliable, unbiased sources, although the perception of what constituted a reliable source differed across individuals.
- With the newly included studies, much more evidence about parents' considerations surrounding the COVID-19 vaccine emerged. Parents who accepted vaccinations tended to share a belief that the vaccine would protect their child and/or their community members (i.e., a social responsibility) and desired a 'return to normalcy' (e.g., attending school, eliminating social distancing, etc.). They perceived COVID-19 to be a serious, highly contagious health risk, especially for children. They were encouraged by vaccine mandates and felt social pressure to vaccinate. Parents who refused vaccinations tended to fear potential side effects from the vaccine (e.g., reduced fertility, negatively impacted development, exacerbated pre-existing health conditions) and believed the vaccine was unsafe or ineffective, citing the "newness" of the vaccine, inadequate testing, and lack of information about its development. They also perceived the risk of contracting COVID-19

to be low in their area or their children's age group, or that it wasn't a serious illness, with no risk of long-term complications from infection. Their desire to vaccinate their children was also reduced due to perceptions of stigmatization, religious objection, and/or political lobbying around vaccination. One study concluded that the COVID-19 pandemic reinforced pre-existing concerns for vaccination, in general, among vaccine-hesitant parents, while among vaccine-accepting parents, the pandemic raised awareness of the benefits of routine vaccinations. Citizen representative input further emphasized lack of trust and confusion (e.g., severity and mechanism of spread among children, whether being infected provided greater immunity than vaccines) as contributing factors to vaccine hesitancy.

- One new study added in this update examined Canadian parents' views on the COVID-19 vaccine. These parents were concerned about the novelty, social pressures, and perceived political agendas behind vaccination efforts and felt there was stigma against the unvaccinated. Some struggled with the tension between doing what they perceived to be best for society (i.e., having their children vaccinated) vs. making the best choice for their individual child. Two previously included studies focused on general childhood vaccinations among First Nations and an ethnic minority community in Canada. They reported similar results, identifying questionable efficacy, potential side effects, and social pressures as barriers to vaccinating, as well as previous negative experiences with vaccination and health professionals. Citizen representative input acknowledged an additional barrier to vaccine access in Canada, noting that in some areas, vaccine clinics for children are only open during school hours, making parents choose between vaccinating their child and having them attend school.
- Gaps in research evidence were noted by the citizen representative. The experiences and decision-making processes among parents of a child with a chronic illness or disability (e.g., where there may be additional concerns about unique sensory needs or risk of exacerbating pre-existing conditions) are not well represented in the research. Not enough is known about the effect of access factors, such as a trusted provider, appointment reminders and timing (e.g., not during school / working hours), and public health or school-based clinics focusing on vaccination. What appears to be lack of trust in health care providers may be related to lack of consistent access to providers, and the lack of opportunity to build a trusting relationship. Citizen representative input suggested that parental uptake could be supported by providing accessible information and flexible options about where, by whom and when to vaccinate their children.
- Information about vaccination needed to be perceived as unbiased and trustworthy by the parent. Health care professionals are an important source of information, but not all parents considered health care providers to be trusted sources about vaccination. Parents wanted an opportunity to give informed consent or to not consent, based on their own assessments of the risks.
- Steps to ensure that vaccines are accessible to those who wish to receive them can involve providing transportation and convenient options, removing financial barriers, and providing as much tailored, accurate information about risks and benefits, as is requested.

## Methods

A description of the development of the National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools' Rapid Evidence Service, including an overview of the rapid review process and rationale for methodological decisions, has been published (Neil-Sztramko et al., 2021).

#### **Research Question**

What is known about parents' considerations for vaccine uptake for children and adolescents?

#### Search

On November 13, 2023, the following databases were searched using key terms [vaccin\*, immuniz\*, confiden\*, hesitan\*, barrier\*, uptake, coverage, safety, fear, anxiety\*, attitude\*, awareness, misconception, choice\*, consen\*, parent\*, child\*, qualitative, interview\*, focus group, mixed methods]:

- MEDLINE database
- EMBASE database
- Sociological Abstracts
- <u>CINAHL</u>
- <u>Trip Medical Database</u>

This search builds upon the previous search (May 31, 2021) conducted in the first version of this rapid review. A copy of the full search strategy is available in <u>Appendix 2</u>.

#### **Study Selection Criteria**

The search results were first screened for recent guidelines and syntheses. When available, findings from syntheses and clinical practice guidelines are presented first, as these take into account the available body of evidence and, therefore, can be applied broadly to populations and settings.

English- and French-language, peer-reviewed sources and sources published ahead-of-print before peer review were included. Surveillance sources were excluded.

Additional exclusion criteria have been applied to this update to refine its focus, given a substantial increase in the body of evidence. In this update, study design was limited to qualitative or mixed-methods studies where it was possible to extract data collected and analysed using qualitative methods; non-systematic reviews and in-progress studies were excluded. Studies involving populations from low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) were excluded. Finally, studies related to COVID-19 were limited to > December 2020, to account for when the COVID-19 vaccine generally became available.

A full list of studies that were previously included but are now excluded is available in <u>Appendix 2</u>.

	Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Population	Parents of infants, children and	Studies that report on considerations for
	adolescents	parental vaccine uptake from the perspective of
		others (e.g., health care providers,
	Primary guardians and caretakers	administrators, etc.)
	of infants, children and adolescents	
		Studies of vaccination uptake for self, among
		pregnant women
		Low- and middle-income countries
Interest	Vaccination for children and	Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccines
	adolescents	
		Studies that report on non-modifiable 'risk
	Studies that explore considerations	factors' for low uptake of vaccine, such as
	for vaccine uptake from the	sociodemographic variables collected through
	perspective of parents; could	administrative data or cross-sectional surveys
	include qualitative or mixed	
	methods studies	COVID-19 studies conducted prior to December
		2020 (i.e., before a vaccine became available)

#### Data Extraction and Synthesis

Data relevant to the research question, such as study design, setting, location, population characteristics and key findings were extracted, when reported. We synthesized results narratively due to the variation in methodology and research questions for the included studies.

#### Citizen Engagement in the Review Process

One citizen representative, recruited through the NCCMT internal pool of citizen partners, agreed to participate in the initial version of the review and this update. They provided feedback on the initial draft and approved the final report. Their feedback was incorporated into the Executive Summary.

#### Appraisal of Evidence Quality

We evaluated the quality of included evidence using critical appraisal tools as indicated by the study design below. Quality assessment was completed by one reviewer and verified by a second reviewer. Conflicts were resolved through discussion.

Study Design	Critical Appraisal Tool
Synthesis	Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews (AMSTAR) AMSTAR Tool
Qualitative	Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (CASP) <u>Checklist for Qualitative Research;</u> Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) <u>Checklist for Qualitative Research</u>
Mixed Method	Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool (MMAT)

Completed quality assessments for each included study are available on request.

The Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations - Confidence in Evidence from Reviews of Qualitative Research (<u>GRADE CERQual</u>) (Lewin et al., 2018) approach was used to assess the confidence in the findings in the qualitative research based on four key domains:

- Methodological limitations
- Relevance
- Coherence
- Adequacy

The overall confidence in the evidence (expressed as either high, moderate, low, or very low) for each prominent theme was determined considering the characteristics of the available evidence. A judgement of 'overall confidence is moderate' means that it is likely that the finding is a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest; higher confidence reflects a view that the finding should be seen as a reasonable representation of the phenomenon of interest.

# **Findings**

#### Summary of Evidence Confidence

In this update, 29 new single studies were identified. Six previously included studies were excluded based on revised eligibility criteria and one pre-print has since been published, for a total of 62 publications included in this review.

#### What is known about parents' considerations for vaccine uptake for children and adolescents?

Key Finding (Consideration for	Evidence included		GRADE-CERQual assessment of	Explanation of GRADE- CERQual assessment	
parents)	Study design	n*	evidence		
Trust, or lack of trust, in health care providers or government	Syntheses Single	7 15	Moderate	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance	
Perceived safety of vaccines	Syntheses Single	6 16	Moderate	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance	
Satisfaction with amount and sources of information about vaccination	Syntheses Single	5 25	Moderate	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance	
Risk assessment of disease versus vaccination	Syntheses Single	4 22	Moderate	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance	
Parental choice and preference for alternative health approaches	Syntheses Single	6 20	Moderate	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance	
Perceived and actual cultural, social and structural inequities	Syntheses Single	1 9	Low	Minor concerns regarding methodological limitations; moderate concerns regarding relevance, adequacy	

\*Values exceed the total number of studies (n=62) as some studies contributed to multiple key findings.

# Table 1: Syntheses

Reference	Date	Description of Included	Summary of Findings	Quality	Quality
	Released	Studies		Svnthesis	Included
					Studies
General Childhood Vacc	ination (n=8)				
Previously reported evid	lence				
Smith, L.E., Hodson, A., & Rubin, G.J. (2021). <u>Parental</u> <u>attitudes towards</u> <u>mandatory</u> <u>vaccination: a</u> <u>systematic review</u> . <u>Vaccine, 39</u> (30), 4046- 4053. *Included in first version as pre-print; now published	Jul 5, 2021 (Search completed Sep 17, 2020)	This review included 17 studies exploring parental beliefs and attitudes about mandatory vaccination, including 5 qualitative studies.	<ul> <li>Themes identified in the qualitative studies:</li> <li>1. Mandatory vaccination schemes perceived as an infringement of parental rights</li> <li>2. Universal schemes seen as more equitable compared to targeted approaches</li> <li>3. Financial incentives and requiring vaccination for child-care/schooling were seen as inappropriate</li> <li>4. Motivation for vaccination <ul> <li>Protection from illness</li> <li>State incentives</li> </ul> </li> <li>5. Disproportionate impact <ul> <li>Low-income families are more reliant on financial incentives to vaccinate</li> </ul> </li> <li>6. Objection to penalizing parents by withholding school or financial benefits if they chose not to vaccinate due to safety concerns</li> <li>7. Risk of unvaccinated children passing on illness</li> </ul>	Low	4 High 1 Low
Majid, U. & Ahmad, M. (2020). <u>The factors that</u> <u>promote vaccine</u> <u>hesitancy, rejection, or</u> <u>delay in parents</u> . <u><i>Qualitative Health</i> <i>Research, 30</i>(11), 1762- 1776.</u>	Jun 29, 2020 (Search completed Jun 23, 2019)	This interpretive review included 32 studies exploring the factors influencing parental vaccine hesitancy, rejection and delay • 32 Qualitative	<ol> <li>Seven factors were identified:         <ol> <li>Parents with previous negative experiences believed vaccines were unsafe and dangerous, and feared side effects of the vaccine</li> <li>Vaccine hesitant parents valued natural treatments and lifestyles.</li> <li>Parents preferred to interact with others who shared their same views on vaccines</li> <li>Parents felt their concerns on the risk of vaccines were overlooked</li> <li>Vaccine hesitant parents believed information from physicians was biased and relied on information from alternative health providers, whereas parents who vaccinated their children were more open to information from physicians. Both vaccine</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Low	Not done

			<ul> <li>accepting and vaccine hesitant parents felt there was too much information on vaccination and were not sure which sources they could trust</li> <li>6. Vaccine hesitant parents expressed a distrust in the health system</li> <li>7. Mandatory vaccine policies were not seen as necessary by vaccine-accepting parents. Parents expressed anger and frustration when fear was used as a strategy to increase vaccination rates</li> </ul>		
Diaz Crescitelli, M.E., Ghirotto, L., Sisson, H., Sarli, L., Artioli, G., Bassi, M.C., Hayter, M. (2020). <u>A meta-</u> <u>synthesis study of the</u> <u>key elements involved</u> <u>in childhood vaccine</u> <u>hesitancy</u> . <i>Public</i> <i>Health, 180</i> , 38-45.	Dec 12, 2019 (Search date not specified)	<ul> <li>This review included 27 studies of parents who were hesitant about vaccinating their child</li> <li>22 Qualitative</li> <li>5 Mixed methods</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Five main themes emerged:</li> <li>1. Risk conceptualization <ul> <li>Risk of the vaccine causing harm</li> <li>Low perceived risk from the disease</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Mistrust <ul> <li>Government</li> <li>Health care professionals</li> <li>Vaccine information</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. Alternative health beliefs <ul> <li>Vaccine is an unnatural approach to immunity</li> <li>Too many vaccines at once</li> </ul> </li> <li>4. Philosophical view on parental responsibility</li> <li>5. Parent's information <ul> <li>Lack of objective information on vaccines and side effects</li> <li>Unbalanced, biased information</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate	12 High 5 Moderate to High 9 Moderate 1 Low to Moderate
Gidengil, C., Chen, C., Parker, A.M., Nowak, S., & Matthews, L. (2019). <u>Beliefs around</u> <u>childhood vaccines in</u> <u>the United States: A</u> <u>systematic review</u> . <i>Vaccine, 37</i> (45), 6793- 6802.	Sep 24, 2019 (Search completed Nov 2017)	<ul> <li>This review included 71</li> <li>studies exploring</li> <li>beliefs about childhood</li> <li>vaccines. Participants</li> <li>were largely parents</li> <li>who were both vaccine</li> <li>accepting and vaccine</li> <li>hesitant.</li> <li>71 Qualitative</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Seven themes emerged:</li> <li>Participants believed that vaccines could cause illnesses</li> <li>Participants expressed mistrust in physicians, pharmaceutical companies and/or the government</li> <li>Vaccines were perceived as unnecessary and natural immunity was preferable</li> <li>Vaccines were believed to protect children</li> <li>Participants were skeptical about the effectiveness of vaccines and the validity of herd immunity</li> <li>Decisions around vaccination is the right of the parent</li> <li>Participants expressed morality concerns around vaccines derived from aborted fetal tissue</li> </ul>	Low	Not done

Dubé, E., Gagnon, D.,	Nov 7, 2018	This review of 22	This review used the socio-ecological model to	Low	20 High
MacDonald, N.,	(Search	studies explored the	identify the following themes:		2 Low
Bocquier, A., Peretti-	completed	influences on parental	Individual level		
Watel, P., & Verger, P.	Dec 22,	vaccine decisions	<ul> <li>Vaccine safety</li> </ul>		
(2018). <u>Underlying</u>	2017)	22 Qualitative	<ul> <li>Anticipated regret and feelings of responsibility</li> </ul>		
factors impacting			<ul> <li>Knowledge and sources of information on</li> </ul>		
vaccine hesitancy in			vaccination		
high income countries:			<ul> <li>Risks associated with or without vaccination</li> </ul>		
a review of qualitative			<ul> <li>Personal experiences with vaccine preventable</li> </ul>		
studies. Expert Review			diseases		
of Vaccines, 17(11),			Interpersonal level		
989-1004.			<ul> <li>Social norms and judgement</li> </ul>		
			Community level		
			<ul> <li>Trust in mainstream, complementary and</li> </ul>		
			alternative medicine and the pharmaceutical		
			industry		
Forster, A.S., Rockliffe,	Dec 2, 2016	This review of 34	Two types of decision-making were found to be used	Low	4 High
L., Chorley, A.J.,	(Search	studies explored the	by parents. These two approaches were not mutually		30 Low
Marlow, L.A., Bedford,	completed	factors influencing	exclusive. Parents were found to adopt both		
H., Smith, S.G., &	Dec 2,	parental decisions to	approaches at different times.		
Waller, J. (2016). <u>A</u>	2014)	vaccinate a child	1. Non-deliberative decision making where parents		
<u>qualitative systematic</u>		34 Qualitative	were happy to comply, did not think they had a		
review of factors			choice and/or relied on social norms to make		
influencing parents'			decisions		
vaccination decision-			2. Deliberative decisions where parents weighed the		
making in the United			risks and benefits, used the experiences of others		
<u>Kingdom</u> . <i>SSM</i> –			to inform their decisions, considered judgment		
Population Health, 2,			from others and their emotions (fear of side		
603-612.			effects, worry and guilt) to guide their decisions to		
			vaccinate		
			Trust in vaccine information and stakeholders		
			informed both non-deliberate and deliberate		
			decisions. For parents who decided to vaccinate,		
			practical issues such as time and travel to		
			appointments was a barrier.		
White, T., Sevdalis, N.,	Oct 3, 2014	This review of 72	Parents and caregivers made decisions based on	Moderate	45 High
Willaby, H., King, C., &	(Search	studies explored factors	many related factors. Most factors cited were		20 Moderate
Leask, J. (2014).	completed	influencing parental	emotional or cognitive rather than practical barriers,		8 Low
Systematic Review into	Oct 2013)	decisions to vaccinate a	such as access to vaccines.		
Factors Underlying		child			

Parental Decisions about Childhood Vaccinations. Copy obtained from author.		<ul> <li>62 Qualitative</li> <li>10 Mixed methods</li> </ul>	The most frequently cited motivators for vaccination included trust in healthcare provider and vaccine safety, likelihood and prevalence of vaccine- preventable diseases and social norms for vaccination. The most frequently cited barriers to vaccination included beliefs in adverse effects or doubts around safety, unmet needs for information from health professionals, and belief in natural immunity or lack of direct threat from vaccine-preventable diseases.		
Mills, E., Jadad, A.R., Ross, C., & Wilson, K. (2005). <u>Systematic</u> review of qualitative studies exploring parental beliefs and attitudes toward childhood vaccination identifies common barriers to vaccination. <i>Journal of Clinical</i> <i>Epidemiology, 58</i> (11), 1081-8.	Nov 1, 2005 (Search completed May 2003)	<ul> <li>This review of 15</li> <li>studies explored</li> <li>parental barriers to</li> <li>childhood vaccination.</li> <li>15 Qualitative</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Most of the participants were mothers. Four main themes emerged</li> <li>1. Issues of harm <ul> <li>Adverse effects</li> <li>Pain with vaccination</li> </ul> </li> <li>Distrust <ul> <li>Medical community</li> <li>The necessity of vaccines</li> </ul> </li> <li>Access <ul> <li>Parents believed children should not be vaccinated when they had a minor illness</li> <li>Parents were unaware of the vaccine schedule</li> </ul> </li> <li>Other <ul> <li>Parents believed they could control the pathogens their child may be exposed to</li> <li>Moral or religious reasons</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate	2 High 12 Moderate 1 Low

# Table 2: Single Studies

Reference	Date Release	Study Design	Participants	Setting	Summary of Findings	Quality Rating
	d					
COVID-19 Vaccines (n=16)						
New evidence reported on Feb	ruary 14, 2	024				
Honcoop, A., Roberts, J.R., Davis, B., Pope, C., Dawley, E., McCulloh, R.J., Darden, P.M. (2023). <u>COVID-19 vaccine</u> <u>hesitancy among parents: a</u> <u>qualitative study</u> . <i>Pediatrics, 152</i> (5), e2023062466.	Oct 23, 2023	Qualitative	n=36 Black, Spanish- speaking, non- Hispanic White, and other rural parents / caregivers of children aged 2-17	United States	<ul> <li>This study used focus groups and key informant interviews to examine the factors impacting pediatric COVID-19 vaccine decision-making.</li> <li>All parents commonly listed healthcare providers as trusted sources of information regarding the COVID-19 vaccine.</li> <li>Vaccine-related misinformation was commonly relayed by Non-Hispanic White parents, followed by Black rural and urban parents, which included claims that vaccines: <ul> <li>Affected fertility/child development</li> <li>Lacked any/many benefits</li> <li>Interfered with personal rights</li> <li>Had religious repercussions</li> </ul> </li> <li>The main concerns cited included: <ul> <li>Impact of the vaccine on preexisting medical conditions</li> <li>Potential side effects</li> <li>Desire for reliable vaccine-related</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	High
					information.	
Carlson, S.J., Attwell, K., Roberts, L., Hughes, C., & Blyth, C.C. (2023). <u>West</u> <u>Australian parents' views on</u> <u>vaccinating their children</u> <u>against COVID-19: a</u> <u>qualitative study</u> . <i>BMC Public</i> <i>Health, 23</i> (1), 1764.	Sep 11, 2023	Qualitative	n=30 parents of children aged 5–17	Australia	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to understand parents' willingness to vaccinate their children.</li> <li>Factors contributing to vaccine acceptance included:</li> <li>Protecting the child and the community</li> <li>Resuming travel</li> <li>Returning to pre-pandemic way of life</li> </ul>	Moderate

					<ul> <li>Factors contributing to concern and delays in vaccine uptake included:</li> <li>New/unfamiliar vaccine technology</li> <li>Potential side effects (e.g., impacts on fertility)</li> <li>Waiting to see what other parents would decide to do, or until they felt that there was a higher risk of COVID-19 in the region</li> <li>Need for clear, consistent evidence- informed messaging addressing safety and importance of the vaccine.</li> </ul>	
Whang, C., Lynch, K.A., Huang, T., & Tsui, E.K. (2023). <u>Critical dynamics in Black and</u> <u>Latino parents' perceptions of</u> <u>childhood COVID-19</u> <u>vaccination: How the "middle"</u> <u>moves</u> . Journal of Health <i>Communication, 28</i> (sup1), 86–96.	Jun 30, 2023	Qualitative	n=24 Black and Latino parents of children aged 5-11	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to understand parental vaccine hesitancy.</li> <li>Factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy included: <ul> <li>Mistrust in the healthcare system</li> <li>Fear of deportation among undocumented migrants (worried that visiting vaccination sites could disclose their migration status, and possibly result in legal action)</li> <li>Previous negative healthcare experiences</li> </ul> </li> <li>Parents mainly relied on the social norms and decisions of other parents to aid their decision-making. They recommended transparent and supportive conversations, and further suggested the potential benefit of community ambassador models of vaccination promotion (i.e., leveraging lay community members and their local social ties and networks) to promote vaccine education and build trust.</li> </ul>	Moderate

Nickerson, A., Gutierrez- Mock, L., Buback, L., Welty, S., Anicete, L.M., Sanchez, S., Reid, M. (2023). <u>Factors</u> <u>influencing parent and</u> <u>guardian decisions on</u> <u>vaccinating their children</u> <u>against SARS-CoV-2: A</u> <u>qualitative study</u> . <i>Inquiry: A</i> <i>Journal of Medical Care</i> <i>Organization, Provision and</i> <i>Financing, 60</i> , 469580231159742.	Mar 20, 2023	Qualitative	n=40 parents / guardians of children aged 13-17	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore factors that influenced parents'/guardians' intentions to vaccinate their children against COVID-19. The results found 3 themes:</li> <li>Parental desire for their children to return to school safely motivated them</li> <li>Unclear messaging/information about COVID-19 and low perceived effectiveness of vaccine contributed to vaccine hesitancy</li> <li>Autonomy of adolescents and consideration of child's opinions on receiving the vaccine were deemed important by parents</li> </ul>	Moderate
Wigle, J., Hodwitz, K., Juando-Prats, C., Allan, K., Li, X., Howard, L., Parsons, J.A. (2023). <u>Parents'</u> <u>perspectives on SARS-CoV-2</u> <u>vaccinations for children: a</u> <u>qualitative analysis</u> . <i>Canadian</i> <i>Medical Association</i> <i>Journal, 195</i> (7), E259–E266.	Feb 21, 2023	Qualitative	n=20 parents of children aged 5-11	Canada	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore parental reasons behind COVID-19 vaccine decisions.</li> <li>Themes for vaccine-related concerns included: <ul> <li>Novelty of the vaccine</li> <li>Perceived political agendas behind vaccine recommendations</li> <li>Social pressure to be vaccinated and stigma associated with being unvaccinated</li> <li>Tension between individual vs. collective benefits of vaccine (i.e., some expressed wanting to do what's best for society, but felt responsible to make right decisions for their child)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	High

Rajeh, M.T., Farsi, D.J., Farsi, N.J., Mosli, H.H., & Mosli, M.H. (2023). <u>Are parents'</u> willing to vaccinate their children against COVID-19? A qualitative study based on the <u>Health Belief Model</u> . <i>Human</i> <i>Vaccines &amp;</i> <i>Immunotherapeutics, 19</i> (1), 2177068.	Feb 8, 2023	Qualitative	n=50 parents	Saudi Arabia	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to understand parental perspectives on COVID-19 vaccines for children using the Health Belief Model (HBM).</li> <li>Motivators to vaccinate children included: <ul> <li>High perceived benefits of the vaccine</li> <li>High perceived susceptibility to COVID-19 among children</li> <li>A sense of collective community responsibility</li> <li>High confidence in the vaccine</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors contributing to hesitancy included: <ul> <li>Concerns about the development of the vaccine</li> <li>Lack of reliable information about the safety of the vaccine</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate
Attwell, K., McKenzie, L., Tomkinson, S., Carlson, S.J., & Blyth, C.C. (2023). <u>Parents'</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccine intentions</u> for children under 5 years: <u>Brief reflections from a</u> <u>qualitative study</u> . <i>Journal of</i> <i>Paediatrics and Child Health</i> , <i>59</i> (3), 453-457.	Jan 13, 2023	Qualitative	n=18 parents with at least one child aged <5	Australia	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore parental COVID-19 vaccine-related intentions for their children. Parental decisions to vaccinate their children were strongly influenced by their own vaccine intentions. While most study participants indicated they would get their child vaccinated if/when they could, reasons for delay included:</li> <li>Risk/safety perceptions</li> <li>Fears about side effects</li> <li>Influence from vaccine-hesitant individuals in their social networks</li> </ul>	Moderate
Smith, L.E., Sherman, S.M., Sim, J., Amlôt, R., Cutts, M., Dasch, H., Rubin, G.J. (2022). <u>Parents' intention to</u> <u>vaccinate their child for</u> <u>COVID-19: A mixed-methods</u> <u>study (CoVAccS-wave</u> <u>3)</u> . <i>PloS one, 17</i> (12), e0279285.	Dec 27, 2022	Mixed methods	n=270 parents	United Kingdom	<ul> <li>This study used open-ended text responses to investigate parents' vaccination intention.</li> <li>Reasons parents intended to vaccinate their children included:</li> <li>Protecting their child</li> <li>Protecting others</li> <li>Their child's decision to vaccinate</li> </ul>	Low

					<ul> <li>Reasons parents were unlikely to vaccinate their children included:</li> <li>Vaccine-related safety concerns</li> <li>Low perceived threat of COVID-19</li> <li>Did not find vaccination necessary for their child</li> </ul>	
Lacy, R., Puma, J., Tubolino, M., LaRocca, D., Crane, L.A., Miller, L., Leiferman, J.A. (2022). <u>Rural parents'</u> <u>attitudes and beliefs on the</u> <u>COVID-19 pediatric vaccine:</u> <u>An explanatory study</u> . <i>PloS</i> <i>one, 17</i> (12), e0278611.	Dec 7, 2022	Mixed methods	n=41 parents	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore rural parents' perceptions of the COVID-19 vaccine, as well as potential barriers to vaccine uptake. Six themes emerged:</li> <li>Difficulty accessing vaccines in rural communities</li> <li>Possible side effects</li> <li>Low perceived susceptibility to the COVID-19 virus</li> <li>Vaccine-related beliefs</li> <li>Child autonomy (parents with older children placed importance on consulting their child and considering their choice regarding vaccines)</li> <li>Parental social networks influenced vaccine-related decision-making</li> </ul>	High
Schiff, J., Schmidt, A.R., Pham, P.K., Pérez, J.B., Pannaraj, P.S., Chaudhari, P.P., & Liberman, D.B. (2022). <u>Parental attitudes in the</u> <u>pediatric emergency</u> <u>department about the COVID-</u> <u>19 vaccine</u> . <i>Vaccine</i> , <i>40</i> (50), 7328–7334.	Oct 26, 2022	Mixed methods	n=58 parents (26 Hispanic/Latinx Spanish- speaking, 20 Hispanic/Latinx English- speaking, 12 White English- speaking)	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to examine parental attitudes towards COVID-19 vaccination using the 4C vaccine hesitancy framework (calculation, complacency, confidence, convenience).</li> <li>Four themes emerged:</li> <li>Few parents considered community benefits of vaccination as a motivating reason to vaccinate their children</li> <li>All parent groups perceived susceptibility of COVID-19 among individuals with highrisk medical conditions and perceived vaccinate</li> <li>Convenience in accessing vaccines was cited as a barrier to vaccination</li> </ul>	Moderate

					• Safety, concerns about possible side effects, less knowledge about the vaccine, and belief in myths were cited as some of the reasons to not vaccinate.	
Goulding, M., Ryan, G.W., Minkah, P., Borg, A., Gonzalez, M., Medina, N., Lemon, S. C. (2022). <u>Parental</u> <u>perceptions of the COVID-19</u> <u>vaccine for 5- to 11-year-old</u> <u>children: Focus group</u> <u>findings from Worcester</u> <u>Massachusetts</u> . <i>Human</i> <u>Vaccines &amp;</u> <i>Immunotherapeutics, 18</i> (6), 2120721.	Sep 9, 2022	Qualitative	n=67 parents of children aged 5-11	United States	<ul> <li>This study used focus groups to describe parental perception of the COVID-19 vaccine.</li> <li>Factors that contributed to vaccine uptake included: <ul> <li>Protection from the viral infection (high perceived risk)</li> <li>Returning to social normalcy</li> <li>Not missing school days/classes</li> <li>Vaccines improving overall quality of life</li> <li>Healthcare providers as a trusted source of information</li> </ul> </li> <li>Factors contributing to parental vaccine hesitancy primarily included: <ul> <li>Potential side effects</li> <li>Lack of evidence-based information to aid vaccine-related decision-making</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate
Wang, C.S., Doma, R., Westbrook, A.L., Johnson, J., Anderson, E.J., Greenbaum, L.A., Bednarczyk, R.A. (2023). <u>Vaccine attitudes and COVID-19 vaccine intention</u> <u>among parents of children</u> <u>with kidney disease or</u> <u>primary</u> <u>hypertension</u> . <i>American</i> <i>Journal of Kidney</i> <i>Diseases, 81</i> (1), 25–35.e1.	Jun 21, 2022	Mixed methods	n=207 parents of children aged <18 with kidney disease or primary hypertension	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to examine COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among parents of children with chronic kidney disease or hypertension.</li> <li>Three themes emerged: <ul> <li>Parents considered the potential benefit versus harms of the vaccine (protection from the virus, protecting the community, and returning to pre-pandemic living, versus concerns about side effects)</li> <li>Individuals willing to vaccinate trusted physician opinion, whereas individuals unsure or unwilling to vaccinate did not fully trust physician's opinions</li> <li>Need for further vaccine-related information</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate

Schuster, L., Gurrieri, L., &	Apr 11,	Qualitative	n=30 mothers	Australia	This study used interviews to explore the	High
Dootson, P. (2023). Emotions	2022				emotions experienced by vaccine hesitant	
of burden, intensive					mothers.	
mothering and COVID-19						
vaccine hesitancy. Critical					Two important emotions experienced by	
<i>Public Health, 33</i> (2), 218-229.					mothers during vaccine-related decision-	
					making were identified:	
					• 'Fear of being a bad mother': mothers	
					were concerned about both accepting or	
					rejecting the COVID-19 vaccine, due to	
					potential side effects of vaccines or	
					perceived threat of illness, respectively.	
					Mothers were worried they would be	
					responsible for anything that happens to	
					their child due to their decision.	
					Anticipated guilt: mothers described	
					anticipated guilt from failing to meet	
					societal expectations of being a good	
					mother, regardless of their vaccine-	
					related decisions for their child. In	
					contrast to previous studies, anticipated	
					guilt was also brought up in the context of	
					failing to care for the community if they	
					chose to not vaccinate their child.	
Hopfer, S., Fields, E.J.,	Apr 1,	Mixed	n=46 parent-	United States	The study used focus groups to evaluate	Moderate
Ramirez, M., Long, S.N.,	2022	methods	adolescent		parent-adolescent COVID-19 vaccine	
Huszti, H.C., Gombosev, A			dyads		decisions.	
Cooper, D.M. (2022).						
Adolescent COVID-19 vaccine					Main factors contributing to vaccine	
decision-making among					acceptance among parents included:	
parents in Southern					High confidence in health authority	
California. International					recommendations/advice	
Journal of Environmental					<ul> <li>High perceived risk of COVID-19</li> </ul>	
Research and Public					<ul> <li>Responsibility towards children</li> </ul>	
<i>Health, 19</i> (7), 4212.					<ul> <li>Returning to social normalcy</li> </ul>	
					Vaccine mandates	
					Main factors contributing to vaccine	
					uncertainty among parents included:	
					Low vaccine confidence	
					Low perceived risk of COVID-19 severity	

Schilling, S., Orr, C.J.,	Mar 30.	Mixed	n=50 parents	United States	This study used interviews to examine the	High
Delamater, A.M., Flower, K.B.,	2022	methods	(25 English-		factors impacting parental intention to	
Heerman W.I. Perrin F.M.			speaking 25		vaccinate children against COVID-19	
Sanders I (2022) COVID-			Spanish-			
19 vaccine besitancy among			speaking) of		Main influencing factors among vaccine-	
low-income racially and			106 children		accenting parents included:	
othnically divorce US					High parenty included.	
parante Patient Education					High perceived susceptibility to COVID-13	
and Counceling 105(8) 2771					• High perceived sevency of disease among	
					Deresived banefits of vessingtion (high	
2777.					Ferceived benefits of vaccination (fight	
					development process chility to protect	
					development process; ability to protect	
					way of living)	
					Main influencing factors among vaccine-	
					hesitant parents were:	
					<ul> <li>Low perceived susceptibility to COVID-19</li> </ul>	
					<ul> <li>Low perceived severity of disease among</li> </ul>	
					children	
					<ul> <li>Perceived risk of vaccination (low</li> </ul>	
					confidence in vaccine efficacy, high safety	
					risks, such as unknown side effects)	
					<ul> <li>Information found on social media</li> </ul>	
					regarding vaccines	
					<ul> <li>Constantly changing information about</li> </ul>	
					vaccines	
Evans, S., Klas, A., Mikocka-	Sep 23,	Mixed	n=1094 parents	Australia	This study used open-ended survey	High
Walus, A., German, B.,	2021	methods	of children		questions to explore the reasons behind	
Rogers, G.D., Ling, M			aged <18		Australian parents' vaccine intentions for	
Westrupp, E.M. (2021).					their children during COVID-19.	
"Poison" or "protection"? A						
mixed methods exploration					Main themes identifying concerns about the	
of Australian parents' COVID-					vaccine for children pertained to:	
19 vaccination					<ul> <li>Greater risk (potential long-term side</li> </ul>	
intentions. Journal of					effects of vaccine, particularly in babies	
Psychosomatic Research, 150,					and toddlers)	
110626.					<ul> <li>Protecting their child from adverse</li> </ul>	
					reactions	
					Lack of clear guidance	

					Results also highlight that in the absence of	
					expert advice from trusted medical groups,	
					parents would turn to trusted individuals in	
					their social networks.	
Influenza Vaccines (n=3)						
New evidence reported on Feb	oruarv 14, 2	024				
Price, T., McColl, E., &	Feb 18,	Qualitative	n=12 parents (6	United	This study used interviews to investigate	Moderate
Visram, S. (2022), Barriers	2022		of vaccinated	Kingdom	parental perceptions of the barriers and	
and facilitators of childhood	-		children, 6 of	0	facilitators to flu vaccination.	
flu vaccination: the views of			non-vaccinated			
parents in North East			children) of		Parents with non-vaccinated children were	
England, Journal of Public			preschool		not worried about side effects: however.	
Health, 30(11), 2619–2626.			children		barriers included:	
					<ul> <li>Low perceived susceptibility to the flu</li> </ul>	
					Lack of convenient vaccination	
					opportunities (such as short appointment	
					schedule windows)	
					Lack of awareness regarding the necessity	
					of the vaccine	
					Fear of side-effects	
					Flu vaccination being a low priority for	
					busy parents	
Previously reported evidence				•		•
Paterson, P., Chantler, T., &	Aug 28,	Qualitative	n=25 parents	England	This study explored, through interviews,	High
Larson, H.J. (2018). <u>Reasons</u>	2018		who chose not	U U	reasons why parents chose not to vaccinate	C .
for non-vaccination: Parental			to vaccinate		their child against influenza. Reasons	
vaccine hesitancy and the			their child		included:	
childhood influenza					<ul> <li>No perceived need for vaccine due to</li> </ul>	
vaccination school pilot					child being low risk and healthy	
programme in England.					Concerns about vaccine effectiveness and	
<i>Vaccine, 36</i> (36), 5397-5401.					safety (side effects)	
					<ul> <li>Concerns about vaccine ingredients,</li> </ul>	
					specifically porcine gelatin (due to	
					religious reasons)	
					Reported factors among parents which	
					would address vaccine hesitancy:	
					Presence of an epidemic among children	
					<ul> <li>If friends or family were high-risk</li> </ul>	
					More evidence on vaccine effectiveness	
					among children	

Herbert, N.L., Gargano, L.M.,	May 30,	Qualitative	n=31 parents	Rural	This study used focus groups to explore	Moderate
Painter, J.E., Sales, J.M.,	2013			Georgia,	attitudes and decision-making processes	
Morfaw, C., Murray, D.,				United States	among parents who participated in or chose	
Hughes, J.M. (2013).					not to participate in a school-based influenza	
Understanding reasons for					clinic for their child.	
participating in a school-						
based influenza vaccination					Among parents who decided not to	
program and decision-making					participate, reasons included:	
dynamics among adolescents					<ul> <li>Skepticism about the experimental nature</li> </ul>	
and parents. Health Education					of the school-based program	
<i>Research, 28</i> (4), 663-72.					Desire to take children to pharmacy or	
					primary care physician instead	
					<ul> <li>Concerns about vaccine safety and side</li> </ul>	
					effects	
					<ul> <li>Personal negative experiences with</li> </ul>	
					receiving the vaccine	
					Barriers to influenza vaccination described:	
					<ul> <li>Inconvenient locations; transportation</li> </ul>	
					Parental time off work to take child to	
					receive vaccine	
					Factors that encouraged school-based	
					vaccination:	
					Relationship/trust-building with parents in	
					the community	
					Use of different communication channels	
					for awareness raising/education	
					Use of informational brochures influenced	
					decision-making among participating and	
					non-participating parents differently.	
					For participating parents, brochures	
					allayed concerns	
					For non-participating parents, brochures	
					provided information overload	

Infant Pneumococcal Vaccine (n=1)									
Previously reported evidence	Previously reported evidence								
Chantler, T., Newton, S., Lees, A., Diggle, L., Mayon-White, R., Pollard, A.J., & Fitzpatrick, R. (2006). <u>Parental views on</u> <u>the introduction of an infant</u> <u>pneumococcal vaccine</u> . <i>Community Practitioner</i> , <i>79</i> (7), 213-6.	Jul 2006	Qualitative	n=38 parents of children aged <2	United Kingdom	<ul> <li>From Oct - Nov 2002, 23 interviewees and 2 focus groups were asked about their attitudes towards infant immunization, how they felt about the introduction of the new pneumococcal vaccine and what support they would need to have confidence in the vaccine. The following themes emerged:</li> <li>Overall confidence and belief in immunizations</li> <li>Anxiety about immunization; the number of vaccines children receive or making the wrong decision</li> <li>Trust and understanding of information from reliable sources</li> <li>Response to a new immunization; perceived risk and perceived benefit</li> </ul>	Moderate			
Chlamydia Vaccine (n=1)									
New evidence reported on Feb	ruarv 14, 2	024							
Footman, A., Kanney, N., Niccolai, L.M., Zimet, G.D., Overton, E.T., Davies, S.L., & Van Der Pol, B. (2022). <u>Chlamydia vaccination:</u> <u>Parent opinions and</u> <u>implications for future</u> <u>promotion</u> <u>programs</u> . <i>Sexually</i> <i>Transmitted Diseases, 49</i> (11), 745–749.	Nov 2022	Qualitative	n=21 parents	United States	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore parental opinions about an adolescent chlamydia vaccine to understand the barriers and facilitators to uptake.</li> <li>Certain factors/concerns were noted by parents as being important in their vaccine-related decisions: <ul> <li>Need to vaccinate at a young age (before puberty or before children were sexually active)</li> <li>Vaccine efficacy and potential side effects</li> <li>Their healthcare providers recommendation</li> </ul> </li> <li>Results suggested that health education addressing specific vaccine-related concerns is important in influencing parental decision-making about chlamydia vaccines.</li> </ul>	High			

Tick-Borne Encephalitis Vaccine (n=1)							
New evidence reported on Feb	ruary 14, 2	024					
New evidence reported on Feb Krasselt, J., Robin, D., Fadda, M., Geutjes, A., Bubenhofer, N., Suzanne Suggs, L., & Dratva, J. (2022). <u>Tick-Talk:</u> <u>Parental online discourse</u> <u>about TBE vaccination</u> . <i>Vaccine, 40</i> (52), 7538–7546.	ruary 14, 2 Dec 12, 2022	024 Qualitative	NR (105,000 online posts written by parents between 2007- 19)	Switzerland	This study used extant discussion posts to understand parental discourse on child vaccination in an online Swiss community. Parents engaged in a multidimensional decision-making process which was characterized by calculating potential risks and benefits of TBE vaccine, referring to various sources of information (including webpages, social media, books, and scientific evidence), information received from healthcare professionals/official vaccine recommendations, and experiences reported by friends and family. Decision making was further influenced by vaccine safety, necessity, effectiveness, development and control; disease epidemiology (e.g., infection rates in risk areas); and alternatives to vaccines or additional prevention methods.	Moderate	
Constal Childhood Vassingtion	(n-22)						
New evidence reported on Feb	ruary 14 2	024					
Hsu, C., Evers, S., Ibrahim, A., Patricia, M., Throne, P., Melton, M., Hofstetter, A.M. (2023). <u>Sometimes your</u> <u>heart says 'I don't know':</u> <u>Insights from parents of</u> <u>undervaccinated</u> <u>children</u> . <i>Academic</i> <i>Pediatrics, 23</i> (1), 57–67.	Oct 10, 2023	Qualitative	n=41 parents of children aged 24-48 months	United States	<ul> <li>This study used focus groups to explore drivers of suboptimal vaccination rates in parents who delayed or declined vaccines for their infants.</li> <li>Factors contributing to hesitancy included:</li> <li>Concerns regarding control over decisions made for their children</li> <li>Personal circumstances (e.g., busy schedules, personal stress, change in insurance coverage)</li> </ul>	Moderate	

					<ul> <li>Factors encouraging vaccine uptake included:</li> <li>School requiring child to be fully vaccinated</li> <li>Negative experiences with or awareness of vaccine-preventable diseases</li> <li>Family tradition of vaccinating children</li> <li>Participants highlighted the need to improve vaccine communication (e.g., parent-friendly materials, creating forums to discuss concerns, and offering vaccine information well before vaccine appointment).</li> </ul>	
Appelqvist, E., Danielsson, M., Jama, A., Ask, L.S., Stenhammar, C., Lindstrand, A., Roth, A. (2023). <u>Parental</u> <u>views and the key role of</u> <u>nurses for high vaccine</u> <u>acceptance in Sweden - a</u> <u>focus group study</u> . <i>BMC</i> <i>Public Health, 23</i> (1), 1786.	Sep 14, 2023	Qualitative	n=47 parents of children aged 1–2 and 8–12	Sweden	This study used focus groups to assess parental vaccine acceptance. Parents of children aged 1–2 trusted the national immunization program as guidance for vaccinating their children. Parents with positive relationships with healthcare professionals (e.g., nurses) felt safer making vaccine decisions. Parents also expressed the need for variety in vaccine-related content, in both amount and timing of information. Parents of children aged 8–12 who chose to vaccinate did so as they saw it as beneficial for their children and society. Trust in vaccinations and the system was also highlighted. Results also suggested that vaccine decision-making becomes complex in older age groups as parents feel the need to consult children to encourage autonomy. Lastly, the need for transparent information was also highlighted.	Low

Bolsewicz, K.T., Steffens,	May 22.	Qualitative	n=21 parents	Australia	This study used interviews to assess how	Moderate
M.S., King, C., Abdi, I.,	2023		(15 vaccine-		parental experiences during the COVID-19	
Bullivant, B., & Beard, F.			accepting, 4		pandemic affected their attitudes and	
(2023). A qualitative study on			vaccine-		intentions for adolescent vaccinations.	
COVID-19 pandemic impacts			hesitant, 2			
on parental attitudes and			vaccine-		Major themes identified included:	
intentions for routine			refusal)		Among vaccine hesitant parents, the	
adolescent vaccinations: The					pandemic reinforced pre-existing vaccine	
role of trust. Vaccine, 41(28),					hesitancy, either due to: a)	
4138-4143.					personal/friends' negative experiences	
					with the COVID-19 vaccine, b) the	
					perceived lack of clarity in government	
					messaging, or c) the stigma of being	
					unvaccinated and associated	
					repercussions, which further exacerbated	
					negative feelings towards vaccinations.	
					Among vaccine-accepting parents, the	
					pandemic raised awareness of both the	
					benefits of COVID-19 and routine	
					vaccinations (especially influenza	
					vaccines), with communication	
					campaigns and a trusted doctor's	
					recommendations contributing to this.	
Glassman, L.W., & Szymczak,	Dec 9,	Qualitative	n=37 mothers	United States	This study used interviews to understand	High
J.E. (2022). <u>The influence of</u>	2022		(22 middle-		how social class influences the experiences	_
social class and institutional			class, 15		and perspectives of vaccine-hesitant middle-	
relationships on the			working-class)		and working-class mothers. (Class	
experiences of vaccine-					categorization was dependent on post-	
hesitant mothers: a					secondary education status (college degree	
qualitative study. BMC Public					vs. no degree) and professional roles (white-	
<i>Health, 22</i> (1), 2309.					collar or full-time parent vs. blue-collar	
					roles)).	
					Middle-class mothers felt irritated and	
					pushed by their pediatric clinicians into	
					getting their child vaccinated; working-class	
					mothers felt clinicians used authoritative	
					tones, which put them at a vulnerable	
					position with regards to their vaccine-related	
					decisions.	

					Working-class mothers expressed concerns that school administrators and emergency room staff could act as reporters of child's vaccination status for state intervention, including Child Protective Services; middle- class mothers did not share these concerns.	
Hijazi, R., Gesser-Edelsburg, A., Feder-Bubis, P., & Mesch, G.S. (2022). <u>Hesitant and anti- vaccination groups: A</u> <u>qualitative study on their</u> <u>perceptions and attitudes</u> <u>regarding vaccinations and</u> <u>their reluctance to participate</u> <u>in academic research- an</u> <u>example during a measles</u> <u>outbreak among a group of</u> <u>Jewish parents in</u> <u>Israel</u> . <i>Frontiers in Public</i> <i>Health, 10</i> , 1012822.	Nov 9, 2022	Qualitative	n=18 parents	Israel	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to identify the perceptions of hesitant and anti-vaccination parents.</li> <li>While parents understood the efficacy of vaccines, the seven themes were identified regarding parental perceptions of vaccines: <ul> <li>Vaccine to be given when 'needed' (e.g., during an outbreak, when risk of disease is high)</li> <li>Lack of transparency in vaccine-related information communication by health authorities</li> <li>Potential violation of autonomy (feeling coerced into vaccinating)</li> <li>Generic vaccine schedule (parents felt vaccine schedules should be personalized to the child's health and needs)</li> <li>Negative attitudes of the society towards vaccine hesitant parents</li> <li>Low perceived vaccine effectiveness and potential side effects</li> <li>Awareness that social media was an unreliable source of vaccine information (but some parents noted having difficulty identifying misinformation)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Moderate

Smith, S.E., Sivertsen, N., Lines, L., & De Bellis, A. (2022). Weighing up the risks - Vaccine decision-making in pregnancy and parenting. Women & Birth: Journal of the Australian College of Midwives, 35(6), 547–552.	Nov 2022	Mixed methods	n=106 parents and pregnant women	Australia	<ul> <li>This study used a survey with open-ended questions to explore the values, beliefs, and choices made by vaccine-hesitant parents and pregnant women.</li> <li>Results suggest that vaccine-related decision-making and unfavorable views were influenced by: <ul> <li>Vaccine safety concerns</li> <li>Insufficient information about the development of the vaccine</li> <li>Personal immunization beliefs (e.g., ability to choose what is right for their family)</li> <li>Alternative practices to support their child's health and immunity, such as a healthy diet and lifestyle</li> <li>Pregnancy status, where majority of pregnant individuals stated they would not accept vaccines during pregnancy</li> </ul> </li> <li>Healthcare professionals (physicians, nurses, midwives) were found to be respected and vital sources for vaccine-related queries.</li> </ul>	Moderate
Bankiewicz, P., Dworakowska, A.M., Makarewicz-Wujec, M., & Kozłowska-Wojciechowska, M. (2022). <u>Beliefs and</u> <u>sentiments of parents</u> <u>vaccinating their children -</u> <u>small town perspective in</u> <u>Poland: a preliminary study</u> . <i>Central European Journal of</i> <i>Public Health, 30</i> (1), 7-12.	Mar 31, 2022	Qualitative	n=53 parents (45 mothers, 8 fathers)	Poland	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to explore factors contributing to positive parental attitudes to vaccination.</li> <li>Factors contributing to positive attitudes to vaccination included:</li> <li>Understanding the necessity of vaccines (vaccine safety, benefit-to-risk ratio)</li> <li>Confidence in health professionals</li> <li>Media broadcasts and associated advertisements on the consequences of non-vaccination of children</li> </ul>	Low

					<ul> <li>Factors contributing to negative attitudes towards vaccines included:</li> <li>Belief that the decision to vaccinate their kids should be up to parents</li> <li>Belief in alternative preventative methods</li> <li>Opinions of authority figures, who were against vaccination, including physicians</li> </ul>	
Thomas, S., Paden, V., Lloyd, C., Tudball, J., & Corben, P. (2022). <u>Tailoring</u> immunisation programs in Lismore, New South Wales - we want our children to be healthy and grow well, and immunisation really helps. <i>Rural and Remote</i> <i>Health, 22</i> (1), 6803.	Feb 21, 2022	Qualitative	n=35 Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal parents, carers, and health service providers	Australia	<ul> <li>This study used interviews and focus groups to understand low vaccine coverage rates among Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal parents, carers, and health service providers. The results were grouped into six themes:</li> <li>Accessibility barriers to health services</li> <li>Additional support required to access vaccination services (e.g., transport, appointment scheduling)</li> <li>The need for culturally safe and non-judgmental healthcare services for Aboriginal parents</li> <li>Need for reminders and recalls to keep their children's vaccinations up to date</li> <li>Parents' and carers' personal vaccine-related views influencing their decision to vaccinate their child</li> <li>Need for reliable and unbiased vaccine-related information</li> </ul>	High

Kate, J.T., de Koster, W., & van der Waal, J. (2022). <u>Becoming skeptical towards</u> vaccines: How health views <u>shape the trajectories</u> <u>following health-related</u> <u>events</u> . <i>Social Science &amp;</i> <i>Medicine, 293</i> (1982), 114668.	Jan 2022	Qualitative	n=31 parents	Netherlands	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to understand parental skepticism towards childhood vaccinations.</li> <li>Factors contributing to vaccine skepticism included: <ul> <li>Events that directly involved the parent/child's health (e.g., adverse effects of treatments)</li> <li>Health/vaccination-related conversations with skeptical individuals</li> <li>Pre-existing health views (parents with nature-oriented health views were more likely to be vaccine-skeptical; parents with science-oriented views assessed the potential risks of vaccination and sought out scientific information)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	High
Bolsewicz, K., Thomas, J., Corben, P., Thomas, S., Tudball, J., & Fernando, M. (2022). <u>'Immunisation, I</u> <u>haven't had a problem, but</u> <u>once again the transport,</u> <u>making an appointment, the</u> <u>time that you waste and all of</u> <u>those things are an issue'—</u> <u>Understanding childhood</u> <u>under-immunisation in Mid</u> <u>North Coast New South</u> <u>Wales, Australia</u> . <i>Australian</i> <i>Journal of Rural Health, 30</i> (1), 44-54.	Sep 14, 2021	Qualitative	n=56 (25 First Nations; 13 non-First Nations mothers/grand mothers; 18 health service providers)	Australia	<ul> <li>This study used interviews and focus groups to understand childhood under-immunization among First Nations and non-First Nations families.</li> <li>Parents were generally supportive of immunization; however, they identified certain factors which would improve coverage: <ul> <li>Effective vaccine reminders</li> <li>Improving accessibility (more appointment slots/reduced wait times)</li> <li>Addressing healthcare workforce shortage</li> <li>Addressing racism in the community and healthcare system to build trust in health services (some providers lacked the appropriate cultural competency to care for First Nations Peoples, resulting in unsafe language and behaviors)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Low

Previously reported evidence								
Nurmi, J. & Harman, B. (2021). <u>Why do parents refuse</u> <u>childhood vaccination?</u> <u>Reasons reported in Finland</u> . <i>Scandinavian Journal of</i> <i>Public Health, 50</i> (4), 490-496.	Apr 12, 2021	Qualitative	n=38 parents who refused vaccination for their children	Finland	<ul> <li>Among Finnish parents who were interviewed, reasons for partial or complete refusal of vaccinations for their children included:</li> <li>Risks and side effects of vaccinations</li> <li>Distrust of health officials, medical professionals, and the pharmaceutical industry</li> <li>Belief that natural immunity or alternative therapies provide better protection against communicable diseases</li> </ul>	Moderate		
Ten Kate, J., Koster, W., & Van der Waal, J. (2021). <u>"Following Your Gut" or</u> <u>"Questioning the Scientific Evidence": Understanding</u> <u>Vaccine Skepticism among</u> <u>More-Educated Dutch</u> <u>Parents</u> . <i>Journal of Health</i> <i>and Social Behavior, 62</i> (1), 85-99.	Feb 3, 2021	Qualitative	n=31 parents who hesitate or refused to vaccinate their children	Netherlands	<ul> <li>This study used interviews to investigate reasons for vaccine hesitancy or full refusal among parents with post-secondary education. These reasons included:</li> <li>Desire to be critical thinkers and not simply follow government recommendations</li> <li>Uncertainty about reliability of vaccine evidence</li> <li>Belief in the benefits of natural immunity or a natural approach to health care</li> <li>Lack of scientific rigor in vaccination studies</li> </ul>	High		
Wiley, K.E., Leask, J., Attwell, K., Helps, C., Degeling, C., Ward, P., & Carter, S.M. (2020). <u>Parenting and the</u> <u>vaccine refusal process: A</u> <u>new explanation of the</u> <u>relationship between lifestyle</u> <u>and vaccination trajectories</u> . <i>Social Science &amp; Medicine</i> , <i>263</i> , 113259.	Aug 5, 2020	Qualitative	n=21; parents of children aged >18 who refused vaccination	Australia	<ul> <li>Parental refusal of childhood vaccines was explored through in-depth interviews with vaccine-declining caregivers.</li> <li>All parents identified parental responsibility as a reason for non-vaccination</li> <li>Attitudes and opinions fluctuated as a result of changing personal experience and risk assessments</li> <li>Vaccine declining parents did not necessarily embrace all aspects of an alternative lifestyle; many 'mainstream' parents made alternative lifestyle choices with respect to vaccination</li> </ul>	Moderate		

Swaney, S.E. & Burns, S. (2019). <u>Exploring reasons for</u> <u>vaccine-hesitancy among</u> <u>higher-SES parents in Perth.</u> <u>Western Australia</u> . <i>Health</i> <i>Promotion Journal of</i> <i>Australia, 30</i> (2), 143-152.	Aug 9, 2018	Qualitative	n=18; high SES vaccine- hesitant parents >\$125,000 (n=11) health care professionals (n=7)	Australia	<ul> <li>Qualitative interviews were conducted with vaccine hesitant, high socio-economic parents and health care providers who provided clinical services, to identify parent perceptions and influences on vaccination.</li> <li>Four main themes were identified among parents:</li> <li>Parents believed their higher education levels led to enhanced decision-making processes</li> <li>Parents had high feelings of control over individual health and believed that individual choices would control for vaccine preventable diseases</li> <li>Perceived risk of negative effects from vaccines was high</li> <li>Parents expressed a need for more information on vaccine ingredients and necessity of vaccination</li> </ul>	High
Romijnders, K., van Seventer, S.L., Scheltema, M., van Osch, L., de Vries, H., & Mollema, L. (2019). <u>A</u> <u>deliberate choice? Exploring</u> <u>factors related to informed</u> <u>decision-making about</u> <u>childhood vaccination among</u> <u>acceptors, refusers, and</u> <u>partial acceptors</u> . <i>Vaccine</i> , <i>37</i> (37), 5637-5644.	Aug 2, 2019	Qualitative	n=55; vaccine acceptors (n=9) refusers (n=12) partial acceptors (n=24)	Netherlands	<ul> <li>12 semi-structured focus groups were conducted to explore differences related to decision-making of childhood vaccine acceptors, refusers and partial acceptors The following observations were identified:</li> <li>Acceptors view vaccines as a given</li> <li>Refusers based their decision on anecdotal, rather than evidence-based information and perceived risk from vaccines higher than diseases</li> <li>Partial acceptors extensively debated the pros and cons of each individual vaccine and perceived risk from vaccines as higher than diseases</li> </ul>	Moderate

Helps C Leask I Barclay	May 28	Qualitative	n-32 non-	Australia	Qualitative interviews with parents were	Moderate
$1 = \frac{8}{2019}$ Carter S (2019)	2019	Quantative	vaccinating	Australia	conducted to understand the decision-	Woderate
Li, & Carter, S. (2015).	2013		vaccinating		making process to forego vessingtion and	
<u>Understanding non-</u>			parents		their execution and	
vaccinating parents views to					their encounters with the healthcare system.	
inform and improve clinical					Themes included:	
encounters: a qualitative					<ul> <li>Potential harm of Western medicine and</li> </ul>	
<u>study in an Australian</u>					lifestyle	
<u>community</u> . <i>BMJ Open, 9</i> (5),					<ul> <li>Experience(s) introducing doubt</li> </ul>	
e026299.					<ul> <li>Valid consent; vaccination through</li> </ul>	
					coercive measures	
					Being dismissed by health care	
					professions over observation of adverse	
					events following vaccination	
					Encounters with health professionals:	
					health care providers as listeners and	
					source of information rather than	
					source of mornation rather than	
					guardians of field in a guardian composition compositi composition composition composition	
					Quest for real truth ; mormation comes	
					trom multiple sources, not just healthcare	
					providers	
					Reluctance to system inflexibilities; being	
					told what to do	
					Ongoing risk assessment	
					Participants in the study did not report	
					having an unwavering intention not to	
					vaccinate prior to becoming parents. Rather,	
					all had personal experiences that led to their	
					decision	
Mendel-Van Alstyne I A	Oct 22	Qualitative	n-61:	Philadelphia	8 two-bour focus groups were conducted	Moderate
Nowak G L & Aikin A L	2019	Quantative		PA (p=4)	between two socio economia diverse groups	Woderate
(2018) What is 'sonfidence'	2010		booitont	1 A (11-4)	$(s^{\text{CTEV}}, s^{\text{CTEV}})$ to examine the concert of	
(2018). <u>what is confidence</u>			nesitant	San Fasa isas (	(>\$75K, <\$75K) to examine the concept of	
and what could affect it?: A			mothers with	Francisco/	confidence in relation to childhood vaccines.	
qualitative study of mothers			children aged	Uakland, CA		
who are hesitant about			l ≤ 5	(n=4)	Reasons for lack of confidence in childhood	
vaccines. Vaccine, 36(44),					vaccines were similar among high socio-	
6464-6472.					economic (HSES) and low socio-economic	
					(LSES) mothers and included:	
					• Not having enough time to learn, do	
					research and make a decision	
					Lack of information	

		Concerns over children's immune system	
		<ul> <li>Development of autism, asthma or</li> </ul>	
		allergies	
		<ul> <li>Not perceived to be safe</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Beliefs that vaccines cause the illness</li> </ul>	
		(e.g. flu)	
		<ul> <li>Vaccine ingredients</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Lack of control over number of</li> </ul>	
		• Eack of control over number of,	
		scheduling and use of combination	
		Effectiveness	
		HSES mothers cited the age at which	
		vaccinations are given/small size of infants	
		and toddlers as well as a general mistrust of	
		nhysician and healthcare provider motives	
		or financial incentives to encourage	
		vaccination	
		LSES mothers cited unfamiliarity and a lack	
		of personal experience with the vaccine.	
		Reasons for having higher confidence on	
		childhood vaccines similar among HSES and	
		LSES mothers included:	
		• Familiarity/personal experience (e.g., they	
		received as kids)	
		<ul> <li>Becommendation/information comes</li> </ul>	
		from a trusted source	
		Satisfied that they have done their	
		research	
		HSES mothers cited additional reasons for	
		higher confidence including their	
		relationship with their healthcare provider	
		and their healthcare provider's willingness	
		to have their own children receive the	
		vaccine I SES mothers cited personal	
		experience with vaccing proventable	
		discassos as a contributing factor to	
		contidence in vaccines.	

Ward, P.R., Attwell, K., Meyer, S.B., Rokkas, P., & Leask, J. (2017). <u>Understanding the</u> <u>perceived logic of care by</u> <u>vaccine-hesitant and vaccine-</u> <u>refusing parents: A qualitative</u> <u>study in Australia</u> . <i>PloS one</i> , <i>12</i> (10), e0185955.	Oct 12, 2017	Qualitative	n=29 vaccine- hesitant parents	Australia	<ul> <li>Interviews were conducted with parents to focus on the perceived risks and benefits incurred by vaccinating (or not vaccinating) their children.</li> <li>The main themes were: <ul> <li>The in decision not to vaccinate as a logical, reasoned choice</li> <li>Their knowledge of evidence and recommendations, leading to distrust and rejection of Western medical epistemology</li> <li>Their participation in labour-intensive parenting practices which they saw as boosting the natural immunity of their children and protecting them from illness (reducing or negating the perceived need for vaccinations)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	High
Carrion, M.L. (2018). <u>An</u> <u>ounce of prevention:</u> <u>Identifying cues to (in)action</u> <u>for maternal vaccine refusal</u> . <u><i>Qualitative Health Research</i>, <i>28</i>(14), 2183-2194.</u>	Aug 10, 2018	Qualitative	n=50; mothers who refused one or more childhood vaccine	United States	<ul> <li>Qualitative interviews were conducted with mothers to explore the events, experiences and information that prompted initial skepticism towards vaccines. Three themes emerged:</li> <li>Perceived adverse events</li> <li>Endorsements from healthcare professionals; physicians expressing even minor doubts to criticizing vaccine schedules</li> <li>Perceived contradictions in expert communication</li> </ul>	Moderate

Carrion, M.L. (2018). <u>"You</u> need to do your research": <u>Vaccines, contestable science,</u> and maternal epistemology. <i>Public Understanding of</i> <i>Science, 27</i> (3), 310-324.	Aug 25, 2017	Qualitative	n=50 mothers who refused one or more childhood vaccines with children aged <2	United States	<ul> <li>Qualitative interviews were conducted with mothers to explore how participants' arguments and explanations for vaccine refusal straddled the boundary between personal and technical knowledge claims. The following themes emerged:</li> <li>Mothers accept science, yet view existing vaccine conclusions as unsubstantiated or flawed. They felt scientific research reflects a political or economic agenda and lacks objectivity</li> <li>Mothers do not accept traditional scientific approaches as absolute truth and consider maternal instinct superior to science</li> </ul>	Moderate
Koski, K., & Holst, J. (2017). Exploring vaccine hesitancy through an artist-scientist collaboration: Visualizing vaccine-critical parents' health beliefs. Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 14(3), 411- 426.	Aug 16, 2017	Qualitative	n=9 vaccine- hesitant parents	The Netherlands and Finland	<ul> <li>Interviews were conducted to explore health beliefs. These beliefs were interpreted through arts-based diagrams that merged multiple aspects of the parents' narratives, and then used in a collaborative meaning-making dialogue between the artist and the scientist. Four main health beliefs originated from the parents' interviews:</li> <li>Perceived benefits of illness,</li> <li>Belief in the body's intelligence and Selfhealing capacity,</li> <li>Beliefs about the "inside-outside" flow of substances in the body,</li> <li>View of death as a natural part of life</li> </ul>	Moderate

Blaisdell, L.L., Gutheil, C., Hootsmans, N.A., & Han, P.K. (2016). <u>Unknown risks:</u> <u>parental hesitation about</u> <u>vaccination</u> . <i>Medical Decision</i> <i>Making, 36</i> (4), 479-89.	Oct 27, 2015	Qualitative	n=42 vaccine- hesitant and refusing parents	United States	<ul> <li>Focus group interviews were conducted to elicit parents' perceptions and thought processes regarding the risks associated with vaccination and non-vaccination, the sources of these perceptions, and their approach to decision making about vaccination for their children.</li> <li>Parents tended to perceive risks of vaccination as greater than the risks of vaccine-preventable diseases.</li> <li>Parents perceived ambiguity in information about the harms of vaccination, citing concerns about the missing, conflicting, changing, or otherwise unreliable nature of information.</li> </ul>	Moderate
Gross, K., Hartmann, K., Zemp, E., & Merten, S. (2015). <u>'I know it has worked for</u> <u>millions of years': the role of</u> <u>the 'natural' in parental</u> <u>reasoning against child</u> <u>immunization in a qualitative</u> <u>study in Switzerland</u> . <i>BMC</i> <i>Public Health, 15,</i> 373.	Apr 12, 2015	Qualitative	n=32 parents who decided not to fully immunize their children	Switzerland	<ul> <li>Interviews were conducted to explore parents' perceptions of immunization.</li> <li>Parents believed in the strength of the naturally acquired immune system.</li> <li>Childhood diseases were not perceived as a threat but as part of the natural way to reinforce the body and to acquire a "natural" and strong immunity</li> <li>Parents considered immunization as an artificial intrusion into the natural development of the immune system and feared overloading the still immature immune system of their young children and infants through current vaccination schedules.</li> </ul>	High

Harmsen, I.A., Mollema, L., Ruiter, R.A., Paulussen, T.G., de Melker, H.E., & Kok, G. (2013). <u>Why parents refuse</u> <u>childhood vaccination: a</u> <u>qualitative study using online</u> <u>focus groups</u> . <i>BMC Public</i> <i>Health, 13</i> , 1183.	Dec 16, 2013	Qualitative	N=60 parents who refused all or some vaccinations for their children	Netherlands	<ul> <li>In a series of 8 online focus groups with parents, reasons for vaccine refusal were explored. Themes emerged related to:</li> <li>Family lifestyle that promotes their children's health, and therefore reduces the risk of getting an infectious disease</li> <li>Perceptions about the child's body and immune system being insufficiently developed</li> <li>Perceived risks of disease, vaccine efficacy, and side effects</li> <li>Perceived advantages of experiencing the disease</li> <li>Prior negative experience with vaccination</li> <li>Social environment</li> <li>Gaps in knowledge and information provided</li> <li>Perception that too many vaccines are required or recommended</li> </ul>	Moderate
Glanz, J.M., Wagner, N.M., Narwaney, K.J., Shoup, J.A., McClure, D.L., McCormick, E.V., & Daley, M.F. (2013). <u>A</u> <u>mixed methods study of</u> <u>parental vaccine decision</u> <u>making and parent-provider</u> <u>trust</u> . <i>Academic Pediatrics</i> , <i>13</i> (5), 481-8.	Sep 1, 2013	Mixed methods	n=24 parents of under- vaccinated children aged <4	United States	<ul> <li>As part of a mixed methods study, focus groups were conducted to explore decision- making related to vaccines. Themes included:</li> <li>The vaccine decision-making process begins prenatally</li> <li>Vaccine decision making is an evolving process</li> <li>There is overall trust in the pediatrician but a lack of trust in the information they provided about vaccines.</li> </ul>	High

Whyte, M.D., Whyte Iv, J., Cormier, E., & Eccles, D.W. (2011). Factors influencing parental decision making when parents choose to deviate from the standard pediatric immunization schedule. Journal of Community Health Nursing, 28(4), 204-14.	Nov 4, 2011	Qualitative	n=143 parents who had refused vaccination for at least one child, and who participated in organizations skeptical about immunization practices	United States	Parents completed an open-ended survey about their decision not to participate in the recommended vaccination schedule Parents described a variety of misperceptions regarding the risks represented by common pediatric immunizations, including the perceived risk of autism, the presence of toxic ingredients in vaccines, and the desire to avoid ADHD.	Moderate
Tickner, S., Leman, P.J., & Woodcock, A. (2010). <u>Parents'</u> <u>views about pre-school</u> <u>immunization: an interview</u> <u>study in southern England</u> . <i>Child: Care, Health and</i> <i>Development, 36</i> (2), 190-7.	Feb 3, 2010	Qualitative	n=21 parents	England	Interviews with parents were conducted to explore parents' views about immunization and to identify possible reasons for lower second dose pre-school uptake. Although most parents believed pre-school immunization to be important and most intended to immunize, a minority questioned whether it was necessary based on their understanding of the duration of protection provided by the primary course. Compared with primary immunization, parents typically received no information about pre-school doses prior to their invitation to attend and had little or no contact with healthcare professionals. Other barriers included minor illness, apprehension about taking an older child for vaccinations and work or childcare commitments.	Moderate

Gullion, J.S., Henry, L., & Gullion, G. (2008). <u>Deciding to</u> <u>opt out of childhood</u> <u>vaccination mandates</u> . <i>Public</i> <i>Health Nursing, 25</i> (5), 401-8.	Aug 21, 2008	Qualitative	n=25 parents who chose not to vaccinate their children	United States	Interviews explored the attitudes and beliefs of parents who consciously chose not to vaccinate their children and the ways in which these parents process information on the pros and cons of vaccines. Two themes emerged: • A desire to have information on vaccines • Trust issues with the medical community Although parents placed a high value on scientific knowledge, they also expressed distrust of the medical community.	Moderate
Niederhauser, V.P. & Markowitz, M. (2007). <u>Barriers</u> <u>to immunizations: Multiethnic</u> <u>parents of under- and</u> <u>unimmunized children speak</u> . <i>Journal of the American</i> <i>Academy of Nurse</i> <i>Practitioners, 19</i> (1), 15-23.	Jan 5, 2007	Qualitative	n=64 parents or foster parents of under- immunized two-year olds	Hawaii, United States	<ul> <li>Focus groups were held with predominantly Asian, Hawaiian or White parents/foster parents recruited from Head Start and other family support programs to explore the barriers to immunizations in parents whose children were not fully immunized by age 2.</li> <li>Five core themes emerged as barriers to childhood immunizations:</li> <li>Parental barriers including personal situations of parents such as drug use or inconvenience, mistrust of sources of information, lack of knowledge about immunization, and fear that children could catch diseases from immunization)</li> <li>Transportation barriers to accessing clinics</li> <li>Financial barriers to affording vaccination due to child illness</li> <li>Health organization issues such as lack of reminders or clinic policies that create barriers</li> </ul>	High

<ul> <li>Tarrant, M., &amp; Gregory, D. (2003). Exploring childhood immunization uptake with First Nations mothers in north-western Ontario. Canada. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 41(1), 63-72.</li> <li>Tarrant, M., &amp; Gregory, D. (2001). Mothers' perceptions of childhood immunizations in First Nations communities of the Sioux lookout zone. Canadian Journal of Public Health, 92(1), 42-45.</li> </ul>	Jan 2003	Qualitative	n=28 mothers, 2 First Nations communities	Sioux Lookout Zone, north- western Ontario, Canada	<ul> <li>Qualitative interviews were conducted with First Nation mothers to explore beliefs and perceptions of childhood immunizations and vaccine-preventable diseases.</li> <li>Participants were motivated to seek immunizations for their children by a fear of vaccine preventable diseases</li> <li>A small proportion of mothers questioned the effectiveness of vaccines in preventing disease</li> <li>Traumatic immunization experiences, vaccine side-effects and sequelae, negative interactions with health professionals, knowledge gaps related to vaccine effectiveness, the influence of others who are against vaccines, and barriers such as time constraints and not being able to vaccinate during a clinic visit when the child was ill all served as deterrents to immunization.</li> </ul>	High
Kulig, J.C., Meyer, C.J., Hill, S.A., Handley, C.E., Lichtenberger, S.M., & Myck, S.L. (2002). <u>Refusals and</u> <u>delay of immunization within</u> <u>southwest Alberta.</u> <u>Understanding alternative</u> <u>beliefs and religious</u> <u>perspectives</u> . <i>Canadian</i> <i>Journal of Public Health</i> , <i>93</i> (2), 109-12.	Mar 1, 2002	Qualitative	n=47 people of Dutch ethnic background, Hutterites, and alternative health proponents, who chose not to vaccinate or delayed immunization for their children.	Alberta, Canada	<ul> <li>Interviews explored reasons for not vaccinating with members of these three under-vaccinated groups.</li> <li>Major findings include: <ul> <li>Among the Dutch, most based their decision to refuse on religious beliefs</li> <li>The Hutterites' decision not to immunize was due to their experiences with adverse reactions but was further supported by their use of alternative health approaches</li> </ul> </li> <li>The alternative health group were concerned with the safety of vaccines and the shortand long-term effects on their children's health.</li> </ul>	Moderate

Sporton, R.K. & Francis, S.A.	Apr 1,	Qualitative	n=13 low-	United	Interviews with parents explored their	Moderate
(2001). <u>Choosing not to</u>	2001		income parents	Kingdom	reasons for choosing not to immunize their	
immunize: are parents			who chose not		children.	
making informed decisions?.			to have their			
<i>Family Practice, 18</i> (2), 181-8.			children immunized		<ul> <li>Most parents felt they had made an informed decision, based on a reflective process including an assessment of the risks and benefits of immunization and an acceptance of responsibility for that decision</li> <li>All parents identified the risk of adverse effects as a reason</li> </ul>	
					Health professionals were not perceived as providers of balanced information.	

### References

Appelqvist, E., Danielsson, M., Jama, A., Ask, L.S., Stenhammar, C., Lindstrand, A., ... Roth, A. (2023). <u>Parental views and the key role of nurses for high vaccine acceptance in Sweden - a</u> <u>focus group study</u>. *BMC Public Health, 23*(1), 1786.

Attwell, K., McKenzie, L., Tomkinson, S., Carlson, S.J., & Blyth, C.C. (2023). <u>Parents' COVID-19</u> <u>vaccine intentions for children under 5 years: Brief reflections from a qualitative study</u>. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health, 59*(3), 453-457.

Bankiewicz, P., Dworakowska, A.M., Makarewicz-Wujec, M., & Kozłowska-Wojciechowska, M. (2022). <u>Beliefs and sentiments of parents vaccinating their children - small town perspective in</u> <u>Poland: a preliminary study</u>. *Central European Journal of Public Health, 30*(1), 7-12.

Blaisdell, L.L., Gutheil, C., Hootsmans, N.A., & Han, P.K. (2016). <u>Unknown risks: parental hesitation about vaccination</u>. *Medical Decision Making, 36*(4), 479-89.

Bolsewicz, K., Thomas, J., Corben, P., Thomas, S., Tudball, J., & Fernando, M. (2022). <u>'Immunisation, I haven't had a problem, but once again the transport, making an appointment, the time that you waste and all of those things are an issue'—Understanding childhood underimmunisation in Mid North Coast New South Wales, Australia. *Australian Journal of Rural Health, 30*(1), 44-54.</u>

Bolsewicz, K.T., Steffens, M.S., King, C., Abdi, I., Bullivant, B., & Beard, F. (2023). <u>A qualitative</u> study on COVID-19 pandemic impacts on parental attitudes and intentions for routine adolescent vaccinations: The role of trust. *Vaccine 41*(28), 4138-4143.

Carlson, S.J., Attwell, K., Roberts, L., Hughes, C., & Blyth, C.C. (2023). West Australian parents' views on vaccinating their children against COVID-19: a qualitative study. *BMC Public Health*, *23*(1), 1764.

Carrion, M.L. (2018). <u>"You need to do your research": Vaccines, contestable science, and</u> <u>maternal epistemology</u>. *Public Understanding of Science, 27*(3), 310-324.

Carrion, M.L. (2018). <u>An ounce of prevention: Identifying cues to (in)action for maternal vaccine</u> refusal. *Qualitative Health Research, 28*(14), 2183-2194.

Chantler, T., Newton, S., Lees, A., Diggle, L., Mayon-White, R., Pollard, A.J., & Fitzpatrick, R. (2006). <u>Parental views on the introduction of an infant pneumococcal vaccine</u>. *Community Practitioner, 79*(7), 213-6.

Diaz Crescitelli, M.E., Ghirotto, L., Sisson, H., Sarli, L., Artioli, G., Bassi, M.C., ... Hayter, M. (2020). <u>A meta-synthesis study of the key elements involved in childhood vaccine hesitancy</u>. *Public Health, 180*, 38-45.

Dubé, E., Gagnon, D., MacDonald, N., Bocquier, A., Peretti-Watel, P., & Verger, P. (2018). <u>Underlying factors impacting vaccine hesitancy in high income countries: a review of</u> <u>qualitative studies</u>. *Expert Review of Vaccines, 17*(11), 989-1004. Evans, S., Klas, A., Mikocka-Walus, A., German, B., Rogers, G.D., Ling, M. ... Westrupp, E.M. (2021). <u>"Poison" or "protection"? A mixed methods exploration of Australian parents' COVID-19</u> vaccination intentions. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, *150*, 110626.

Footman, A., Kanney, N., Niccolai, L.M., Zimet, G.D., Overton, E.T., Davies, S.L., & Van Der Pol, B. (2022). <u>Chlamydia vaccination: Parent opinions and implications for future promotion</u> <u>programs</u>. *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, *49*(11), 745–749.

Forster, A.S., Rockliffe, L., Chorley, A.J., Marlow, L.A., Bedford, H., Smith, S.G., & Waller, J. (2016). <u>A qualitative systematic review of factors influencing parents' vaccination decision-making in the United Kingdom</u>. *SSM – Population Health, 2*, 603-612.

Gidengil, C., Chen, C., Parker, A.M., Nowak, S., & Matthews, L. (2019). <u>Beliefs around childhood</u> vaccines in the United States: A systematic review. *Vaccine*, *37*(45), 6793-6802.

Glanz, J.M., Wagner, N.M., Narwaney, K.J., Shoup, J.A., McClure, D.L., McCormick, E.V., & Daley, M.F. (2013). <u>A mixed methods study of parental vaccine decision making and parent-provider trust</u>. *Academic Pediatrics, 13*(5), 481-8.

Glassman, L.W., & Szymczak, J.E. (2022). <u>The influence of social class and institutional</u> <u>relationships on the experiences of vaccine-hesitant mothers: a qualitative study</u>. *BMC Public Health, 22*(1), 2309.

Goulding, M., Ryan, G.W., Minkah, P., Borg, A., Gonzalez, M., Medina, N., ... Lemon, S. C. (2022). <u>Parental perceptions of the COVID-19 vaccine for 5- to 11-year-old children: Focus</u> group findings from Worcester Massachusetts. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics*, *18*(6), 2120721.

Gross, K., Hartmann, K., Zemp, E., & Merten, S. (2015). <u>'I know it has worked for millions of</u> years': the role of the 'natural' in parental reasoning against child immunization in a qualitative study in Switzerland. *BMC Public Health*, *15*, 373.

Gullion, J.S., Henry, L., & Gullion, G. (2008). <u>Deciding to opt out of childhood vaccination</u> <u>mandates</u>. *Public Health Nursing, 25*(5), 401-8.

Harmsen, I.A., Mollema, L., Ruiter, R.A., Paulussen, T.G., de Melker, H.E., & Kok, G. (2013). <u>Why</u> parents refuse childhood vaccination: a qualitative study using online focus groups. *BMC Public Health, 13*, 1183.

Helps, C., Leask, J., Barclay, L., & Carter, S. (2019). <u>Understanding non-vaccinating parents'</u> views to inform and improve clinical encounters: a qualitative study in an Australian <u>community</u>. *BMJ Open, 9*(5), e026299.

Herbert, N.L., Gargano, L.M., Painter, J.E., Sales, J.M., Morfaw, C., Murray, D., ... Hughes, J.M. (2013). <u>Understanding reasons for participating in a school-based influenza vaccination</u> program and decision-making dynamics among adolescents and parents. *Health Education Research, 28*(4), 663-72.

Hijazi, R., Gesser-Edelsburg, A., Feder-Bubis, P., & Mesch, G.S. (2022). <u>Hesitant and anti-</u> vaccination groups: A qualitative study on their perceptions and attitudes regarding vaccinations and their reluctance to participate in academic research- an example during a measles outbreak among a group of Jewish parents in Israel. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *10*, 1012822.

Honcoop, A., Roberts, J.R., Davis, B., Pope, C., Dawley, E., McCulloh, R.J., ... Darden, P.M. (2023). <u>COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among parents: a qualitative study</u>. *Pediatrics*, *152*(5), e2023062466.

Hopfer, S., Fields, E.J., Ramirez, M., Long, S.N., Huszti, H.C., Gombosev, A. ... Cooper, D.M. (2022). <u>Adolescent COVID-19 vaccine decision-making among parents in Southern</u> <u>California</u>. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *19*(7), 4212.

Hsu, C., Evers, S., Ibrahim, A., Patricia, M., Throne, P., Melton, M., ... Hofstetter, A.M. (2023). Sometimes your heart says 'I don't know': Insights from parents of undervaccinated children. *Academic Pediatrics*, *23*(1), 57–67.

Kate, J.T., de Koster, W., & van der Waal, J. (2022). <u>Becoming skeptical towards vaccines: How</u> <u>health views shape the trajectories following health-related events</u>. *Social Science & Medicine, 293*(1982), 114668.

Koski, K., & Holst, J. (2017). <u>Exploring vaccine hesitancy through an artist-scientist</u> <u>collaboration: Visualizing vaccine-critical parents' health beliefs</u>. *Journal of Bioethical Inquiry, 14*(3), 411-426.

Krasselt, J., Robin, D., Fadda, M., Geutjes, A., Bubenhofer, N., Suzanne Suggs, L., & Dratva, J. (2022). <u>Tick-Talk: Parental online discourse about TBE vaccination</u>. *Vaccine*, *40*(52), 7538–7546.

Kulig, J.C., Meyer, C.J., Hill, S.A., Handley, C.E., Lichtenberger, S.M., & Myck, S.L. (2002). <u>Refusals and delay of immunization within southwest Alberta. Understanding alternative</u> <u>beliefs and religious perspectives</u>. *Canadian Journal of Public Health, 93*(2), 109-12.

Lacy, R., Puma, J., Tubolino, M., LaRocca, D., Crane, L.A., Miller, L., ... Leiferman, J.A. (2022). <u>Rural parents' attitudes and beliefs on the COVID-19 pediatric vaccine: An explanatory</u> <u>study</u>. *PloS one*, *17*(12), e0278611.

Lewin, S., Booth, A., Glenton, C., Munthe-Kaas, H., Rashidian, A., Wainwright, M., ... Noyes, J. (2018). <u>Applying GRADE-CERQual to qualitative evidence synthesis findings: introduction to</u> <u>the series</u>. *Implementation Science, 13*(2).

Majid, U. & Ahmad, M. (2020). <u>The factors that promote vaccine hesitancy, rejection, or delay</u> in parents. *Qualitative Health Research, 30*(11), 1762-1776.

Mendel-Van Alstyne, J.A., Nowak, G.J., & Aikin, A.L. (2018). <u>What is 'confidence' and what</u> <u>could affect it?: A qualitative study of mothers who are hesitant about vaccines</u>. *Vaccine, 36*(44), 6464-6472.

Mills, E., Jadad, A.R., Ross, C., & Wilson, K. (2005). <u>Systematic review of qualitative studies</u> exploring parental beliefs and attitudes toward childhood vaccination identifies common barriers to vaccination. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 58*(11), 1081-8.

Neil-Sztramko, S.E., Belita, E., Traynor, R.L., Clark, E., Hagerman, L., & Dobbins, M. (2021). <u>Methods to support evidence-informed decision-making in the midst of COVID-19: creation and</u> evolution of a rapid review service from the National Collaborating Centre for Methods and Tools. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 21*(231).

Nickerson, A., Gutierrez-Mock, L., Buback, L., Welty, S., Anicete, L.M., Sanchez, S., ... Reid, M. (2023). Factors influencing parent and guardian decisions on vaccinating their children against SARS-CoV-2: A qualitative study. *Inquiry: A Journal of Medical Care Organization, Provision and Financing*, *60*, 469580231159742.

Niederhauser, V.P. & Markowitz, M. (2007). <u>Barriers to immunizations: Multiethnic parents of</u> <u>under- and unimmunized children speak</u>. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, 19*(1), 15-23.

Nurmi, J. & Harman, B. (2021). <u>Why do parents refuse childhood vaccination? Reasons</u> reported in Finland. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health, 50*(4), 490-496.

Paterson, P., Chantler, T., & Larson, H.J. (2018). <u>Reasons for non-vaccination: Parental vaccine</u> <u>hesitancy and the childhood influenza vaccination school pilot programme in England</u>. *Vaccine, 36*(36), 5397-5401.

Price, T., McColl, E., & Visram, S. (2022). <u>Barriers and facilitators of childhood flu vaccination:</u> the views of parents in North East England. *Journal of Public Health*, *30*(11), 2619–2626.

Public Health Agency of Canada (2023). <u>Canadian COVID-19 vaccination coverage report</u>. Ottawa: Public Health Agency of Canada; December 8, 2023.

Rajeh, M.T., Farsi, D.J., Farsi, N.J., Mosli, H.H., & Mosli, M.H. (2023). <u>Are parents' willing to</u> vaccinate their children against COVID-19? A qualitative study based on the Health Belief <u>Model</u>. *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics, 19*(1), 2177068.

Romijnders, K., van Seventer, S.L., Scheltema, M., van Osch, L., de Vries, H., & Mollema, L. (2019). <u>A deliberate choice? Exploring factors related to informed decision-making about childhood vaccination among acceptors, refusers, and partial acceptors</u>. *Vaccine, 37*(37), 5637-5644.

Schiff, J., Schmidt, A.R., Pham, P.K., Pérez, J.B., Pannaraj, P.S., Chaudhari, P.P., & Liberman, D.B. (2022). <u>Parental attitudes in the pediatric emergency department about the COVID-19</u> <u>vaccine</u>. *Vaccine*, *40*(50), 7328–7334.

Schilling, S., Orr, C.J., Delamater, A.M., Flower, K.B., Heerman, W.J., Perrin, E.M., ... Sanders, L. (2022). <u>COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among low-income, racially and ethnically diverse US parents</u>. *Patient Education and Counseling*, *105*(8), 2771–2777.

Schuster, L., Gurrieri, L., & Dootson, P. (2022). <u>Emotions of burden, intensive mothering and</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy</u>. *Critical Public Health, 33*(2), 218-229.

Smith, L. E., Hodson, A., & Rubin, G. J. (2021). <u>Parental attitudes towards mandatory</u> vaccination; a systematic review. *Vaccine*, *39*(30), 4046-4053.

Smith, L.E., Sherman, S.M., Sim, J., Amlôt, R., Cutts, M., Dasch, H., ... Rubin, G.J. (2022). <u>Parents' intention to vaccinate their child for COVID-19: A mixed-methods study (CoVAccS-wave 3)</u>. *PloS one*, *17*(12), e0279285. Smith, S.E., Sivertsen, N., Lines, L., & De Bellis, A. (2022). <u>Weighing up the risks - Vaccine</u> <u>decision-making in pregnancy and parenting</u>. *Women & Birth: Journal of the Australian College of Midwives*, *35*(6), 547–552.

Sporton, R.K. & Francis, S.A. (2001). <u>Choosing not to immunize: are parents making informed</u> <u>decisions?</u>. *Family Practice, 18*(2), 181-8.

Swaney, S.E. & Burns, S. (2019). <u>Exploring reasons for vaccine-hesitancy among higher-SES</u> parents in Perth, Western Australia. *Health Promotion Journal of Australia, 30*(2), 143-152.

Tarrant, M., & Gregory, D. (2001). <u>Mothers' perceptions of childhood immunizations in First</u> <u>Nations communities of the Sioux lookout zone</u>. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, *92*(1), 42-45.

Tarrant, M., & Gregory, D. (2003). <u>Exploring childhood immunization uptake with First Nations</u> mothers in north-western Ontario, Canada. *Journal of Advanced Nursing, 41*(1), 63-72.

Ten Kate, J., Koster, W., & Van der Waal, J. (2021). <u>"Following Your Gut" or "Questioning the Scientific Evidence": Understanding Vaccine Skepticism among More-Educated Dutch Parents</u>. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 62*(1), 85-99.

Thomas, S., Paden, V., Lloyd, C., Tudball, J., & Corben, P. (2022). <u>Tailoring immunisation</u> programs in Lismore, New South Wales - we want our children to be healthy and grow well, and immunisation really helps. *Rural and Remote Health*, *22*(1), 6803.

Tickner, S., Leman, P.J., & Woodcock, A. (2010). <u>Parents' views about pre-school</u> <u>immunization: an interview study in southern England</u>. *Child: Care, Health and Development, 36*(2), 190-7.

Wang, C.S., Doma, R., Westbrook, A.L., Johnson, J., Anderson, E.J., Greenbaum, L.A., ... Bednarczyk, R.A. (2023). <u>Vaccine attitudes and COVID-19 vaccine intention among parents of</u> <u>children with kidney disease or primary hypertension</u>. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, *81*(1), 25–35.e1.

Ward, P.R., Attwell, K., Meyer, S.B., Rokkas, P., & Leask, J. (2017). <u>Understanding the perceived</u> <u>logic of care by vaccine-hesitant and vaccine-refusing parents: A qualitative study in Australia</u>. *PloS one, 12*(10), e0185955.

Whang, C., Lynch, K.A., Huang, T., & Tsui, E.K. (2023). <u>Critical dynamics in Black and Latino</u> <u>parents' perceptions of childhood COVID-19 vaccination: How the "middle" moves</u>. *Journal of Health Communication, 28*(sup1), 86–96.

White, T., Sevdalis, N., Willaby, H., King, C., & Leask, J. (2014). Systematic Review into Factors Underlying Parental Decisions about Childhood Vaccinations. Copy obtained from author.

Whyte, M.D., Whyte Iv, J., Cormier, E., & Eccles, D.W. (2011). <u>Factors influencing parental</u> <u>decision making when parents choose to deviate from the standard pediatric immunization</u> <u>schedule</u>. *Journal of Community Health Nursing, 28*(4), 204-14.

Wigle, J., Hodwitz, K., Juando-Prats, C., Allan, K., Li, X., Howard, L., ... Parsons, J.A. (2023). <u>Parents' perspectives on SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations for children: a qualitative</u> <u>analysis</u>. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, *195*(7), E259–E266. Wiley, K.E., Leask, J., Attwell, K., Helps, C., Degeling, C., Ward, P., & Carter, S.M. (2020). <u>Parenting and the vaccine refusal process: A new explanation of the relationship between</u> <u>lifestyle and vaccination trajectories</u>. *Social Science & Medicine, 263*, 113259.